# Fred T. Korematsu Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2014-15 School Year Published During 2015-16

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

# **About This School**

### **Contact Information (Most Recent Year)**

School Contact Information				
School Name	Fred T. Korematsu Elementary School			
Street	3100 Loyola Dr.			
City, State, Zip	Davis, CA 95616			
Phone Number	530-757-5358			
Principal	Mary Ponce			
E-mail Address	mponce@djusd.net			
Web Site				
Grades Served	К-б			
CDS Code	57726780111401			

District Contact Info	District Contact Information		
District Name	Davis Joint Unified School District		
Phone Number	(530) 757-5300		
Superintendent	Superintendent Winfred Roberson		
E-mail Address	superintendent@djusd.net		
Web Site	www.djusd.net		

### School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

Fred T. Korematsu Elementary School at Mace Ranch is the newest elementary to serve students in the Davis Joint Unified School District. The school opened in 2006 serving kindergarten and first grade and has expanded to serve TK through 6th grade for the 2013-2014 school year. Korematsu in 2008 expanded to a full K-6 configuration. Currently, Korematsu serves TK to 6th and has a little over 540 students. The school houses one strand of Gifted and Talented program 4th-6th grade. Before-school care is provided by the Childrens Development Center and after-school care is provided by both the Childrens Development Center and the ACCESS Program. In 2008 we became a targeted Title I school with a poverty rate of 27%. However, in 2014-2015 school year we declined, and now have a 21% poverty rate. Our school programs are currently supported by LCAP and Federal Targeted Title I money. Korematsu had an experienced staff all of whom have made commitment to our diverse community. However, with a retirement incentive offered in the spring of 2014, Korematsu had 6 veteran teachers retire, which included a library and part time science specialist. The district as a whole had the largest retirement across the elementary schools, as a result, the district in the spring of 2014 hired over 25 elementary new staff to fill the gap. Korematsu received 7 new staff members; 5 classroom teachers and two specialists. All ranging from first year to seven years of experience. We are supported by a very strong community commitment and receive annually services from the community parcel tax. These services range from library, science strings and band programs, to name a few. We are also supported by a very strong and committed PTO, which is in its 4th year of fundraising over 34K at our annual auction. The PTO provides classrooms with extra instructional support, field trips, enrichment programs, and community events. It is the mission of Korematsu Elementary School to provide excellence in education to a changing population by nurturing and developing the natural curiosity that each student brings to our community. We believe that every student wants to learn and that the diversity of our school population is a strength that supports greater understanding and respect. Korematsu's goal is that students, staff and parents build pro-social skills through an inclusive environment that is socally just and equitable to ensure ALL students a prepared for an every changing world. We are creating a school of Equity and Excellence.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	72
Grade 1	75
Grade 2	64
Grade 3	67
Grade 4	78
Grade 5	92
Grade 6	91
Total Enrollment	539

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2014-15)

### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment		
Black or African American	3.3		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.7		
Asian	23.6		
Filipino	1.7		
Hispanic or Latino	18.4		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.4		
White	44.9		
Two or More Races	6.5		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	21.7		
English Learners	13.9		
Students with Disabilities	8.9		
Foster Youth	0.4		

# A. Conditions of Learning

### **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

### **Teacher Credentials**

Tankan		District		
Teachers	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16
With Full Credential	24	24	26	394
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	2
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	1	1	1	2

### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	1	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	2	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2014-15)

Leasting of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects					
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers				
This School	100	0				
All Schools in District	85.1	.5				
High-Poverty Schools in District	100	0				
Low-Poverty Schools in District	99.4	.6				

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

### Year and month in which data were collected: October 15, 2015

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy	
Reading/Language Arts	Reading/Language Arts K-5 Houghton Mifflin, Houghton Mifflin Reading: A Legacy of Literacy, 2003, 6-8 Prentice Hall Literature: Timeless Voices, Timeless Themes, 2002	Yes	0%	
Mathematics	Mathematics, K-6: Envision Math, 2015	Yes	0%	
Science	Science K-5 Delta Education, Full Option Science System (FOSS), 2007, 6-8 CPO Science, Focus on Earth, Life and Physical Science, 2006	Yes	0%	
History-Social Science	History-Social Science K-5 Harcourt, Reflections: California Series, 2006, 6-8 TCI, History Alive! Middle School Program, 2006	Yes	0%	
Visual and Performing Arts				

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Korematsu Elementary is the newest elementary in the Davis Joint Unified District and in its fourth year of operation in a new facility. Regular safety inspections are performed by the site administration, site custodial staff, and district maintenance crews and corrections implemented as soon as possible. The site has one full-time custodian and one part-time custodian who maintain classrooms and other areas of the school on a daily basis. Korematsu has 19 regular classrooms Additionally, Korematsu has a school library, a computer lab, a science lab, a music room, a reading resource room, a resource education/full inclusion/speech room, a math/counselor/english learner resource room, multipurpose room and a kitchen.

### School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 1/9/16							
Repair Status Repair Needed a							
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned			
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	х						
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х						

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 1/9/16							
	Repair Status			Repair Needed and			
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	х						
Electrical: Electrical	х						
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	x						
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	х						
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs		x		Brick veneer and stucco repairs needed on C, E, F wings. Project approved for summer of 2016. Gutter leaking on B12. Establish work order.			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	х						

### **Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)**

Year and month in which data were collected: 1/9/16						
	Exemplary Good		Fair	Poor		
Overall Rating		х				

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

### **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

### California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (School Year 2014-15)

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School	District	State			
English Language Arts/Literacy	69	68	44			
Mathematics	64	64	33			

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

		Number o	f Students		Pe	rcent of Stude	nts	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	68	67	98.5	21	19	18	42
	4	78	74	94.9	19	11	26	45
	5	92	90	97.8	12	14	31	41
	6	91	90	98.9	12	16	39	32
Male	3		31	45.6	32	19	13	35
	4		39	50.0	21	10	31	38
	5		40	43.5	15	20	28	38
	6		54	59.3	19	15	35	30
Female	3		36	52.9	11	19	22	47
	4		35	44.9	17	11	20	51
	5		50	54.3	10	10	34	44
	6		36	39.6	3	17	44	36
Black or African American	3		3	4.4				
	4		1	1.3				
	5		4	4.3				
	6		1	1.1				
American Indian or Alaska Native	3		1	1.5				
	5		1	1.1				
	6		1	1.1				
Asian	3		18	26.5	17	6	22	56
	4		20	25.6	5	5	30	60
	5		19	20.7	0	16	16	63
	6		27	29.7	0	7	48	44
Filipino	3		1	1.5				
	4		1	1.3				
	5		2	2.2				
	6		1	1.1				
Hispanic or Latino	3		14	20.6	21	29	36	14
	4		11	14.1	36	18	0	45
	5		18	19.6	22	17	56	6
	6		17	18.7	29	29	35	6
Native Hawaiian or Pacific	3		1	1.5				
Islander	5		1	1.1				
White	3		26	38.2	23	19	8	50
	4		32	41.0	22	16	22	41
	5		41	44.6	12	15	27	46

		Number o	f Students		Pei	cent of Stude	nts	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
	6		39	42.9	10	15	36	36
Two or More Races	3		3	4.4				
	4		9	11.5				
	5		4	4.3				
	6		4	4.4				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		17	25.0	53	24	12	12
	4		9	11.5				
	5		20	21.7	45	20	25	10
	6		21	23.1	33	24	33	10
English Learners	3		9	13.2				
	4		5	6.4				
	5		11	12.0	36	27	18	9
	6		8	8.8				
Students with Disabilities	3		10	14.7				
	4		7	9.0				
	5		4	4.3				
	6		9	9.9				
Foster Youth	3							
	4							
	5							
	6							

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

### **CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students						
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded		
All Students	3	68	67	98.5	22	13	34	30		
	4	78	76	97.4	9	20	21	47		
	5	92	90	97.8	17	22	19	42		
	6	91	89	97.8	13	24	26	37		
Male	3		31	45.6	26	10	26	39		
	4		41	52.6	7	20	27	41		
	5		40	43.5	15	20	18	48		
	6		53	58.2	19	21	19	42		
Female	3		36	52.9	19	17	42	22		

		Number o	f Students		Pe	cent of Stude	nts	
Student Group	Grade		Tested	Tested	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard
		Enroned	Testeu	Testeu	Not Met	Nearly Met	Met	Exceeded
	4		35	44.9	11	20	14	54
	5		50	54.3	18	24	20	38
	6		36	39.6	6	28	36	31
Black or African American	3		3	4.4				
	4		1	1.3				
	5		4	4.3				
	6		1	1.1				
American Indian or Alaska Native	3		1	1.5				
	5		1	1.1				
	6		1	1.1				
Asian	3		18	26.5	11	11	22	56
	4		21	26.9	0	5	24	67
	5		19	20.7	5	11	16	68
	6		27	29.7	0	19	26	56
Filipino	3		1	1.5				
	4		1	1.3				
	5		2	2.2				
	6		1	1.1				
Hispanic or Latino	3		14	20.6	36	36	21	7
	4		11	14.1	36	9	9	45
	5		18	19.6	28	33	22	17
	6		17	18.7	41	29	24	6
Native Hawaiian or Pacific	3		1	1.5				
Islander	5		1	1.1				
White	3		26	38.2	19	8	42	31
	4		33	42.3	9	27	15	45
	5		41	44.6	17	20	20	44
	6		38	41.8	8	24	26	42
Two or More Races	3		3	4.4				
	4		9	11.5				
	5		4	4.3				
	6		4	4.4				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		17	25.0	53	12	24	12
	4		9	11.5				
	5		20	21.7	50	20	15	15
	6		21	23.1	38	43	14	5
English Learners	3		9	13.2				
	I							

		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students						
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded		
	4		6	7.7						
	5		11	12.0	45	18	18	18		
	6		8	8.8						
Students with Disabilities	3		10	14.7						
	4		8	10.3						
	5		4	4.3						
	6		9	9.9						
Foster Youth	3									
	4									
	5									
	6									

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

### California Standards Tests for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

					Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced ing or exceeding the state standards)				
Subject	School			District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	84	86	76	83	82	81	59	60	56

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### California Standards Tests Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2014-15)

Student	Percent of Students Scoring at
Group	Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	81
All Students at the School	76
Male	76
Female	75
Black or African American	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	83
Filipino	
Hispanic or Latino	52
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	
White	78
Two or More Races	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	
English Learners	
Students with Disabilities	31
Foster Youth	

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2014-15)

Level Eour of Six Standards Eive of Six Standards Six of Six Standards	Grade	Percer	nt of Students Meeting Fitness Standards						
Five of Six Standards Six of Six Standards	Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards					

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# **C.** Engagement

### **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)**

Korematsu has several active parent programs that support learning. The Parent-Teacher Organization has been instrumental in supporting and augmenting the development of a vital school community at the site, sponsoring school-wide events throughout the year that link to classroom programs and bringing our families together to celebrate annual benchmarks in the school year. The Site Council has played a critical role in working with teachers to review and plan instructional strategies and key program support for our diverse community and success for all students. An active bilingual parent community that meet regularly for ELAC to review their children's English Language Acquisition development and review program implementation. Korematsu also has an active School Garden Committee and a program of volunteers in our classrooms and libraries.

### **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

Data	School				District		State			
Rate	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	
Suspensions	1.24	0.85	0.36	3.86	3.72	2.42	5.07	4.36	3.80	
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.13	0.10	0.09	

### School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

Procedures are in place at the site in the event of a natural or man-made disaster. The procedures address student safety, supervision, facility monitoring and parental notification. The following conditions provide Korematsu students with a safe school environment before, during, and after school: Before-school supervision provided by yard duties beginning at 8:10 a.m. Recess and lunch period supervision provided by yard duties and paraeducators Visitors and parents are required to check-in at the office prior to accessing the classrooms Students arriving late or leaving early must be processed through the main office The school's Crisis Response Plan is available for review in the main office some of the components include the following: Crisis/Disaster Leader in each building/wing w/ walkie talkie Each classroom provided w/ red emergency packback w/ walkie talkie and emergency supplies Systems and Disaster Response folders and charts in each classroom Practice of disaster drills monthly and quarterly

Climate: We focus on social justice traits monthly and behavior goals. We have a counselor once a week who pushes in and prepares classroom instruction for students in the area of conflict resolution, self-esteem, empathy, self-regulation, child development.

# **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

### Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2014-15)

AYP Criteria	School	District	State
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No	Yes
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	No	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	No	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes

### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-16)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In Pl
First Year of Program Improvement	2013-2014	2013-2014
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 1	Year 2
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	4
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	66.7

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

		201	2-13			201	3-14		2014-15				
Grade	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Num	nber of Cla	sses	
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	
к	30		4		28		5		24		3		
1	22	1	2		26		2		33		2	1	
2	28		2		24	1	3		26		2		
3	30		3		30		2		30		2	1	
4	32		1	1	30		5		25		2		
5	23	2	3		31		3		38		3	1	
6	30	1	1	2	30		3		30		3		

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2014-15)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor	
Academic Counselor	0	n/a	
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.5	N/A	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.5	N/A	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.9	N/A	
Psychologist	.5	N/A	
Social Worker	0	N/A	
Nurse	.23	N/A	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0	N/A	
Resource Specialist	0	N/A	
Other		N/A	

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

### Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	8,236	1,970	6,266	75,409
District	N/A	N/A	7,046	\$67,244
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-11.1	12.1
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,348	\$69,257
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	17.2	8.9

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

In 2014-15 Korematsu Elementary School received funding and services through the following federal, state and local resources: Title I, Part A, Local Control Funding Formula, Davis Parcel Tax, Davis Schools Foundation, and Davis School Arts Foundation.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$37,305	\$42,315
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$59,425	\$66,451
Highest Teacher Salary	\$79,525	\$85,603
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$104,453	\$105,079
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$111,180	\$111,005
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$118,111	\$121,310
Superintendent Salary	\$178,000	\$189,899
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39%	39%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

### **Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

Davis Joint Unified School District focuses our professional growth system on our mission and objectives with priority given to social emotional intelligence, differentiated instruction, inquiry based learning, implementation of the Common Core State Standards and the formative assessment process. These focus areas were developed through the Strategic Plan and Local Control Accountability Plan goals and process along with teacher surveys to ensure relevancy and meaningfulness.

Davis Joint Unified School District uses a variety of professional learning models to engage staff in their continued growth. Built on the foundation of internal expertise and consultants from outside DJUSD, staff has opportunities during the school year and summer to complete professional development activities. These activities are supported by federal, state, and local funding and generous local parcel taxes. The professional development within Davis Joint Unified School District has a heavy emphasis on collaboration where time is reserved weekly for staff collaboration by sites in grade level or departmental teams under the direction of site administrators, staff also has the opportunity to collaborate with grade level/content peers through the Common Core Collaboration Grants, and collaboration opportunities are built in to all of the professional development sessions. DJUSD also has instructional coaches that provide professional development through model lessons, collaborative planning and in context learning. Examples of recent DJUSD professional learning activities include:

\* Site Developed Training (K-12) provided professional development to meet specific needs of site staff; professional development sources included outside trainers, teacher-trainers/experts, and administrators.

\* DJUSD Secondary (7-12) Grade Level Articulation breakout sessions provided a venue for teacher experts to share with their colleagues and facilitate discussions on a variety of topics related to the Common Core State Standards as they applied to secondary education.

\* UC Davis Mathematics Project Teacher Leadership program (K-12) trained math and English Learner specialists to collaborate on how to address significant instructional shifts in the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) for mathematics.

\* English Language Learner Support (K-12) trainings in the area of English Language Long-Term Learner at Yolo County Office of Education; in addition to in-district trainings for the administration of English Language Development assessments.

\* UC Davis Math Project Series presented by DJUSD content expert and UC Davis Math Project presenter.

\* Next Generation Science Standards focus professional development and collaboration.

\*Science in the River City

\*Area 3 Writing Project writing series for K-6

\*Project Based Learning Conference and series presented by DJUSD experts

\*Gender Inclusiveness training

\*Anti-bullying series of workshops

\* Yolo County Mental Health First Aid

\*Restorative Practices

\*Behavior Prevention Strategies

\*Staff Book Clubs and collaboration time