Marguerite Montgomery Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2014-15 School Year Published During 2015-16

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (Most Recent Year)

School Contact Information							
School Name	Marguerite Montgomery Elementary School						
Street	1441 Danbury Dr.						
City, State, Zip	Davis, CA 95616						
Phone Number	530-759-2100						
Principal	Sally Plicka						
E-mail Address	splicka@djusd.net						
Web Site							
Grades Served	К-б						
CDS Code	57726786118905						

District Contact Information			
District Name	Davis Joint Unified School District		
Phone Number	(530) 757-5300		
Superintendent	Superintendent Winfred Roberson		
E-mail Address	superintendent@djusd.net		
Web Site	www.djusd.net		

School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

In the Marguerite Montgomery Elementary School community we focus on learning for every student. Teachers, parents and administrators work collaboratively to ensure success and growth for each child. We spend significant time identifying what all students will learn and ensuring that this learning takes place. We partner with our diverse communities to provide active learning experiences through meaningful curriculum. PTA enables us to provide our students with enrichment activities including Art, music, and drama. We have clubs and activities that engage students with the world around them including Make a Difference Club, Bridge tutoring program, running club and more. Additionally, all sixth graders attend a week long outdoor science camp. MME enjoys strong community support in a culture that is warm and welcoming. MME takes pride in its diversity of students and experiences. MME is a neighborhood school with a traditional program and an Immersion program that is transitioning from a One-Way to a Two-Way Spanish Immersion program. Our campus is well-maintained with ample open space, green areas, a play structure, and a network of student gardens. Our mission statement reads: "At Marguerite Montgomery Elementary we cultivate a vibrant community dedicated to nurturing each child in a respectful, caring environment and providing rigorous, language-rich academic instruction in English and Spanish."

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2014-15)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	92
Grade 1	65
Grade 2	47
Grade 3	81
Grade 4	53
Grade 5	49
Grade 6	65
Total Enrollment	452

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	
Black or African American	2	
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2	
Asian	8.8	
Filipino	0.4	
Hispanic or Latino	54.2	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2	
White	29.4	
Two or More Races	4.6	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	55.5	
English Learners	39.8	
Students with Disabilities	10.4	
Foster Youth	0.4	

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Tankan		District		
Teachers	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16
With Full Credential	26	26	21	394
Without Full Credential	1	0	1	2
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	2

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	1	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	1

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2014-15)

Leasting of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects					
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers				
This School	100	0				
All Schools in District	85.1	.5				
High-Poverty Schools in District	100	0				
Low-Poverty Schools in District	99.4	.6				

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Year and month in which data were collected: October 15, 2015

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Reading/Language Arts K-5 Houghton Mifflin, Houghton Mifflin Reading: A Legacy of Literacy, 2003, 6-8 Prentice Hall Literature: Timeless Voices, Timeless Themes, 2002	Yes	0%
Mathematics	Mathematics, K-6: Envision Math, 2015	Yes	0%
Science	Science K-5 Delta Education, Full Option Science System (FOSS), 2007, 6-8 CPO Science, Focus on Earth, Life and Physical Science, 2006	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	History-Social Science K-5 Harcourt, Reflections: California Series, 2006, 6-8 TCI, History Alive! Middle School Program, 2006	Yes	0%
Visual and Performing Arts			

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Our school is in good repair, according to the criteria established by the Office of Public School Construction. Our challenges are minor ones resulting from common wear and tear, and there are few of them. Recent projects have included exterior backpack hooks for all students, mounted projectors and cameras, and sound amplification systems in each classroom to support language learning. Our school has an aesthetically pleasing environment that reflects our students' backgrounds in its public art. We want our school to be a child-centered and child-friendly in addition to it being safe and in good repair. Our current goal is the construction of an outdoor classroom space.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 1/9/16								
System Inspected	Repair Status Repair Needed and System Inspected Good Fair Poor Action Taken or Planned							
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	х							

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 1/9/16							
Custom Increasted	F	epair Stat	us	Repair Needed and			
System Inspected	Good	Fair Poor		Action Taken or Planned			
Interior: Interior Surfaces		x		Replace ceiling tile classrooms C2, C-wing restroom. Repair floor tiles in classrooms D1, L1, F1. Establish work orders.			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	х						
Electrical: Electrical	х						
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	х						
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	х						
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	х						
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	х			Door needs adjusted in classroom M1. Establish work orders.			

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month in which data were collected: 1/9/16						
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor		
Overall Rating		х				

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (School Year 2014-15)

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)				
	School	District	State		
English Language Arts/Literacy	47	68	44		
Mathematics	39	64	33		

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

		Number o	f Students		Pe	rcent of Stude	nts	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	78	74	94.9	39	15	24	22
	4	52	51	98.1	33	20	31	14
	5	51	47	92.2	28	30	28	13
	6	67	63	94.0	22	22	35	21
Male	3		43	55.1	47	16	14	23
	4		31	59.6	42	19	26	10
	5		29	56.9	34	24	21	17
	6		25	37.3	36	28	32	4
Female	3		31	39.7	29	13	39	19
	4		20	38.5	20	20	40	20
	5		18	35.3	17	39	39	6
	6		38	56.7	13	18	37	32
Black or African American	3		1	1.3				
	5		1	2.0				
	6		0	0.0				
Asian	3		3	3.8				
	4		2	3.8				
	5		5	9.8				
	6		8	11.9				
Hispanic or Latino	3		40	51.3	58	25	8	10
	4		30	57.7	40	23	30	3
	5		33	64.7	30	36	27	3
	6		27	40.3	33	37	26	4
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3		1	1.3				
White	3		24	30.8	21	4	38	38
	4		14	26.9	29	14	21	36
	5		7	13.7				
	6		26	38.8	12	15	42	31
Two or More Races	3		5	6.4				
	4		5	9.6				
	5		1	2.0				
	6		2	3.0				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		39	50.0	54	21	21	5
	4		30	57.7	43	23	27	3
	5		31	60.8	29	35	32	0

		Number o	f Students		Pei	rcent of Stude	nts	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
	6		28	41.8	39	32	21	7
English Learners	3		26	33.3	62	31	8	0
	4		16	30.8	50	25	19	0
	5		18	35.3	44	44	6	0
	6		10	14.9				
Students with Disabilities	3		16	20.5	75	6	0	19
	4		8	15.4				
	5		5	9.8				
	6		8	11.9				
Students Receiving Migrant	3		1	1.3				
Education Services	4		2	3.8				
	5		5	9.8				
	6		4	6.0				
Foster Youth	3							
	4							
	5							
	6							

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

		Number o	f Students		Pei	rcent of Stude	nts	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	78	76	97.4	36	22	22	20
	4	52	50	96.2	24	32	36	8
	5	51	50	98.0	32	40	10	16
	6	67	64	95.5	25	34	19	22
Male	3		42	53.8	43	21	14	21
	4		30	57.7	30	27	37	7
	5		30	58.8	33	30	13	20
	6		26	38.8	35	38	15	12
Female	3		34	43.6	26	24	32	18
	4		20	38.5	15	40	35	10
	5		20	39.2	30	55	5	10
	6		38	56.7	18	32	21	29
Black or African American	3		1	1.3				

		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students					
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard	
		Linoneu	Testeu	Testeu	Not Met	Nearly Met	Met	Exceeded	
	5		1	2.0					
	6		0	0.0					
Asian	3		5	6.4					
	4		2	3.8					
	5		7	13.7					
	6		9	13.4					
Hispanic or Latino	3		40	51.3	55	25	13	8	
	4		29	55.8	34	28	34	3	
	5		33	64.7	48	42	6	3	
	6		27	40.3	48	37	7	7	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3		1	1.3					
White	3		24	30.8	17	21	29	33	
	4		14	26.9	14	43	21	21	
	5		8	15.7					
	6		26	38.8	4	35	31	31	
Two or More Races	3		5	6.4					
	4		5	9.6					
	5		1	2.0					
	6		2	3.0					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		39	50.0	46	33	15	5	
	4		29	55.8	38	28	31	3	
	5		33	64.7	45	42	0	12	
	6		28	41.8	46	36	11	7	
English Learners	3		28	35.9	46	32	18	4	
	4		15	28.8	33	40	27	0	
	5		21	41.2	48	43	0	10	
	6		11	16.4	73	18	0	9	
Students with Disabilities	3		16	20.5	75	13	0	13	
	4		8	15.4					
	5		5	9.8					
	6		8	11.9					
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	3		1	1.3					
	4		2	3.8					
	5		5	9.8					
	6		4	6.0					
Foster Youth	3								
	4								

	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students						
Student Group		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded		
	5									
	6									

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

California Standards Tests for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

Subject		Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State			
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	43	56	31	83	82	81	59	60	56	

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Standards Tests Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	81
All Students at the School	31
Male	39
Female	20
Black or African American	
Asian	
Hispanic or Latino	18
White	
Two or More Races	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	
English Learners	4
Students with Disabilities	21
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	
Foster Youth	

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2014-15)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards								
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards						

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)

Montgomery is fortunate to have an outstanding staff and an energetic group of students and parents working together to refine and improve our learning community. Our PTA, School Site Council (SSC), and English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) are active, involved, and informed groups. Our goal is to build academic and social environments that will open doors to lifelong learning for every member of our school community in order to ensure that each student reaches their potential. Our parent education programs are rich and include literacy, math and Spanish/English instruction. Parent groups run by the Family Resource Center give families an opportunity to learn and enjoy one another as part of our school community.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate		School			District		State			
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	
Suspensions	2.29	2.12	1.79	3.86	3.72	2.42	5.07	4.36	3.80	
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.13	0.10	0.09	

School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

Our school places strong emphasis on safety for both students and staff. We review emergency plans frequently and train annually in safety procedures and fire and earthquake drills. The district's careful use of resources ensures that students have access to clean and safe facilities. The district's facilities staff works within a scheduled preventative maintenance program to prevent costly repairs. Each site has updated and implemented a district wide crisis plan. Staff and hired yard supervisors monitor the school grounds for 20 minutes before and after school as well as at all recesses and at lunch time. Visitors must sign in at the office, where they receive a bright badge to wear throughout their stay. We consider a comfortable and caring environment to be part of school climate and are implementing a school-wide character trait of the month program as well as incentives and consequences for behavior. We hold monthly spirit days, and provide opportunities for our students so that they develop the characteristics that will prepare them to become responsible, contributing citizens. For instance, we have a student based recycling program, our students compost fruits and vegetables, and they plant, tend, harvest and eat from our "triangle" food garden. Our staff works to know every child by name as well as to know their individual strength. We want our school to be a place that nurtures our students and a place our children truly enjoy being.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2014-15)

AYP Criteria	School	District	State
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No	Yes
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	No	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	No	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-16)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In Pl	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2009-2010	2013-2014
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 5	Year 2
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	4
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	66.7

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

		201	2-13		2013-14				2014-15				
Grade			sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg. Number of Classes			sses		
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	
к	27		3		26	1	2	1	20	3	5		
1	23	1	1		26		2		22	1	2		
2	23	1	2		23		3		23		3		
3	21	1	4		19	3	3		24		5		
4	20	1	1		28		2		21	1	2		
5	26		2		30		1		26		3		
6	32		1	1	27		3		25		5		

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2014-15)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor	
Academic Counselor	0	n/a	
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.6	N/A	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.5	N/A	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1.1	N/A	
Psychologist	.5	N/A	
Social Worker	0	N/A	
Nurse	.15	N/A	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0	N/A	
Resource Specialist	0	N/A	
Other		N/A	

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	10,333	3,050	7,283	55,013
District	N/A	N/A	7,046	\$67,244
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	3.4	-18.2
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,348	\$69,257
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	36.2	-20.6

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

In 2014-15 Montgomery Elementary School received funding and services through the following federal, state and local resources: Title I, Part A, Local Control Funding Formula, Davis Parcel Tax, Davis Schools Foundation, and Davis School Arts Foundation.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$37,305	\$42,315
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$59,425	\$66,451
Highest Teacher Salary	\$79,525	\$85,603
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$104,453	\$105,079
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$111,180	\$111,005
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$118,111	\$121,310
Superintendent Salary	\$178,000	\$189,899
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39%	39%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Davis Joint Unified School District focuses our professional growth system on our mission and objectives with priority given to social emotional intelligence, differentiated instruction, inquiry based learning, implementation of the Common Core State Standards and the formative assessment process. These focus areas were developed through the Strategic Plan and Local Control Accountability Plan goals and process along with teacher surveys to ensure relevancy and meaningfulness.

Davis Joint Unified School District uses a variety of professional learning models to engage staff in their continued growth. Built on the foundation of internal expertise and consultants from outside DJUSD, staff has opportunities during the school year and summer to complete professional development activities. These activities are supported by federal, state, and local funding and generous local parcel taxes. The professional development within Davis Joint Unified School District has a heavy emphasis on collaboration where time is reserved weekly for staff collaboration by sites in grade level or departmental teams under the direction of site administrators, staff also has the opportunity to collaborate with grade level/content peers through the Common Core Collaboration Grants, and collaboration opportunities are built in to all of the professional development sessions. DJUSD also has instructional coaches that provide professional development through model lessons, collaborative planning and in context learning. Examples of recent DJUSD professional learning activities include:

* Site Developed Training (K-12) provided professional development to meet specific needs of site staff; professional development sources included outside trainers, teacher-trainers/experts, and administrators.

* DJUSD Secondary (7-12) Grade Level Articulation breakout sessions provided a venue for teacher experts to share with their colleagues and facilitate discussions on a variety of topics related to the Common Core State Standards as they applied to secondary education.

* UC Davis Mathematics Project Teacher Leadership program (K-12) trained math and English Learner specialists to collaborate on how to address significant instructional shifts in the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) for mathematics.

* English Language Learner Support (K-12) trainings in the area of English Language Long-Term Learner at Yolo County Office of Education; in addition to in-district trainings for the administration of English Language Development assessments.

* UC Davis Math Project Series presented by DJUSD content expert and UC Davis Math Project presenter.

- * Next Generation Science Standards focus professional development and collaboration.
- *Science in the River City
- *Area 3 Writing Project writing series for K-6
- *Project Based Learning Conference and series presented by DJUSD experts
- *Gender Inclusiveness training
- *Anti-bullying series of workshops
- * Yolo County Mental Health First Aid
- *Restorative Practices
- *Behavior Prevention Strategies
- *Staff Book Clubs and collaboration time