# Oliver Wendell Holmes Junior High School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2014-15 School Year Published During 2015-16

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

# **About This School**

### **Contact Information (Most Recent Year)**

School Contact Information				
School Name	Oliver Wendell Holmes Junior High School			
Street	1220 Drexel Dr.			
City, State, Zip	Davis, CA 95616			
Phone Number	530-757-5445			
Principal	Derek Brothers			
E-mail Address	dbrothers@djusd.net			
Web Site	http://holmes.djusd.net			
Grades Served	7-9			
CDS Code	57726786060396			

District Contact Information				
District Name	Davis Joint Unified School District			
Phone Number	(530) 757-5300			
Superintendent	Superintendent Winfred Roberson			
E-mail Address	superintendent@djusd.net			
Web Site	www.djusd.net			

### School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

The parents, students, faculty and support staff at Holmes Junior High School are committed to the achievement of excellence in academics and all other curricular and co-curricular pursuits. They are also committed to the cultivation of individual abilities and talents in a supportive environment where respect for individual and group differences and for the rights of others guides behavior.

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2014-15)

Grade	Number of
Level	Students
Grade 7	219
Grade 8	227
Grade 9	250
Total Enrollment	696

### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment		
Black or African American	2.7		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.6		
Asian	20		
Filipino	1.3		
Hispanic or Latino	13.1		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3		
White	57.3		
Two or More Races	4.7		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	17.8		
English Learners	3.7		
Students with Disabilities	10.1		
Foster Youth	0.7		

# A. Conditions of Learning

### **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

### **Teacher Credentials**

		District		
Teachers	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16
With Full Credential	37	40	30	394
Without Full Credential	0	0	1	2
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	2

### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	1	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	1	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2014-15)

Leasting of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects				
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
This School	100	0			
All Schools in District	85.1	.5			
High-Poverty Schools in District	100	0			
Low-Poverty Schools in District	99.4	.6			

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

### Year and month in which data were collected: October 15, 2015

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	6-8 Prentice Hall Literature: Timeless Voices, Timeless Themes, 2002	Yes	0%
Mathematics	These text materials are aligned with state content standards and officially adopted for use in the classroom	Yes	0%
Science	6-8 CPO Science, Focus on Earth, Life and Physical Science, 2006	Yes	0%

Core Curriculum Area Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption		From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
History-Social Science	6-8 TCI, History Alive! Middle School Program, 2006	Yes	0%
Foreign Language	Spanish 1-3, Avancemos! Holt McDougal, 2010, 1996, Spanish 4, Imagina, Vista Higher Learning, 2007, Spanish 5, iA toda Vela EMC Publishing, 2008, French 1-3, C'est A Toi, EMC Paradigm, 2007, German 1 & 2, Deutsch Aktuell, 1997, German 3-6, Kaleidoskop, McDougal Littel, 1998, Japanese 1-5 Yookoso, Glencoe, 1995- 2007, Chinese 1-4, Integrated Chinese, Cheng & TSUI Co., 2005	Yes	0%
Health	Middle School - Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Holt< Decisions for Health	Yes	0%
Visual and Performing Arts			
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	Lab Science requirements are adequate.	Yes	0%

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The school's permanent buildings are approximately 45 years old. In the fall of 2005, the school district completed work on an extensive modernization plan for Holmes that includes interior remodeling, a new data and electrical backbone, accessibility improvements for disabled students, and structural repairs to all existing buildings. Over the last ten years, we also added student and staff bathrooms and replaced the roofs on all original buildings and updated our HAVC systems.

### School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 1/6/16							
Custom Insurated	Repair Status			Repair Needed and			
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned			
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	х						
Interior: Interior Surfaces		х		Replace carpet in classroom E32. Repair laminate countertop in classroom H47 and H54. Stained ceiling tiles in classroom H48 and H54. Peeling paint H54 and H55. Tckable surfacing damaged in H56. Establishwork orders.			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х			Clutter in classrooms C26 & G46. Contact custodial supervisor.			
Electrical: Electrical	X			Cracked electrical cover in classroom H49. Establish work order.			
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X						
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	х						
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х			Trellis needs repair. Establish work order.			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X						

**Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)** 

Year and month in which data were collected: 1/6/16					
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Overall Rating		х			

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

### **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

### California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (School Year 2014-15)

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)			
	School	District	State	
English Language Arts/Literacy	76	68	44	
Mathematics	75	64	33	

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

		Number o	f Students		Pei	rcent of Stude	nts	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	7	222	210	94.6	10	13	41	35
	8	224	213	95.1	11	12	41	35
Male	7		106	47.7	14	13	40	33
	8		110	49.1	16	16	45	23
Female	7		104	46.8	6	13	43	38
	8		103	46.0	6	8	38	48
Black or African American	7		4	1.8				
	8		5	2.2				
American Indian or Alaska Native	7		2	0.9				
	8		1	0.4				
Asian	7		51	23.0	4	18	39	39
	8		44	19.6	5	7	43	45
Filipino	7		4	1.8				
	8		2	0.9				
Hispanic or Latino	7		24	10.8	33	29	33	4
	8		25	11.2	28	16	44	12

		Number o	f Students		Pei	rcent of Stude	nts	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	8		1	0.4				
White	7		117	52.7	8	8	44	40
	8		127	56.7	12	12	39	37
Two or More Races	7		8	3.6				
	8		8	3.6				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7		28	12.6	36	36	29	0
	8		36	16.1	25	19	42	14
English Learners	7		6	2.7				
	8		5	2.2				
Students with Disabilities	7		13	5.9	62	23	15	0
	8		16	7.1	44	19	31	6
Foster Youth	7							
	8							

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

## **CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**

Disaggregated by	Student Groups	Grades Three thr	ough Fight and Flev	en (School Year 2014-15)
Disuggiegatea	y Student Groups,	diddes inite this	ough Light and Liev	

		Number o	f Students		Pei	Percent of Students					
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded			
All Students	7	222	214	96.4	9	16	24	50			
	8	224	213	95.1	15	8	16	59			
Male	7		109	49.1	10	16	23	51			
	8		111	49.6	19	14	11	56			
Female	7		105	47.3	9	17	26	49			
	8		102	45.5	11	3	23	62			
Black or African American	7		4	1.8							
	8		5	2.2							
American Indian or Alaska Native	7		2	0.9							
	8		1	0.4							
Asian	7		52	23.4	2	10	17	71			
	8		43	19.2	2	2	16	79			
Filipino	7		4	1.8							
	8		2	0.9							
Hispanic or Latino	7		27	12.2	26	33	30	11			

		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students						
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded		
	8		25	11.2	40	12	16	32		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	8		1	0.4						
White	7		117	52.7	9	15	26	50		
	8		128	57.1	15	9	15	59		
Two or More Races	7		8	3.6						
	8		8	3.6						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7		30	13.5	30	33	20	17		
	8		36	16.1	39	14	14	33		
English Learners	7		10	4.5						
	8		6	2.7						
Students with Disabilities	7		13	5.9	62	23	8	8		
	8		16	7.1	56	19	6	19		
Foster Youth	7									
	8									

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

### California Standards Tests for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)									
Subject	School				District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	90	91	88	83	82	81	59	60	56	

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### California Standards Tests Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2014-15)

Student	Percent of Students Scoring at
Group	Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	81
All Students at the School	88
Male	83
Female	93
Black or African American	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	95
Filipino	
Hispanic or Latino	82
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	
White	85
Two or More Races	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	63
English Learners	
Students with Disabilities	74
Foster Youth	

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2014-15)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards							
Level	Level Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards					
7	7.50	24.80	58.90					
9	7.10	25.90	60.70					

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# **C.** Engagement

### **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)**

Our school's annual plan and its budget are approved by our School Site Council, which includes parent and student members. We have an English Language Advisory Committee, an active PTA, a Positive School Climate committee, an active Student leadership class, and strong music booster groups. Parents volunteer for curriculum advisory groups such as AIM and Superintendent Advisory. Many also volunteer for site supervision, library assistance, new student orientation, field trips, and classroom support. To find out how to volunteer, contact Ellen Shields, Head Counselor.

### **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

Data		School			District		State			
Rate	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	
Suspensions	6.23	5.92	3.27	3.86	3.72	2.42	5.07	4.36	3.80	
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.13	0.10	0.09	

### School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

Davis schools place the highest priority on student safety. We review emergency plans frequently and hold student fire and earthquake drills twice a year as well as annual training on safety procedures with staff. The district's careful use of resources ensures that students have access to clean and safe facilities. Holmes has several campus supervisors who monitor the grounds and address student safety needs. Visitors must check in with the office, sign in and wear a badge identifying their presence on campus. All campus facilities have both phone and intercom access. Each classroom is equipped with an Emergency Kit in a clearly identified location. Security cameras have been installed and are operational.

## **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2014-15)

AYP Criteria	School	District	State
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No	Yes
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	No	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	No	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes

### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-16)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2013-2014
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 2
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	4
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	66.7

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

		201	2-13	-		2013-14				2014-15			
Subject	Avg. Number of Classrooms			Avg.	Numb	er of Clas	srooms	Avg. Number of Classroom			srooms		
	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	
English	20	13	20	4	21	12	18	5	20	14	13	8	
Mathematics	24	11	8	12	21	14	19	3	21	15	12	7	
Science	28	3	18	1	27	6	14	6	25	5	18	2	
Social Science	28	3	17	2	28	3	11	8	25	5	17	2	

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2014-15)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor	
Academic Counselor	2.0	348	
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.5	N/A	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1.6	N/A	
Psychologist	.7	N/A	
Social Worker	0	N/A	
Nurse	.3	N/A	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.6	N/A	
Resource Specialist	5.0	N/A	
Other		N/A	

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

### Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	10,087	2,676	7,411	72,100
District	N/A	N/A	7,046	\$67,244
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	5.2	7.2
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,348	\$69,257
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	38.6	4.1

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

In 2014-15 Holmes Junior High School received categorical funding and services through the following state and local resources: Local Control Funding Formula, Davis Parcel Tax, Davis Schools Foundation, and Davis School Arts Foundation.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$37,305	\$42,315
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$59,425	\$66,451
Highest Teacher Salary	\$79,525	\$85,603
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$104,453	\$105,079
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$111,180	\$111,005
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$118,111	\$121,310
Superintendent Salary	\$178,000	\$189,899
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39%	39%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

### **Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

Davis Joint Unified School District focuses our professional growth system on our mission and objectives with priority given to social emotional intelligence, differentiated instruction, inquiry based learning, implementation of the Common Core State Standards and the formative assessment process. These focus areas were developed through the Strategic Plan and Local Control Accountability Plan goals and process along with teacher surveys to ensure relevancy and meaningfulness.

Davis Joint Unified School District uses a variety of professional learning models to engage staff in their continued growth. Built on the foundation of internal expertise and consultants from outside DJUSD, staff has opportunities during the school year and summer to complete professional development activities. These activities are supported by federal, state, and local funding and generous local parcel taxes. The professional development within Davis Joint Unified School District has a heavy emphasis on collaboration where time is reserved weekly for staff collaboration by sites in grade level or departmental teams under the direction of site administrators, staff also has the opportunity to collaborate with grade level/content peers through the Common Core Collaboration Grants, and collaboration opportunities are built in to all of the professional development sessions. DJUSD also has instructional coaches that provide professional development through model lessons, collaborative planning and in context learning. Examples of recent DJUSD professional learning activities include:

\* Site Developed Training (K-12) provided professional development to meet specific needs of site staff; professional development sources included outside trainers, teacher-trainers/experts, and administrators.

\* DJUSD Secondary (7-12) Grade Level Articulation breakout sessions provided a venue for teacher experts to share with their colleagues and facilitate discussions on a variety of topics related to the Common Core State Standards as they applied to secondary education.

\* UC Davis Mathematics Project Teacher Leadership program (K-12) trained math and English Learner specialists to collaborate on how to address significant instructional shifts in the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) for mathematics.

\* English Language Learner Support (K-12) trainings in the area of English Language Long-Term Learner at Yolo County Office of Education; in addition to in-district trainings for the administration of English Language Development assessments.

\* UC Davis Math Project Series presented by DJUSD content expert and UC Davis Math Project presenter.

\* Next Generation Science Standards focus professional development and collaboration.

\*Science in the River City

\*Area 3 Writing Project writing series for K-6

\*Project Based Learning Conference and series presented by DJUSD experts

\*Gender Inclusiveness training

\*Anti-bullying series of workshops

\* Yolo County Mental Health First Aid

\*Restorative Practices

\*Behavior Prevention Strategies

\*Staff Book Clubs and collaboration time