

Table of Contents

	Page
Chapter 1. Introductory Comments on Final EIR	1-1
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT COMPLIANCE	1-1
FORMAT AND ORGANIZATION OF THE FINAL EIR.....	1-1
Chapter 2. Public Review of the Draft EIR.....	2-1
LIST OF PUBLIC AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS, AND PERSONS COMMENTING ON THE DRAFT EIR.....	2-1
Chapter 3. EIR Comments and Responses.....	3-1
INTRODUCTION	3-1
MASTER RESPONSES	3-1
Letter 1: California Department of Conservation, Office of Governmental and Environmental Relations (Jason Marshall) – March 1, 2000	3-8
Letter 2: California Department of Conservation, Office of Governmental and Environmental Relations (Jason Marshall) – March 2, 2000	3-11
Letter 3: California Department of Fish and Game (Larry L. Eng, Ph.D.) – February 14, 2000	3-17
Letter 4: California Department of Housing and Community Development (Cathy E. Creswell) – March 13, 2000.....	3-23
Letter 5: California Department of Transportation (Jeffrey Pulverman) – March 10, 2000	3-29
Letter 6: City of Davis Community Partnership Coordinator (Anne Brunette) – March 13, 2000	3-37
Letter 7: City of Davis Natural Resources Commission (Mike Goodison) – March 13, 2000	3-39
Letter 8: City of Davis Historical Resources Management Commission (Esther Polito) – March 2, 2000.....	3-48
Letter 9: City of Davis Planning and Building Department (Bill Emlen and Bob Wolcott) – March 13, 2000	3-53
Letter 10: City of Dixon (Cindy Gnos) – March 13, 2000	3-56
Letter 11: City of Woodland (Robert MacNicholl) – March 13, 2000.....	3-58
Letter 12: Yolo County Department of Public Health (Paul Fitzmaurice) – February 23, 2000	3-61
Letter 13: Yolo County Department of Public Health (Paul Fitzmaurice) – February 10, 2000	3-64

Letter 14: Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District (Daniel P. O'Brien) – March 9, 2000.....	3-67
Letter 15: Davis Chamber of Commerce and Visitors' Center (Blaine Juchau) – March 13, 2000.....	3-72
Letter 16: Legal Services of Northern California (John F. Gianola) – March 13, 2000	3-79
Letter 17: Yolo County Taxpayers' Association (Ernest J. Pfanner) – March 2, 2000	3-88
Letter 18: Daniel B. Cohen – February 23, 2000.....	3-90
Letter 19: Daniel B. Cohen – March 10, 2000.....	3-94
Letter 20: Richard C. Dorf – February 10, 2000.....	3-96
Letter 21: Pacific Gas and Electric Company (Frank L. Forgey) – February 1, 2000.	3-98
Letter 22: Gabrielli Law Office (John C. Gabrielli) – March 13, 2000....	3-100
Letter 23: Davis Waste Removal Company (Paul E. Geisler) – March 10, 2000	3-104
Letter 24: PG & E Properties, Inc. (Jeanne Barnhill Jones) – March 13, 2000	3-109
Letter 25: La Buena Vida Homeowners Association (B.J. Klosterman) – March 12, 2000	3-152
Letter 26: Margaret Milligan – January 14, 2000	3-176
Letter 27: Barry Munowitch, AICP – March 10, 2000.....	3-178
Letter 28: William C. Roe, Covell Center Partners – March 13, 2000.....	3-181
Letter 29: Tim Ruff, Norcal Land – February 18, 2000	3-183
Letter 30: Fraser Shilling, Ph.D. – February 24, 2000.....	3-185
Letter 31: K. Shawn Smallwood, Ph.D. – March 11, 2000	3-190
Letter 32: Mark Spencer – March 12, 2000	3-228
Letter 33: Janet Wagner, Sutter Davis Hospital – March 13, 2000	3-230
Letter 34: Randy Yackzan and Bob Schneider – February 22, 2000.....	3-233
Letter 35: Jim McElroy, Unitrans – March 23, 2000.....	3-236
Public Hearing Comments on Draft EIR, February 10, 2000.....	3-239

Chapter 4. Modifications to the Draft EIR.....	4-1
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	4-1
CHAPTER 2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	4-1
CHAPTER 3. PROJECT DESCRIPTION	4-8
CHAPTER 4. EIR ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS	4-8
CHAPTER 5A. LAND USE, AESTHETICS, AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.....	4-11
CHAPTER 5B. POPULATION AND HOUSING.....	4-14
CHAPTER 5C. PUBLIC SERVICES AND UTILITIES	4-16
CHAPTER 5D. TRAFFIC AND CIRCULATION	4-16
CHAPTER 5E. AIR QUALITY	4-18
CHAPTER 5F. NOISE	4-20
CHAPTER 5G. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY	4-22
CHAPTER 5H. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.....	4-22

CHAPTER 5J. CULTURAL RESOURCES	4-26
CHAPTER 6. SCHOOL SITE ALTERNATIVES.....	4-26
CHAPTER 7. CUMULATIVE IMPACTS AND OTHER CEQA-REQUIRED ANALYSES	4-27

Appendix A. Supplemental Information for the Gateway/Olive Drive Specific Plan EIR

List of Tables and Figures

Table		Page
2-1	Comment Letters Received on the EIR	2-2
Figure		
Supplemental 3-1b	Updated Dixon Approved Sphere of Influence	4-9
3-12	Alternative 2. Buildout to 2010 Using Existing General Plan.....	Follows 4-9
3-13	Alternative 3. Reduced Buildout Scenario.....	Follows 4-9
5A-2	Views of Signature and Mace Ranch Interior Retail Property Sites.....	4-12
5A-5	Views of Davis Technology Campus and Intervening Lands Sites.....	4-13