

Davis School for Independent Study School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year

Published During 2016-17

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Davis School for Independent Study School
Street	526 B St.
City, State, Zip	Davis, CA 95616
Phone Number	530-757-5333
Principal	Michelle Flowers
E-mail Address	mflowers@djud.net
CDS Code	57726785730098

District Contact Information	
District Name	Davis Joint Unified School District
Phone Number	(530) 757-5300
Superintendent	Superintendent John Bowes
E-mail Address	superintendent@djustd.net
Web Site	www.djustd.net

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

Davis School for Independent Study (DSIS) is a unique learning community. We offer educational options for students in kindergarten through twelfth grade who are seeking an alternative to traditional modes of instruction. This includes home school support for K-6, a one-to-one student to teacher ratio for secondary independent study, and hybrid online courses for high school credit recovery. Employing home school (K-6) and independent study (7-12) methodologies, our students, parents, and teachers work closely together to build student self-responsibility, academic growth, and social-emotional well being. DSIS is a fully WASC-accredited high school. We use district-approved curricula and tailor each student's experience to individual learning style and interests. Visitors consistently comment on the enthusiasm and success-oriented attitude that prevails among students, staff, and parents. We provide guidance and materials to students and families and give students opportunities for accomplishment, both individually and as community members. By its very design, DSIS celebrates diversity. We are a school where education and life are intertwined and where learning is a product of daily living.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	2
Grade 1	3
Grade 2	3
Grade 3	5
Grade 4	1
Grade 5	2
Grade 6	3
Grade 7	5
Grade 8	5
Grade 9	14
Grade 10	20
Grade 11	24
Grade 12	32
Total Enrollment	119

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.8
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.7
Asian	5
Filipino	0.8
Hispanic or Latino	18.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	68.1
Two or More Races	5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	20.2
English Learners	1.7
Students with Disabilities	9.2
Foster Youth	0

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	13	11	12	391
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	10
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	93.2	6.8
All Schools in District	94.0	6.0
High-Poverty Schools in District	0.0	0.0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	89.4	10.6

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Year and month in which data were collected: October 6, 2016

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	K-5 Houghton Mifflin, Houghton Mifflin Reading: A Legacy of Literacy, 2003 6-8 Prentice Hall Literature: Timeless Voices, Timeless Themes, 2002 10-12 English 10th Language of Literature, World, McDougal Littell, 2002, 11th Language of Literature, American, McDougal Littell, 2002, 12th Steps to Writing Well, Wadsworth Publishing, 2005, 9th California Collections, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt	Yes	0%
Mathematics	Envision, Pearson, 2015, Geometry Connections, McDougal Littell Algebra I, McDougal, 2007 McDougal Littell Algebra 2, McDougal, 2007 Geometry, Prentice Hall, 2008, Big Ideas Course 1, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2013, Big Ideas Course 2, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2013, Big Ideas Course 3, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2013, Big Ideas Accelerated, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2013, Integrated Math I, College Preparatory Mathematics, 2015, Integrated Math II, College Preparatory Mathematics, 2015, Integrated Math III, College Preparatory Mathematics, 2015	Yes	0%
Science	Elementary - K-5 Delta Education, Full Option Science System (FOSS), 2007, Middle School - 6-8 CPO Science, Focus on Earth, Life and Physical Science, 2006, High School - Earth Science, Glencoe/McGraw Hill, 2001, Physical Science, Glencoe McGraw Hill, 2001, Biology, Prentice Hall, 2002	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	K-5 Harcourt, Reflections: California Series, 2006, 6-8 TCI, History Alive! CA Middle School Social Studies, 2006, High School - Geography, McDougal Littell, 2003, Modern World History, McDougal Littell, 2006, World History, Pearson/Prentice Hall, American Vison, Glencoe/McGraw, 2006, United States History, Economics: Principles & Practices, Glencoe McGraw Hill, 1999, Economics: Today & Tomorrow, Glencoe/McGraw Hill, 2005, Magruder's American Gov't, Prentice Hall, 2006, United States Government, 2001	Yes	0%
Health	Middle School - Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Holt Decisions for Health, High School Level - Glencoe/McGraw Hill, 2007	Yes	0%
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	College prep biology is offered at DSIS. The district adopted resolutions defining "sufficiency" apply to this course; the site verifies there is a sufficient supply of materials and equipment to conduct biology labs.	Yes	0%

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Davis School for Independent Study is in good repair, according to the criteria established by the Office of Public School Construction. Facility deficiencies are minor ones resulting from common wear and tear, and there are few of them. In 2008, DSIS also acquired additional office space and a classroom at the north wing of the district office building to help expand our k-6 homeschool program and allow for better space use for 7-12 students and staff in our main building. The school is working with the district to update the facility per the safety evaluation conducted in the Spring of 2016. They school will also work with their new leadership to try to maximize space for the changing program.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/2016				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces			X	Office - Stained carpet by entrance. Library - Stained ceiling tile. Computer Lab - Cracked ceiling tile. Classroom 1 - Stained ceiling tile and peeling tackable surface. Office (North) - Stained carpet. Classroom (North) - Drapes torn (proposal approved for replacement).
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	X			Classroom (North) - Stained sink.
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences			X	Area needs to be organized and cleaned up.

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/2016				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
				X

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
English Language Arts/Literacy	63	73	69	71	44	48
Mathematics	45	50	65	65	34	36

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	8	13	9	69.2	88.9
	11	32	20	62.5	65.0
Male	11	19	14	73.7	57.1
Female	11	13	6	46.1	83.3
White	11	17	10	58.8	50.0

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	11	32	20	62.5	25.0
Male	11	19	13	68.4	23.1

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
Female	11	13	7	53.9	28.6
White	11	17	10	58.8	10.0

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	55	59	80	82	81	78	60	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	# of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students Proficient or Advanced
All Students	36	25	69.4	80.0
Male	14	10	71.4	70.0
Female	22	15	68.2	86.7
White	23	14	60.9	85.7

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2015-16)

DSIS students are eligible to enroll in CTE courses that are offered at DSHS.

DJUSD currently offers pathways in the fields of Agriculture, Automotive, and Technology. Additional pathways of Science, Media Art & Entertainment and Robotics are currently in development.

Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and/or preparation for work include: Agricultural Engineering- Advanced Design and Fabrication, Agricultural Engineering I & II, Animal Science, Automotive Technology- Advanced, Automotive Technology- Basic, Biotechnology & Biotech Internship, Computer Programming (C++/JAVA), Drafting, Environmental Science, Fashion Careers, Intro, Fashion, Textiles & Apparel, Advanced, Fashion, Textiles & Apparel, Beginning & Intermediate, Food Science and Nutrition, Food Service & Hospitality, Internet Working Levels I & II (CISCO), Journalism 2 / Multimedia (semester), Journalism 2 / The HUB (Semester newspaper), Ornamental Horticulture, Robotics Engineering, Stagecraft Production

The primary representative of the district's CTE advisory committee is DJUSD CTE Coordinator Alex Hess. Industries represented on the committee include engineering and architecture, fashion and interior design, hospitality, tourism and recreation, health sciences and medical technologies, information and communication technologies, and transportation. Additionally, our existing programs collaborate and partner with various educational institutions, including Yolo County Office of Education, the Yolo County Regional Occupational Program, Sacramento City College and American River College, Woodland Community College and Yuba Community College (the Los Rios and Yuba Community College Districts) and the University of California, Davis.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2015-16)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	0
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0%
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	0%

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2015-16 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	69.72
2014-15 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	48.8

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Davis School for Independent Study staff values the input and involvement of parents and community members. Parents are an integral and vital part of the educational and social program for their children. Our parents serve on School Site Council and the Positive School Climate Committee as well as volunteer on campus regularly.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Dropout Rate	3.40	13.80	10.00	1.50	3.40	3.00	11.40	11.50	10.70
Graduation Rate	96.55	86.21	82.00	97.45	95.14	94.37	80.44	80.95	82.27

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2015 (One-Year Rate)

Group	Graduating Class of 2015		
	School	District	State
All Students	100	95	86
Black or African American	0	88	78
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	100	78
Asian	100	98	93
Filipino	0	100	93
Hispanic or Latino	100	87	83
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	85
White	100	97	91
Two or More Races	100	97	89
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100	90	66
English Learners	0	54	54
Students with Disabilities	80	83	78

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions	2.3	1.5	0.0	3.7	2.4	3.0	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

DSIS places a strong emphasis on student and staff safety. We pay special attention to creating opportunities for students to have positive interactions during their limited time on site. A committee of parents and staff work together to assure that a positive school climate exists for each child. Students have access to clean and safe facilities. Maintenance staff follows a scheduled preventive program to offset costly repairs. Fire drills, evacuation, and shelter-in-place is practiced at the beginning of every school year with staff and students.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2013-2014
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 2
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	4
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	66.7

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2013-14			2014-15			2015-16					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	1	2										
1	2	2										
2	1	1										
3	1	1										
4	2	2										
5	1	3										
6	2	4										

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2013-14			2014-15			2015-16					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	5	21			5	21			5	21		
Mathematics	7	10			12	5			12	5		
Science	5	6			6	5			6	5		
Social Science	5	21			5	24			5	24		

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	n/a
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0	N/A
Psychologist	.2	N/A
Social Worker	1.0	N/A
Nurse	.05	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0	N/A
Resource Specialist	.4	N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	12,651	1,816	10,836	64,923
District	N/A	N/A	7,315	\$64,865
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	48.1	0.1
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,677	\$71,517
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	90.9	-9.2

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

In 2015-16 Davis School for Independent Study received funding and services through the following state and local resources: Local Control Funding Formula, Davis Parcel Tax, Davis Schools Foundation, and Davis School Arts Foundation.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$37,305	\$43,821
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$59,425	\$69,131
Highest Teacher Salary	\$79,525	\$89,259
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$104,453	\$108,566
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$111,180	\$115,375
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$118,111	\$125,650
Superintendent Salary	\$181,560	\$198,772
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	37%	37%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Davis Joint Unified School District focuses our professional growth system on our mission and objectives with priority given to social emotional intelligence, differentiated instruction, inquiry based instruction, implementation of the Common Core State Standards, closing the achievement and opportunity gap, as well as the formative assessment process. These focus areas were developed through the Strategic Plan and Local Control Accountability Plan goals along with teacher surveys and Principal feedback to ensure relevancy and meaning for our community.

Davis Joint Unified School District uses a variety of professional learning models to engage staff in their continued growth. Built on the foundation of internal expertise and consultants from outside our district, staff has opportunities during the school year and summer to complete professional development learning episodes and time to implement best practices learned. These activities are supported by federal, state, and local funding as well as generous local parcel taxes. The professional growth occurring in Davis Joint Unified School District has a heavy emphasis on collaboration where time is reserved weekly for staff collaboration by sites in grade level or departmental teams under the direction of site administrators. Staff also has the opportunity to collaborate with grade level/content peers through Common Core Collaboration Grants and collaboration is built into all professional development sessions. Davis Joint Unified School District also has instructional coaches that provide professional growth opportunities with model lessons, collaborative planning and in context learning. Examples of recent Davis Joint Unified School District professional learning include:

- Site developed training (TK – 12) based upon needs articulated by staff, parents, and students to meet specific needs and goals. Sites develop professional growth with the support of outside expertise, district leadership, and staff.
- UC Davis Mathematics Project Teacher Leadership program (K-12) trained math teachers, instructional coaches, and some administration in the Common Core State Standards and progression of mathematical practices.
- Davis Joint Unified School District (7-12) Articulation breakout sessions by department where teacher experts lead and facilitate learning as well as keynote speakers addressing priority topics.
- English Language Development Series promoted by the County Office of Education to dissect the implementation and purpose(s) of the new English Language Development Standards.
- Yolo County Mental Health First Aid
- Restorative Practices
- Staff Book Groups on Mathematical Practices, Coaching Strategies, and impact of trauma (ACES)