

# Martin Luther King High School

## School Accountability Report Card

### Reported Using Data from the 2014-15 School Year

#### Published During 2015-16

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## About This School

#### Contact Information (Most Recent Year)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Martin Luther King High School
Street	635 B St.
City, State, Zip	Davis, CA 95616
Phone Number	530-757-5425
Principal	Michelle Flowers
E-mail Address	mflowers@djusd.net
Web Site	
Grades Served	9-12
CDS Code	57726785732219

District Contact Information	
District Name	Davis Joint Unified School District
Phone Number	(530) 757-5300
Superintendent	Superintendent Winfred Roberson
E-mail Address	superintendent@djud.net
Web Site	www.djud.net

### School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

MLKHS is a unique learning environment that serves between 60-75 students at any given time. Students enter on a quarterly basis and exit whenever they have met graduation requirements, or return to the comprehensive high school; as a result, MLKHS enrolls approximately 140 students over the course of a school year. New students are referred through a Student Study Team (SST) process with the site principal and counselor to determine the appropriateness of placement at King. The school offers a voluntary educational option for high school students who are at least 16 years old and in the 11-12th grade. Tenth grade students are enrolled on a case by case basis. Students and parents choose King for a variety of reasons such as credit recovery, acceleration, flexible scheduling, a small learning community, one-on-one instruction, and/or to address special needs or circumstances (SPED/ELL). Since its inception in 1970, the school site and facilities, as well as staff, have expanded to better meet the needs for any student who needs an alternative educational route to a diploma.

Martin Luther King High School supports the individual student's educational journey toward earning a high school diploma. Our students gain both literacy and career skills, along with the necessary academics required for graduation. We teach vocational and technological skills which will help advance students' ability to succeed in today's job market and post secondary educational settings and beyond. Through a structured and caring <https://secure.doc-tracking.com/v2/Home/DocumentSectionEditRteTest.aspx?DefId=31718&SectionId=607697> educational environment, we strive to cultivate in each student a sense of responsibility and respect for themselves, the community, and the world at large.

Our school came together in Fall 2014 to clarify its values:

#### INDIVIDUALITY, FLEXIBILITY and CREATIVITY.

- We honor the individual learning styles and the diverse life paths of our students.
- We strive to provide a caring, unique and supportive environment.
- We value curiosity, questions, and the interests of our students.

#### RESPECT, DIVERSITY and SAFETY.

- We promote an atmosphere of acceptance and respect for all.
- Students have the right to learn, and we have the right to teach in a safe, supportive environment.
- Our school policies will be implemented with consistency and fairness.
- We use a team approach to foster high academic and behavioral standards.
- We encourage accountability, and seek to encourage intrinsic motivation for learning.
- We foster an environment that embraces learning from mistakes.

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2014-15)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 11	10
Grade 12	37
Total Enrollment	47

### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	2.1
Asian	4.3
Filipino	2.1
Hispanic or Latino	40.4
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2.1
White	46.8
Two or More Races	2.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	46.8
English Learners	6.4
Students with Disabilities	17

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

### Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16
With Full Credential	6	7	6	394
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	2
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	2

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2014-15)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	85.1	.5
High-Poverty Schools in District	100	0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	99.4	.6

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

**Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)**

Year and month in which data were collected: October 15, 2015

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	These text materials are aligned with state content standards and officially adopted for use in the classroom.	Yes	0%
Mathematics	These text materials are aligned with state content standards and officially adopted for use in the classroom	Yes	0%
Science	These text materials are aligned with state content standards and officially adopted for use in the classroom.	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	These text materials are aligned with state content standards and officially adopted for use in the classroom.	Yes	0%
Health	These text materials are aligned with state content standards and officially adopted for use in the classroom.	Yes	0%
Visual and Performing Arts			

**School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)**

Martin Luther King Continuation High School is a relatively new facility, having been built new and moved into in 2007, and meets all standards for good repair, as established by the Office of Public School Construction. There are no facility deficiencies.

**School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)**

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month in which data were collected: 1/9/16				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	X			
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	X			
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

### Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month in which data were collected: 1/9/16				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
		X		

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

### California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (School Year 2014-15)

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)		
	School	District	State
English Language Arts/Literacy	28	68	44
Mathematics	11	64	33

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)

#### Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	11	20	18	90.0	39	33	17	11
Male	11		12	60.0	33	42	8	17
Female	11		6	30.0	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	11		1	5.0	--	--	--	--
Asian	11		2	10.0	--	--	--	--
Filipino	11		1	5.0	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	11		7	35.0	--	--	--	--
White	11		7	35.0	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	11		7	35.0	--	--	--	--
English Learners	11		2	10.0	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	11		4	20.0	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	11		--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

**CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**

**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	11	20	18	90.0	67	22	11	0
Male	11		12	60.0	67	25	8	0
Female	11		6	30.0	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	11		1	5.0	--	--	--	--
Asian	11		2	10.0	--	--	--	--
Filipino	11		1	5.0	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	11		7	35.0	--	--	--	--
White	11		7	35.0	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	11		7	35.0	--	--	--	--
English Learners	11		2	10.0	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	11		4	20.0	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	11		--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

**California Standards Tests for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)**

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	--	--	--	83	82	81	59	60	56

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**California Standards Tests Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2014-15)**

Student Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	81
All Students at the School	--
Male	--
Female	--
Hispanic or Latino	--
White	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--
Students with Disabilities	--
Foster Youth	--

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2014-15)**

All students at King High school are required to meet a 5 credit requirement in Career education. Students investigate their learning and personality styles as well as research careers that match their interests. Students are encouraged to apply for positions that allow them to broaden their outlook of careers. Students are taught how to interview for positions using mock interviews from staff and peers. In 2014, the school held a Career Fair for all students. Professionals from various occupations spoke to students about their career and the pathway to the career. Additionally, students are encouraged to investigate the community colleges in the area. Beginning each January, each senior meets with the counselor and fills out the FAFSA and registers for the community college of their choice. At least once per year, a field trip to the local community college is planned for all interested students.

**Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2014-15)**

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	51
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0%
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	0%

**Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission**

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2014-15 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0
2013-14 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0

**State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education.

### California High School Exit Examination Results for Grade Ten Students (Three-Year Comparison)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English-Language Arts				83	44	47	57	56	58
Mathematics				89	46	51	60	62	59

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group (School Year 2014-15)

Group	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
	Percent Not Proficient	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Not Proficient	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students in the LEA	18	21	61	12	38	50
All Students at the School	0	0		0		0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2014-15)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)

Parents are an important part of King High School. Each year, a back to school night event is held that includes dinner, an open house, and the opportunity for our parents to discuss ways to support each other. Parent representatives serve on the superintendent's parent advisory group, school site council, and are encouraged to serve on various district committees such as DELAC, AIM, and Special Education. Parents are kept abreast of happenings at King by list-serve announcements, School Loop email, and an updated website. Each fall King hosts a Back to School night, and in 2014 more than 25% of the students' families were represented. Parents are recruited to drive on field trips, and attend other outings in a supervisory capacity. In addition, at least one parent serves on interview committee for incoming staff. This year, 2 parents are actively involved in site council as well as our WASC self-study.

### State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

### Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Dropout Rate	2.40	1.50	3.40	2.40	1.50	3.40	13.10	11.40	11.50
Graduation Rate	94.85	97.45	95.14	94.85	97.45	95.14	78.87	80.44	80.95



**Completion of High School Graduation Requirements (Graduating Class of 2014)**

Group	Graduating Class of 2014		
	School	District	State
All Students	78.57	97.32	84.6
Black or African American	33.33	76.19	76
American Indian or Alaska Native		100	78.07
Asian		95	92.62
Filipino		133.33	96.49
Hispanic or Latino	80	96.33	81.28
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander		200	83.58
White	83.33	98.29	89.93
Two or More Races		103.7	82.8
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100	78.57	61.28
English Learners	11.11	33.33	50.76
Students with Disabilities	50	92.52	81.36
Foster Youth	--	--	--

**State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

**Suspensions and Expulsions**

Rate	School			District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions	6.02	12.50	11.63	3.86	3.72	2.42	5.07	4.36	3.80
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.13	0.10	0.09

**School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)**

School safety begins with a focus on respect for and acceptance of others. The King HS staff monitors language and behavior in classrooms and between classes. King does not have an open campus, and students check in and out at the front office. All visitors enter the building through the front office and identify themselves to the secretary or principal. Emergency kits and safety binders are in all classrooms and are easily identified by their location. The school safety plan is available in the office and the School Site Council (SSC) reviews it every year. In the fall of 2013, a Crisis Response Protocol and a site-based Discipline Response Flow Chart were established to facilitate consistent and effective response to all safety issues and instances of misbehavior. Quarterly fire drills, and annual lock-down drills are conducted to ensure staff and student readiness to all basic emergencies. In addition, the staff has access to all DJUSD personnel with the use of Nextel phones that will alert the administration of situations at King immediately.

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

### Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2014-15)

AYP Criteria	School	District	State
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No	Yes
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	No	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	No	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Attendance Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes

### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-16)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2013-2014
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 2
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	4
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	66.7

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2012-13				2013-14				2014-15			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	7	5			6	5			6	5		
Mathematics	6	4			6	4			7	4		
Science	6	2			4	2			8	3		
Social Science	8	7			4	7			6	7		

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

**Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2014-15)**

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	n/a
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0	N/A
Psychologist	.3	N/A
Social Worker	.7	N/A
Nurse	.12	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0	N/A
Resource Specialist	.6	N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

**Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)**

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/Restricted	Basic/Unrestricted	
School Site	22,657	2,961	19,696	63,820
District	N/A	N/A	7,046	\$67,244
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	179.5	-5.1
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,348	\$69,257
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	268.3	-7.9

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

**Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2014-15)**

In 2014-15 King High School received funding and services through the following federal, state and local resources: Title I, Part A, Local Control Funding Formula, Davis Parcel Tax, Davis Schools Foundation, and Davis School Arts Foundation.

**Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)**

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$37,305	\$42,315
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$59,425	\$66,451
Highest Teacher Salary	\$79,525	\$85,603
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$104,453	\$105,079
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$111,180	\$111,005
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$118,111	\$121,310
Superintendent Salary	\$178,000	\$189,899
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39%	39%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

**Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2014-15)**

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		N/A
English		N/A
Fine and Performing Arts		N/A
Foreign Language		N/A
Mathematics		N/A
Science		N/A
Social Science		N/A
All courses		

\* Cells with N/A values do not require data. Where there are student course enrollments.

**Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

Davis Joint Unified School District focuses our professional growth system on our mission and objectives with priority given to social emotional intelligence, differentiated instruction, inquiry based learning, implementation of the Common Core State Standards and the formative assessment process. These focus areas were developed through the Strategic Plan and Local Control Accountability Plan goals and process along with teacher surveys to ensure relevancy and meaningfulness.

Davis Joint Unified School District uses a variety of professional learning models to engage staff in their continued growth. Built on the foundation of internal expertise and consultants from outside DJUSD, staff has opportunities during the school year and summer to complete professional development activities. These activities are supported by federal, state, and local funding and generous local parcel taxes. The professional development within Davis Joint Unified School District has a heavy emphasis on collaboration where time is reserved weekly for staff collaboration by sites in grade level or departmental teams under the direction of site administrators, staff also has the opportunity to collaborate with grade level/content peers through the Common Core Collaboration Grants, and collaboration opportunities are built in to all of the professional development sessions. DJUSD also has instructional coaches that provide professional development through model lessons, collaborative planning and in context learning. Examples of recent DJUSD professional learning activities include:

- \* Site Developed Training (K-12) provided professional development to meet specific needs of site staff; professional development sources included outside trainers, teacher-trainers/experts, and administrators.
- \* DJUSD Secondary (7-12) Grade Level Articulation breakout sessions provided a venue for teacher experts to share with their colleagues and facilitate discussions on a variety of topics related to the Common Core State Standards as they applied to secondary education.
- \* UC Davis Mathematics Project Teacher Leadership program (K-12) trained math and English Learner specialists to collaborate on how to address significant instructional shifts in the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) for mathematics.
- \* English Language Learner Support (K-12 ) trainings in the area of English Language Long-Term Learner at Yolo County Office of Education; in addition to in-district trainings for the administration of English Language Development assessments.
- \* UC Davis Math Project Series presented by DJUSD content expert and UC Davis Math Project presenter.
- \* Next Generation Science Standards focus professional development and collaboration.
- \*Science in the River City
- \*Area 3 Writing Project writing series for K-6
- \*Project Based Learning Conference and series presented by DJUSD experts
- \*Gender Inclusiveness training
- \*Anti-bullying series of workshops
- \* Yolo County Mental Health First Aid
- \*Restorative Practices
- \*Behavior Prevention Strategies
- \*Staff Book Clubs and collaboration time