MINUTES OF THE JOINT MEETING OF THE DAVIS CITY COUNCIL AND RECREATION AND PARKS COMMISSION
JANUARY 18, 2005

The City Council of the City of Davis met in regular session at 5:00 p.m. in the Community Chambers, 23 Russell Blvd., Davis, California. The meeting was called to order by Mayor Asmundson.

Councilmembers Absent: None.
Other Officers Present: City Manager James Antonen, City Attorney Harriet Steiner, City Clerk Bette E. Racki.

Approval of Agenda T. Puntillo moved, seconded by S. Souza, approval of the agenda as submitted. The motion passed by the following vote:
AYES: Greenwald, Puntillo, Saylor, Souza, Asmundson.
NOES: None.

Closed Session The City Council ordered a closed session of the City Council be held for the purpose of discussing and, if necessary, taking action on the following issues:
A. Public Employee Performance Evaluation. Title: City Manager.

Council moved into closed session and upon returning to open session, Mayor Asmundson announced no actions were taken in closed session.

City Council, City Manager, City Attorney Communications.
a. Council Subcommittee on Growth Management Councilmember Puntillo reported that the Council Subcommittee on Growth Management have met with the stakeholders and are planning more meetings prior to bringing their report to Council.
b. Senior Citizens Commission Mayor Pro Tem Greenwald stated the Senior Citizens Commission discussed Covell Village project at their last meeting.
c. Athletic Needs Subcommittee Meeting Mayor Pro Tem Greenwald announced that she and Mayor Asmundson attended the Athletic Needs Subcommittee meeting wherein they met with representatives of the various sports groups to continue to plan for a possible community youth sports park on the Howitt Ranch site.
d. City/County 2X2 Councilmember Don Saylor reported on the City/County 2X2 Committee wherein they
Meeting.

discussed the County General Plan process anticipating a final approval by the Board of Supervisors in March. The County is reviewing three different alternatives for changes in the General Plan and how to best involve other public agencies in the process.

e. Covell Village Project.

Councilmember Saylor reported the number of commissions that have reviewed the Covell Village project and times that the Council has held meetings and workshops.

S. Greenwald moved to have separate Council hearings at regularly agendized meetings for every major components of the Covell Village project. No second


Following discussion, T. Puntillo moved, seconded by R. Asmundson, appointment of Ruth Asmundson and Don Saylor to the Covell Village Development Agreement subcommittee. The motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Puntillo, Saylor, Souza, Asmundson.
NOES: Greenwald.

Public Comment

Tim Daleiden, President of Davis Aquadarts Swim Club, spoke about the background of the Aquadarts program stating there are approximately 200 swimmers year around and 400 swimmers in the summer program. Aquadarts are users of the Manor Pool and urged the City to make the necessary repairs to the facility. He spoke about the difficulty of adequate swim times throughout the year for their activities.

Consent Calendar

Ordinances for All-Way Stop Control at Intersection of J Street and Drexel Drive and Through Street Designation for J Street.

Introduced ordinances

Resolution Approving Agreement Between A. Teichert & Son, Inc. (Teichert) and the City of Davis for Sewer Services via Out-Of-Agency Service Contract.

This item was removed by S. Greenwald for further discussion and separate vote.

Commission Minutes:


S. Greenwald moved, seconded by D. Saylor, approval of the Consent Calendar as submitted. The motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Greenwald, Puntillo, Saylor, Souza, Asmundson
NOES: None.

Resolution No.05-06 Approving Agreement Between A. Teichert & Son, Inc. and the City of Davis

City Engineer Pat Fitzsimmons in response to question as to the benefits for the City and psychology for extending services to businesses outside the city stated Councils in the past have approved extending services to residents as well as businesses outside the city. This extension does not add to the capacity of the Waste Water Treatment Plant. The City benefits financially by extending services and it is part of being
for Sewer Services via Out-Of-Agency Service Contract.

...for Sewer Services via Out-Of-Agency Service Contract. He pointed out that the service does not run with the land so if Teichert discontinued using this facility, the new owners would have to start the process over for receiving service.

S. Greenwald moved, seconded by D. Saylor, approval of Resolution No.05-06 - Approving Agreement Between A. Teichert & Son, Inc. and the City of Davis for Sewer Services via Out-Of-Agency Service Contract. The motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Greenwald, Puntilllo, Saylor, Souza, Asmundson.

NOES: None.

Joint Meeting: City Council and Recreation and Parks Commission Discussion Regarding Manor Pool Complex Renovation and Walnut Park Aquatic Complex

Chair Charles Russell of the Recreation and Parks Commission introduced the members of the Commission. Roll call confirmed all members present included the alternate.

Parks & Community Services Director Donna Silva explained a Subcommittee was formed to work with staff from Parks and Community Services, Public Works and Finance Departments on the analysis and options for the renovation of the Manor Pool complex and the outstanding issue of a pool complex at Walnut Park.

The Subcommittee determined that (1) Manor Complex should be renovated immediately to address the recently discovered leak as well as problems with additional leaking and age of the facility, and renovation should include a design option that provides activities for young children, families, and the elderly and physically disabled; and (2) Walnut Complex should be provided as promised to the residents of South Davis, with a phased design--Phase I to include an interactive aquatic play area operational by summer 2006 and Phase II pool construction no later than 2010--to complement the current network of pools.

The renovation of the existing facility would costs $2.2 million as it triggers a number of compliance issues such as building code and ADA regulations resulting in the magnitude of costs for renovating the pool. The suggested additions to Manor Pool under Option #1 would maximize swim lessons for all ages and the interactive play area would provide another way for young toddlers to play in the water. These two features would modernize the pool complex. The funding recommendation for Manor Pool Option 1, if approved by the Council and Commission, would be $2.6 million. Tentative timeline is that construction would begin in November 2005 for completion in September 2006.

Community Services Supervisor Marianne Moore presented several design alternatives for Manor pool. She explained the interactive play area and the benefits of this area for young children.

In the final phase of Walnut Park, the budget was exceeded by $1 million and the pool that was masterplan for that park had to be deferred. Deferred was not defined and that is the issue that the subcommittee had to answer. There are limited construction funds available and concerns about the fiscal impact of adding another pool complex to the existing operating funds of the City.

Subcommittee recommendations:

Manor Pool
(1) Approve Option #1 for renovation of the Manor pool complex.
(2) Authorize staff to conduct a fast track selection for the design consultant.
(3) Authorize the City Manager to execute the design agreement.

(4) Authorize funding sources as outlined in the staff report, to include:
   a. Construction Tax
   b. Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) grant funding
   c. Prop 12/Prop 40 grant funding
   d. Park Impact Fees
   e. One-time general fund reserves

**Walnut Pool**

(5) Conceptually approve recommended phased aquatic complex.

(6) Schedule Commission meeting to solicit public input/feedback on the aquatic complex phasing and design.

(7) After the Commission meeting, return to Council with Commission recommendations.

Staff responded to questions from the City Council and Commission members.

**Public Comment**

Adam Sutkus, Oakshade Neighborhood Association, stated in the plans submitted for Walnut Park, a lot of money is anticipated to be put into a particular play structure format that is almost half the costs of the construction costs of a recreation pool. This needs to be analyzed as to whether it is a cost effective way of using funds. Many of the residents in Oakshade would rather see a pool structure as opposed to the water play structure. He expressed concerns about putting in modular structures and then removing them.

Holly Bishop stated both Manor and Walnut need to have pools. There are ways to pay for the maintenance of the pools.

Jack Raycraft, President of the Davis Water Polo Club, spoke in support of a concept for a multi-use pool at Walnut. Trying to get lane space is a critical issue. Needs are only going to increase. If Walnut Pool is a four lane pool they would not be able to use the pool resulting in reduction of revenue for the City.

Greg Burrow, AYSO, stated there is the possibility of losing Nugget Fields which will remove a large portion of field space. He would appreciate consideration to maintain the Walnut Park green site to allow time to gain more field space for field sports.

**Recreation and Parks Commission Action:**

**Manor Pool**

Following discussion, Mike Bartolic moved, seconded by John Dixon, approval of staff recommendation for Option #1 for renovation of the Manor pool complex.

Dana Welch requested amendment to the motion to have zero entrance on the north end of Manor Pool. Accepted by mover and second.

Susan Sabatier requested amendment to the motion to include input into the design of the interactive play area by the Slide Hill Neighborhood Association. Accepted by mover and second of the motion.

The motion, as amended, was passed by the following vote:

**AYES:** Bartolic, Dixon, Heystek, Hwang, Sabatier, Welch, Russell.

**NOES:** None.
Lamar Heystek moved, seconded by Mike Bartolic, authorization for staff to conduct fast track selection for the design consultant and authorize the City Manager to execute the design agreement for the design architect. The motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Bartolic, Dixon, Heystek, Hwang, Sabatier, Welch, Russell.
NOES: None.

Charles Russell moved, seconded by Susan Sabatier, authorizing funding sources to include: a) Construction Tax; b) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) grant funding; c) Proposition 12/Proposition 40 grant funding; d) Park Impact Fees; and e) One-time general fund reserves. The motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Bartolic, Dixon, Heystek, Hwang, Sabatier, Welch, Russell.
NOES: None.

Walnut Pool

Following discussion, John Dixon moved, seconded by Lorraine Hwang, to schedule Commission meeting to solicit public input/feedback on the aquatic complex phasing and design for Walnut Pool. The motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Bartolic, Dixon, Heystek, Hwang, Sabatier, Welch, Russell.
NOES: None.

Commissioners requested that at the commission meeting to discuss Walnut Park the staff report include a clear delineation of budget, what funds are available and proposed timeline for a pool.

Charles Russell moved, seconded by Mike Bartolic, request that staff generate guidelines for pool complex requirements and agendize discussion on this item at a future Commission meeting. The motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Bartolic, Dixon, Heystek, Hwang, Sabatier, Welch, Russell.
NOES: None.

L. Heystek moved, seconded by Dana Welch, to conceptually approve recommended phased aquatic complex. The motion failed by the following vote:

AYES: Bartolic, Dixon, Hwang, Sabatier, Welch, Russell.
NOES: Heystek.

Recreation and Park Commission adjourned at 9:40 p.m. to the next regularly scheduled meeting.

City Council Action:

Manor Pool

Following discussion, T. Puntillo moved, seconded by S. Souza, approval of staff recommendation as follows:

- Option #1 for renovation of the Manor pool complex with zero entrance on the north end of Manor Pool and include input into the design of the interactive play area by the Slide Hill Neighborhood Association.
- Authorized staff to conduct a fast track selection for the design consultant.
• Authorized the City Manager to execute the design agreement.
• Authorized funding sources to include: a) Construction Tax; b) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) grant funding; c) Proposition 12/Proposition 40 grant funding; d) Park Impact Fees; and e) One-time general fund reserves.

The motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Greenwald, Puntillo, Saylor, Souza, Asmundson.
NOES: None.

Walnut Pool

T. Puntillo moved, seconded by D. Saylor, to schedule Recreation and Park Commission meeting to solicit public input/feedback on the aquatic complex phasing and design.

S. Greenwald requested an amendment to the motion to include the major South Davis Neighborhoods in a formal capacity for input in the designing of Walnut pool complex. Amendment not accepted by the mover of the motion.

The motion as stated passed by the following vote:

AYES: Greenwald, Puntillo, Saylor, Souza, Asmundson.
NOES: None.

T. Puntillo moved, seconded by S. Greenwald, that the Walnut Park pool process culminates in the year 2008 with construction starting on a pool in South Davis and that the City Council task themselves to locate a funding mechanism. The motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Greenwald, Puntillo, Saylor, Souza.
NOES: Asmundson.

D. Saylor moved, seconded by S. Souza, request that staff generate guidelines for pool complex requirements and agendize discussion on a future Recreation and Park Commission meeting. The motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Greenwald, Puntillo, Saylor, Souza, Asmundson.
NOES: None.

Feasibility Study

City Manager Jim Antonen explained that R. W. Beck Inc. initiated a feasibility study regarding annexation of cities in Yolo County to the Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD). Council will be asked to set a series of meetings and that Council consider appointing a Council Subcommittee.

Public Works Director Bob Weir provided details of the timeline leading up to the feasibility study and explained the study provides analysis of the financial and legal issues associated with annexation of Davis, West Sacramento, Woodland and various unincorporated areas of Yolo County to SMUD. There will be several workshops including one before the Natural Resource Commission. He pointed out that PG&E is not a willing seller which may result in litigation proceedings.

Consultant Mike Bell of R.W. Beck Inc., Stone & Webster Management Consultants Inc and Lucy & Company performed the study. The study considered four annexation scenarios for SMUD for West Sac-
ramento, Woodland, Davis and unincorporated areas in Yolo County. If annexation were to move forward, SMUD would have to secure rights-of-way for new transmission lines and find ways to expand two transmission substations. UCD is not included in the study at their request. He explained how the study was approached and that SMUD will be looking at the fees which are of concern to the current rate holders and prevention of rolling blackouts.

Bell stated under the number of scenarios Yolo areas would benefit from switching from Pacific Gas & Electric to SMUD. Davis residents would see lower electricity costs overall by as much as 2 percent. Unanswered is whether SMUD would build transmission facilities needed to expand its service area. The study found the greatest benefit to new customers would be if all jurisdictions annexed. This would spread the costs over a greater number of customers by the larger size of the region. He stated cities’ energy loads vary because residential and commercial operations require different amounts of energy and at different times.

Due to the 11p.m. adjournment time, Council unanimously voted to extend the meeting to 11:30 p.m.

Holly Bishop, Co-chair of Yolano Sierra Club, stated the club supports annexation to SMUD indicating that they received a presentation on joining SMUD.

Dan Berman spoke in support of annexation of Davis to SMUD,

Dean Newberry spoke about fees and franchise and that SMUD is looking to the cities for enthusiasm to join them.

Tim Townsend spoke about various reasons for joining SMUD. He pointed out some issues in the study that was not covered during the presentation.

Staff and consultant responded to questions from the City council.

Following discussion, S. Souza moved, seconded by D. Saylor, to receive the SMUD Annexation Feasibility Study Report. The motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Greenwald, Puntillo, Saylor, Souza, Asmundson.
NOES: None.

Council unanimously voted to extend the meeting to 11:45 p.m.

S. Souza moved, seconded by T. Puntillo, to appoint a Council Subcommittee of Ruth Asmundson and Sue Greenwald to the SMUD annexation issue. The motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Greenwald, Puntillo, Saylor, Souza, Asmundson.
NOES: None.

Adjournment The meeting adjourned at 11:45 p.m. to the next regularly scheduled meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

BETTE E. RACKI
City Clerk