TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

To: Ash Feeney, Sherri Metzker, and Kellie Bruton

From: David Zehnder and Tom Martens

Subject: Aggie Research Campus Economic Analysis—Pro Forma

(Feasibility), Fiscal Impacts, and Economic Impacts;

EPS #192109

Date: April 29, 2020

The Economics of Land Use



Introduction

The proposed Aggie Research Campus (Project), formerly known as the Mace Ranch Innovation Center (MRIC), which Economic & Planning Systems, Inc. (EPS) analyzed for the City of Davis (City) in 2015, has been reintroduced by the Project Applicant. Changes have been made to the Project to improve financial feasibility, provide a better fiscal impact for the City, and respond to the need for more housing.

EPS has been tasked with analyzing the current proposal's financial feasibility, fiscal impacts, and economic impacts. The analysis builds on the previously completed MRIC project work, performed by EPS in 2015.

This technical memorandum describes the methodology, key assumptions, and results of each of the inter-related analyses. The detailed analytical tables are included in the appendices.

Economic & Planning Systems, Inc. 400 Capitol Mall, 28th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 916 649 8010 tel 916 649 2070 fax

Oakland Sacramento Denver Los Angeles ¹ The analysis included this report is based on information collected in late-2019 and early-2020, primarily before the current COVID-19 pandemic. As the related long-term effects on any specific land uses are unknown at this time, this report is predicated on then-available information. While EPS has no reason to believe that the fundamental economic dynamics and data described in this Technical Memorandum have been altered as a result of the pandemic, it should be recognized that specific outcomes will be reliant on outside forces (e.g., viral behavior and related societal/policy responses) that cannot be predicted with certainty as economic recovery occurs.

Background

One of the key goals of the previous MRIC project was to leverage the economic potential of the University of California and create high-quality jobs in the City. Often the University's economic spinoff potential is not realized because of a lack of adequate spaces to meet the needs of emerging businesses that then locate to other parts of the region or to the Bay Area. While the previous project sought to address this issue, the development economics were not sufficient to move the project forward. This revised plan incorporates a residential component that significantly improves the development economics while also responding to the pressing need for more housing.

Development Program

The Project, as currently proposed, includes a mix of residential and commercial development reflecting current best practices in Innovation Center development. These include higher density mixed-use nodes with urban amenities attractive to a combination of technology and creative workers. The residential program reflects a combination of medium- and high-density residential units to be constructed during the first 3 of 4 total phases. The number of residential units developable at any given time is anticipated to be dependent on meeting thresholds of completed commercial space. This analysis is based on an assumed requirement of 2,000 square feet of commercial space per residential unit.

The commercial space is proposed to include a mix of standard office space and flex/R&D space, primarily at the core of the Project, along with supporting retail space. The eastern and northern portions of the site are planned for parcels geared toward advanced manufacturing users. In addition, a hotel is planned for the Project, likely in a later phase as the on-site demand increases. The proposed development program is summarized in **Table 1** and visually represented in the illustrative site plan in **Figure 1**. A more detailed breakdown of units and square footage by phase is provided in **Appendix Table A-2**.

Table 1 Development Program

		Project	Land Uses at E	Buildout	
-			Average Unit	Building	
Item	Acres	Dwelling Units	Size	Square Feet	Hotel Rooms
Residential Land Uses					
Owner Occupied Residential					
Medium Density Residential	11.0	280	1,800	-	-
Total Owner Occupied	11.0	280			
Renter Occupied Residential					
High Density Residential	16.4	570	950	-	-
Total Renter Occupied	16.4	570			
Total All Residential Uses	27.4	850			
Nonresidential Land Uses					
Office	17.5	-	-	755,000	-
Flex/R&D	27.1	-	-	755,000	-
Advanced Manufacturing	57.2	-	-	884,000	-
Ancillary Retail	2.3	-	-	100,000	-
Hotel	7.3	-	-	160,000	150
Total Nonresidential Uses	111.5			2,654,000	150
Total All Land Uses	138.9	850		2,654,000	150

Source: City of Davis; Ramco Enterprises, Inc; EPS.

Figure 1 Aggie Research Campus Illustrative Site Plan



Source: Buzz Oates Group of Companies; Ramco Enterprises Inc.; Reynolds & Brown.

Buildout

The Project is anticipated to be built out over a multidecade timeframe. The office and flex/R&D space absorption is assumed to be spread throughout the buildout timeframe for purposes of the analysis; however, the actual absorption will be cyclical, based on market conditions over the course of the buildout. Retail space is assumed to come online at the beginning of each new phase of development for analytic purposes. Hotel development is assumed to occur during the third phase of the Project, after demand has been established and the available land area becomes more limited. It is assumed structured parking will be introduced in these later phases as the density increases, potentially coinciding with the addition of the hotel use.

As noted above, the residential buildout is anticipated to be tied to the completion of commercial space, assumed to be 2,000 square feet of commercial space per residential unit. Residential units are planned to be built during the first 3 of the 4 phases to address the urgent need for housing and to improve the Project's financial feasibility.

A summary by phase is provided in **Appendix Table A-2**; a detailed annual buildout estimate is provided in **Appendix Table A-3**.

Pro Forma (Feasibility) Analysis Summary

The pro forma analysis translates the 4 phases of the development program into a multiyear development cash flow. As general rules-of-thumb, the minimum targets for Internal Rates of Return (IRRs) are 10 percent for unleveraged and 20 percent for leveraged.² However specific thresholds vary by developer, project, and market area. Lower returns may be acceptable if project risks are minimized.

Backbone infrastructure costs are based on updated estimates for the MRIC development proposal, provided by the Project Applicant, with some elements shifted forward from Phase 2 to Phase 1 to reflect the revised program and costs escalated 20 percent, based on an evaluation provided by the Applicant's project management/civil engineering consultant.³

The office, flex/R&D, and supporting retail space are assumed to be owned and operated by the Project Applicant. Therefore, these uses are modeled to include vertical development costs, annual revenues and operating expenses, and reversion (sale) after 10 years.

² The IRR is the discount rate that equates all expected future cash flows to a present value equal to the original investment. Unleveraged IRRs, not based on debt and equity considerations, include construction costs and net operating income and generally are considered to indicate feasibility if they are in the 10 percent range. Leveraged IRRs incorporate debt and equity considerations, based on net proceeds to equity, and generally are considered to indicate feasibility if they are in the 20 percent range.

³ Project Management Applications, Inc., December, 2019.

The residential, advanced manufacturing, and hotel uses are assumed to be developed by entities other than the Project Applicant; therefore, these are modeled as land sales. Estimated residual land value calculations were developed for the residential and hotel uses based on a variety of sources. The residual land value calculations were then correlated with market comparable land sales data. Residual land value calculations are

less applicable for the advanced manufacturing users because these tend to be specialized/build-to-suit facilities. Industrial land sales comparables were the basis for the advanced manufacturing values.

Each phase incorporates Community Financing District (CFD) bond funds to defray some of the backbone infrastructure cost. High-level estimates of the potential CFD funds generated by each phase are included in the analysis tables provided. In addition to the use of CFD bonds, the potential was evaluated for an Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District (EIFD) that would leverage a portion of property tax funds generated by the Project to fund infrastructure and improve returns. However, based on preliminary results, use of an EIFD does not appear necessary to meet feasibility thresholds. However, this remains an option for City (and potentially Yolo County) participation, should there be a need to expand offsite infrastructure or other amenities, for example.

Leveraged and unleveraged IRRs are provided for each of the 4 phases and the overall Project in the Cash Flow Summary in **Appendix Table A-7**. The individual phase and overall Project IRRs are shown in **Table 2** below.

Table 2 Project Rate of Return

	IRR per Phase and Overall Project					
Rate of Return Measure	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Project	
Unleveraged IRR	14%	17%	9%	9%	13%	
Leveraged IRR	22%	28%	15%	12%	23%	

Source: EPS.

Fiscal Impact Analysis Summary

The fiscal impact analysis estimates the net impact to the City's General Fund (and other key funds) at Project buildout, based on the projected tax generation by the Project compared to the costs of providing municipal services to the Project. Property tax is a substantial source of the estimated tax revenue from the Project. While the ultimate tax-sharing terms between the City and Yolo County (County) have yet to be agreed, this analysis is based on an assumed 50/50 property tax split between the City and the County, after transferring specific funds to reflect City absorption of post-annexation responsibilities. A detailed summary of net fiscal impacts is provided in **Appendix**

Technical Memorandum: ARC Economic Analysis April 29, 2020

Table B-1. The net fiscal impact at the end of each phase and Project buildout is shown in **Table 3**.

Table 3 Annual Net Fiscal Impact (millions)

	Annual F	Project Fiscal Impac	t at Phase Comp	oletion (\$M)
Impact Category	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4 / Buildout
Revenues [1]	\$1.64	\$3.81	\$6.51	\$8.05
Expenditures	\$0.66	\$1.54	\$2.17	\$2.60
Net Fiscal Impact	\$0.99	\$2.27	\$4.34	\$5.44

Source: City of Davis; EPS.

The one-time revenues generated by development of each phase and in total is shown in **Table 4**.

Table 4 One-Time Revenues (millions)

	One-Time Project Revenues (\$M)					
Impact Category	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Total	
City Revenues						
Permitting Fees	\$6.88	\$8.96	\$8.49	\$7.88	\$32.20	
Construction Tax	\$3.45	\$4.53	\$3.87	\$2.83	\$14.69	
Affordable Housing Fees	\$3.03	\$3.97	\$2.57	\$0.00	\$9.56	
Other Impact Fees	\$22.51	\$32.44	\$23.75	\$25.37	\$104.06	
Total City One-Time Revenues	\$35.87	\$49.89	\$38.68	\$36.08	\$160.51	
Other Revenues						
DJUSD Impact Fee	\$1.23	\$1.64	\$1.15	\$0.34	\$4.35	
County FSA Fee	\$1.24	\$1.65	\$1.19	\$0.39	\$4.47	

Source: City of Davis; EPS.

Economic Impact Analysis Summary

The economic impacts include the one-time impacts (multiyear) from construction of the Project and the ongoing impacts that occur annually as a result of the operations that take place after occupancy. Within the one-time and ongoing impacts are the direct impacts from spending at the Project, the indirect impacts from business-to-business activity, and the induced impacts from employee spending elsewhere in Davis or the

^[1] Revenues include General Fund and other selected revenues that have also been included in determination of expenditures. See Appendix B tables for detail.

Technical Memorandum: ARC Economic Analysis April 29, 2020

County. Impacts typically are measured in terms of overall economic output, and in employment and the earnings from that employment.

The impacts for the Project were modeled for the County and the City as shown in **Table 5**.

Table 5 Economic Impacts

	City of	Davis	Yolo County		
Impact Category	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	
Ongoing Annual Impacts (at Buildout)					
Industry Output	\$1,588,940,136	\$1,710,074,738	\$1,588,940,136	\$2,205,350,095	
Employee Compensation	\$454,388,403	\$497,520,257	\$454,388,403	\$669,871,625	
Employment	5,438	6,170	5,438	9,074	
Construction Impacts (during Buildout)					
Industry Output	\$542,462,284	\$570,000,613	\$609,375,692	\$812,111,762	
Employee Compensation	\$322,735,415	\$331,890,412	\$347,427,772	\$412,521,531	
Employment	3,704	3,883	3,932	5,141	
Annual Average Employment (if 25 years)	148	155	157	206	

Source: Implan; EPS.

Pro Forma Analysis Methodology and Findings

Approach

The financial feasibility analysis is based on multiyear cash flows for each phase that roll up into an overall Project annual cash flow that is used to calculate both unleveraged and leveraged rates of return, discussed below.

Site Development/Backbone Infrastructure

The site development and backbone infrastructure costs (including off-site) that were developed for the previous MRIC project provided the basis for the costs used in this analysis. In consultation with the Project Applicant's consultant that produced the previous estimates, Project Management Applications, the cost estimates were inflated 20 percent to reflect the increases in construction labor and materials costs since the estimates were prepared in 2016. In addition, a portion of the Phase 1 site preparation and infrastructure improvement costs were allocated to Phase 2 to reflect the more even buildout pattern assumed between the phases for this Project. A summary of the infrastructure and site preparation costs can be found in **Appendix Table A-4**.

Parking

Parking costs for the office, flex/R&D, retail, and hotel uses are assumed part of the development costs borne by the Project Applicant. Residential and advanced manufacturing parking requirements are assumed to be fulfilled on their respective sites and included in the site development costs for those uses. (It is not expected to directly impact the value for the medium density and advanced manufacturing sites but will impact high density residential residual value, as discussed below.)

It is assumed that the first 2 phases will be surface-parked. As the Project density increases, it is anticipated that additional commercial use parking requirements will be met with structured parking. While the pro forma analysis currently includes the commercial use structured parking as a cost for the Project developer, alternative mechanisms, such as provision of structured parking spaces in lieu of land sale payment for the hotel use, or some other negotiated arrangement, may be used.

The parking requirements and cost assumptions for each use by phase are detailed in **Appendix Table A-5**.

Residual Land Value

The Residual Land Value calculations in **Appendix Table A-6** provide the basis for many of the costs and revenues that flow through the pro forma analysis. However, the actual calculated residual land values do not flow through the cash flows; rather, they provide a check of the revenue and expense flows for the developer-held uses and corroborate the land sale values for most of the other uses. The advanced manufacturing land sale values are solely based on comparable land sales because the specialized nature of these uses does not lend itself to standard income-based value analysis. The values used in the analysis are comparable to those of other speculative development, incorporating adjustments for both location desirability and parcel size.

For the developer-held uses, including office, flex/R&D, and retail, the vertical development costs and net operating revenues identified in the Residual Land Value table flow through to the annual cash flows for each phase.

The key assumptions included in the Residual Land Value table are discussed below.

Construction Costs

Residential Direct Costs

Medium-density residential (MDR) direct construction cost is estimated at \$146 per square foot for attached townhouse living space, while the high-density residential (HDR) direct construction cost is estimated at \$142 per square foot of multifamily structure. These estimates are derived from a combination of sources, including RS Means Online Square Foot Estimator, California Board of Equalization Assessor Handbook, and

⁴ EPS received confidential reports of land sale comparables from Kidder Mathews.

Page | **10**

April 29, 2020

comparable projects. Both the MDR and HDR estimates reflect wood frame construction with average to above average finishes.

Residential Parking Costs

Residential construction costs include parking costs in addition to the dwelling unit cost above. MDR units are assumed to include 400 square feet of attached garage space (2 spaces), with direct construction cost of approximately \$39 per square foot. Multifamily costs include 1.0 structured parking space per unit, at 400 gross square feet per space, including circulation. Structured parking costs can vary dramatically depending on the location and configuration of the structure. With minimal physical constraints existing on the site, it is assumed that structured parking will be constructed above grade to dimensions that minimize any excessive structural or circulation costs. The assumed structured parking cost of \$31,000 per space equates to \$77.50 per square foot.

Commercial (Office/R&D/Retail/Hotel) Costs

EPS obtained access to detailed cost information for an adjacent commercial development that provides a good example for the expected costs to develop workspaces in the Project. Adjusting for land planning already in process and off-site improvements included in the comparable project land development costs produced a vertical development cost estimate of \$118 per square foot for shell workspace for the flex/R&D category, excluding permitting and development fees and soft costs. For the more standard office space, it is assumed there would be an additional \$10 per square foot in construction costs, excluding tenant improvements. Retail space is assumed to be within the office structures so the same cost-per-square-foot assumption is applied. Tenant improvements funded by the landlord for office, flex/R&D, and retail are all assumed to be \$50 per square foot, a standard amount quoted in the market. Additional tenant improvements specific to user operations (e.g., wet lab space) are assumed to be funded by end users. The direct construction cost estimate of \$155 per square foot for the hotel assumes EIFS, precast concrete, or other efficient construction method standard for mid-scale business-oriented hotel chains.

Soft Costs

Soft costs, for architectural, engineering, and other costs, are assumed to be an additional 18 percent of the direct/hard construction costs for each of the uses.

Permitting and Development Fees

EPS worked with the City to develop estimated fees per use, as shown in the Residual Land Value table, **Appendix Table A-6**.

Revenues and Expenses

The key assumptions driving the net revenues in the cash flow are discussed below.

Medium-Density For-Sale Residential

For-sale residential value is largely driven by the per-unit sales price, along with the construction cost. EPS identified 3 applicable residential developments in Davis, using Gregory Group data. The table below includes the 3 identified comparable projects and the assumed sales price for the cash flow analysis, based on reasoned judgement.

Table 6 Medium-Density For-Sale Residential Comparable Projects

Project Name	Master Plan	Developer	Туре	Average Price	Average Size	Average Price / Sq. Ft.
Gala	The Cannery	The New Home Company	Attached	\$597,715	1,594	\$374.98
The Villas	None	Fouts Homes	Attached	\$892,067	2,347	\$380.09
Tilton	The Cannery	Shea Homes	Detached	\$769,662	2,678	\$287.40
ARC Assum	nption		TBD	\$633,600	1,800	\$352.00

Source: The Gregory Group; EPS.

High-Density Multifamily Residential

Multifamily value is a function of the Net Operating Income (NOI), which is driven by rent revenue and operating expenses. EPS identified 6 comparable apartment communities in Davis, using CoStar data. Note that many of the newest multifamily communities in Davis are senior or affordable developments, so the comparable communities include some constructed in the 1990s. The table below includes the 6 identified comparable communities and the assumed average monthly rent rate and annual operating cost for the cash flow analysis, based on reasoned judgement.

Table 7 High-Density Rental Residential Comparable Projects

Community	Location	Unit Size	Monthly Asking Rent	Monthly Rent / Sq. Ft.	Operating Expense / Unit	Operating Expense / Sq. Ft.
Seville Apartments	4501 Alhambra Drive	1,352	\$2,679	\$1.98	\$8,711	\$6.44 [1 ⁻
The U	625 Cantrill Drive	1,267	\$3,685	\$2.91	\$7,945	\$6.27 [1
Tanglewood at Davis	1880 Cowell Blvd	1,072	\$2,132	\$1.99	na	na
The Lexington	1100 Olive Drive	1,186	\$3,241	\$2.73	na	na
Lakeshore Apartments	1175 Lake Blvd	1,187	\$2,203	\$1.86	na	na
DaVinci Apartments	1666 Da Vinci Court	1,224	\$2,218	\$1.81	\$5,863	\$4.79 [2]
ARC Assumption		950	\$2,470	\$2.60	\$7,500	\$7.89

Source: CoStar; EPS.

Office & Flex/R&D

The number of comparable rental workspace properties in Davis, with available data, is somewhat limited. However, a few good examples of newer buildings exist. The more traditional office spaces in the Project can be considered to align with Class A office properties, while the flex/R&D spaces would likely be similar to some of the Class B office spaces that have been constructed in Davis in recent years.

The table below includes 4 identified comparable rental office buildings and the per square foot average rental rate assumptions for office and flex/R&D, based on reasoned judgement.

Table 8 Office and Flex/R&D Rent Comparable Projects

Property Adress	Property Type	Annual Rent	Monthly Rent	Lease Type
1590 Drew Avenue	Class A Office	\$36.00	\$3.00	Full Service Gross
1450 Drew Avenue	Class B Office	\$26.52	\$2.21	Modified Gross
501 2nd Street	Class B Office	\$28.44	\$2.37	Modified Gross
215 C Street	Class B Office	\$30.00	\$2.50	Triple Net
ARC Assumption - Office	1	\$35.00	\$2.92	Full Service Gross
ARC Assumption - Flex/R	R&D	\$28.00	\$2.33	Triple Net

Source: CoStar; EPS.

Class A office space is generally leased on Full Service Gross basis, with taxes, utilities, and common area maintenance included in the rent. The industry standard assumption is that these expenses are 30 percent of the rental income; this assumption has been incorporated into the Residual Land Value calculation.

Flex/R&D space is more commonly rented on a Triple Net (NNN) basis, meaning that all expenses are passed through to or otherwise paid by the occupant. A hybrid of these, modified gross is also common. Typically, as included services increase, so does the rental rate. For this analysis, EPS assumed a more modest rental rate net of services.

Advanced Manufacturing

A residual land value was not calculated for advanced manufacturing uses because these tend to be specialized uses customized to owner-user requirements.

Retail

The retail space in anticipated to be ancillary to the other uses on site, likely within portions of the office or flex/R&D structures. The table below provides some examples of retail rents in Davis, along with the assumed retail rental rate used in the cash flow analysis. Retail rents are typically net of operating expenses.

Table 9 Retail Rent Comparable Projects

Property Adress	Name / Description	Annual Rent	Monthly Rent	Lease Type
500 1st Street [1]	Davis Commons	\$18.50	\$1.54	Retail
610 2nd Street	Small retail in office	\$60.00	\$5.00	Triple Net
801-807 2nd Street	Small retail in office	\$29.88	\$2.49	Modifed Gross
305 1st Street [1]	NA	\$30.00	\$2.50	Retail
4647 2nd Street [1]	NA	\$31.50	\$2.63	Retail
ARC Assumption		\$28.00	\$2.33	Triple Net

Source: CoStar; EPS.

[1] Actual rent not reported; midpoint taken of CoStar estimated rent range.

<u>Hotel</u>

The hotel is assumed to be a limited service, business-oriented property. Based on rates at other similar hotels in Davis, and typical rate structures for these chains, it was assumed the property could achieve an average annual daily rate of \$180, based on the current state of the market. This class of hotel typically operates with occupancy levels around 80 percent; the analysis assumes 79 percent. Non-room revenue, departmental expenses, and other expenses as shares of room revenue were calculated based on industry standard percentages, as reported by CBRE (formerly PKF) Trends in the Hotel Industry 2017.

Hotel demand is strongly correlated with proximity to professional employment. By the third phase, when the hotel is assumed to come online, employment growth in the Project likely would induce greater demand and therefore higher room rates or occupancy levels than those currently indicated by the market.

Capitalization Rates

The revenue and expense assumptions discussed above provide the basis for calculating each property type's NOI. Translating an income-generating property's NOI into value is commonly done by applying industry standard capitalization rates. These reflect the relationship between NOI and sales prices currently found in the market.

Technical Memorandum: ARC Economic Analysis April 29, 2020

While Davis is part of the larger Sacramento market area, it tends to have higher levels of demand and resulting higher land values than typically found in the metropolitan area overall. Davis property values tend to reflect valuation dynamics somewhat more similar to the East Bay than Sacramento. Therefore, published Sacramento market area capitalization rates were compared to East Bay rates to determine appropriate rates to apply to the Project in Davis. The capitalization rates for the 2 markets and those used in the Residual Land Value calculation are shown in the table below. The hotel capitalization rate is based on national data.

Table 10 Going-In Capitalization Rates for Residual Land Value Calculation

		Class A				Class B	
Metropolitan Market Area	Suburban Office	Flex Industrial	Suburban Multifamily	Neighborhood Retail	Suburban Office	Suburban Multifamily	
Sacramento	6.75%	7.50%	5.00%	6.50%	7.25%	5.75%	
Oakland	6.25%	6.50%	4.50%	5.75%	7.00%	5.00%	
ARC Assumption	6.25%	7.00%	5.00%	6.50%	na	5.00%	

Source: Integra Realty Resources, Inc.; EPS.

Comparable Land Sales

As noted above, the calculation of the different uses' residual land values served to validate the land sale values that were included for those uses that are assumed to be vertically developed by entities other than the Project Applicant. The land sale values were obtained from conversations with brokers and others with deep knowledge of the local real estate market.

Typical land sale prices per square foot land within the following ranges:

Residential: \$20-\$60
Office: \$15-\$23
Industrial: \$9-\$13
Hotel: \$25 +/-

Community Facilities District

CFD financing was incorporated into each phase of the Project. Residential CFD rates are assumed to be 0.4 percent of assessed value. Commercial use CFD rates are assumed to be \$5,000 per acre. Both of these rates are in the middle- to lower-end of the range of CFD rates typically seen in the region.

The estimated CFD revenues, based on the assumed buildout and values for each phase, have been translated into order-of-magnitude estimates of potential bonding revenue that can be applied to each phase's backbone infrastructure cost, by discounting the revenues back to present value and subtracting out a portion of value for issuance and maintenance costs.

Multiyear Cash Flows

For each phase of the Project, each of the major cost and revenue items are projected over a multiyear timeframe in **Appendix Tables A-8** to **A-11**. These cost and revenue items include:

- Site preparation and backbone infrastructure costs.
- Vertical development costs for uses held by the Project Applicant.
- Land sale revenue for uses not held by the Project Applicant.
- Net operating income for held uses.
- Reversion sale of held uses after 10 years (note reversion capitalization rates differ from going-in rates).

Each of the major revenue and expense summary lines from each phase are summarized in a cash flow roll up, **Appendix Table A-7**.

For each phase, the variables used to derive Net Unleveraged Cash Flow and Net Proceeds to Developer Equity are identified. These per phase annual metrics are combined to calculate the overall Project feasibility.

Investment Return

The feasibility is measured in terms of IRR. The IRR is the discount rate that equates all expected future cash flows to a present value equal to the original investment. Unleveraged IRRs, not based on debt and equity considerations, include construction costs and net operating income and generally are considered to indicate feasibility if they in the 10 percent range. Leveraged IRRs incorporate debt and equity considerations, based on net proceeds to equity, and generally are considered to indicate feasibility if they are in the 20 percent range.

The overall Project IRRs are shown below:

Unleveraged IRR: 13%Leveraged IRR: 23%

Phases 1 and 2 rates of return are significantly stronger than those for Phases 3 and 4 because of the impact of the additional cost of structured parking in those phases, as well as the reduced residential component in later phases.

Key Analytical Considerations

The addition of residential product to the Project has a very strong impact on overall feasibility, particularly in the earlier phases. Later phases can leverage the stronger returns from residential product in the early phases. However, reduced numbers of residential units and increased costs from structured parking in the later phases may warrant creative approaches to financing and deal structuring for completion of Project elements such as structured parking and the hotel in Phase 3.

Fiscal Impact Analysis Methodology and Findings

Approach

The fiscal impact analysis compares the estimated tax revenue to be generated by the Project to the estimated cost of providing public services to the Project. Specifically, the analysis focuses on General Fund revenues and costs because the bulk of municipal revenues generated and services required are accounted for in the General Fund.

The summary of the fiscal impact analysis is shown in **Appendix Table B-1**. As shown, the Project is estimated to have a net fiscal surplus at the completion of each phase, growing from approximately \$1 million annually at the completion of Phase 1 to more than \$5 million annually at buildout.

General Assumptions

Appendix Tables B-2 to **B-6** provide general assumptions that flow throughout the analysis. These include City population and at-place employment, development program, and occupancy assumptions.

Tax Revenues

Appendix Tables B-7 to B-15 provide the calculations for the Project's estimated tax revenue generation. The method of tax revenue estimation for each subset of General Fund revenue is provided in Appendix Table B-7. Generally, those tax revenues that can be directly estimated for the proposed development program are referred to as the case study method. Other revenues that can be attributed to onsite residents or a combination of residents and employees are estimated using either the per capita or persons-served methodologies, respectively. For the persons-served methodology, onsite employees are counted at 50 percent of the on-site residents to account for their lower impact on municipal services and resulting costs. Revenue sources that would remain largely unaffected by the proposed Project are omitted from further analysis. Appendix Table B-8 summarizes the estimated revenues.

Property Tax

The Project's estimated property tax generation is calculated in **Appendix Table B-9**. In addition to City General Fund property tax, estimates for County General Fund are presented. Property tax in lieu of motor vehicle license fee (VLF) revenue is tied to changes in citywide assessed value. The Project's estimated impact on the overall assessed value in the City was calculated; the resulting percentage change was applied to the current property tax in lieu of VLF to estimate the change in that tax revenue. Select Davis Joint Unified School District (DJUSD) property-based revenues are also presented.

The current allocation of property tax between the City and the County and the assumed post-annexation property tax allocation is calculated in **Appendix Table B-20** (assessed value is calculated in **Appendix Table B-21**). The analysis is based on the assumption all of the current property tax allocations for County Road District #2 and East Davis Fire District would transfer to the City because it will assume those responsibilities. The pre-ERAF allocations for County General Fund and County ACO Fund are assumed pooled together and split 50%/50% between the City and the County. Applying the overall City and County ERAF shifts post-split derives the post-ERAF tax allocation for each jurisdiction.

Transfer Tax

In **Appendix Table B-10**, the real property transfer tax is estimated by applying the transfer tax rate and the estimated annual turnover percentage to the total assessed value by property type.

Sales and Use Tax

Appendix Tables B-11 to B-13 cover sales and use tax. Sales and use taxes are generated directly onsite from retail sales and business sales⁵ and indirectly from the household spending of onsite residents and daytime spending of onsite workers that is captured in the City. The direct on-site sales are derived from business taxable sales data received from the City, cross-checked against square footage data from Costar and other sources, to produce per square foot estimates.

Household spending from onsite households is based on Bureau of Labor Statistics spending patterns applied to estimated household incomes needed to occupy the units (required household incomes are calculated in **Appendix Table B-22**). Worker daytime spending is based on worker spending survey data. Half of the taxable spending from these onsite populations is assumed captured within the City, mostly offsite in other areas of Davis. The portion of the sales that are estimated to be capture onsite (10 percent of the onsite residents' spending within the City and 30 percent of the onsite workers' daytime spending within the City) are netted out from the onsite sales estimate

⁵ Office and R&D uses are expected to generate sales and use tax from point-of-sale and business-to-business transactions. The City provided EPS with updated proprietary data among such users; EPS found the updated data consistent with previous analysis.

to avoid double counting. The 1.0 percent Bradley Burns sales tax rate and the Measure Q 1.0 percent sales tax rate are applied to the net taxable sales.

Proposition 172 public safety sales tax as a percentage of sales tax is derived from the current budget revenue estimate and applied to the net new sales tax calculated above.

Transient Occupancy Tax

Transient occupancy tax (TOT) is estimated by applying the City's 12 percent TOT rate to the estimated annual room night revenue, based on 79 percent occupancy and \$180 average nightly room rates for 150 rooms. TOT is estimated in **Appendix Table B-14**.

Public Safety Tax

The public safety tax in **Appendix Table B-15** is estimated by applying the annualized following monthly rates to the Project square footage:

- Residential: \$6.14 per built square foot and \$0.000046 per land square foot.
- Nonresidential: \$0.012622 per built square foot and \$0.000046 per land square foot.

One-Time Revenues

Appendix Tables B-23 and B-24 include one-time revenues from City permitting, construction tax, and development impact fees, as well as the School Impact Fee and County Facilities and Services Authorization (FSA) Fee. Over the course of the Project's development, permitting fees of \$32.2 million, construction tax of \$14.7 million, and impact fees of \$113.6 million are estimated to be generated for the City. Total school impact fees of \$4.4 million and County FSA fees of \$4.5 million are also estimated. It should be noted that impact fees will off-set the Project's impacts to existing and planned public facilities, while permitting fees are generally considered to be revenue-neutral in that they offset staff resources.

Expenditures

Appendix Tables B-16 to B-19 provide the calculations for the Project's estimated General Fund expenditures. The majority of expenditure budget line items anticipated to be impacted by the Project are calculated using a standard persons-served method. Under this approach, current General Fund adopted expenditures are divided by the population being served by those expenditures, to derive an average cost per person served multiplier that is applied to projected Project population. For this analysis the persons served include both resident population and discounted employee population. Most City services are geared toward the resident population; however, employees working in the City also generate costs, albeit at a lower rate than residents. In addition, some residents also work in the City. Therefore, the employee population has been discounted 50 percent in terms of allocation of current citywide costs and application of those costs to the Project. (The persons served is shown in Appendix Table B-2.)

For each of the departmental line items affected by the Project, some portion of costs are fixed and will not vary with marginal changes to the population served; therefore, a variable percentage factor has been applied to each affected line item. A 75 percent variability assumption has been applied across departments/line items. The non-variable 25 percent represents salaries for senior departmental positions and other fixed expenses that would be expected to remain unchanged with the addition of the onsite population.

Police Department and Fire Department expenditures constitute significant portions of the General Fund and have been calculated separately from the other departmental expenditures. Similar to the other departments, both Police and Fire expenditures are calculated using the persons-served method, assuming 75 percent variable costs. Proposition 172 Public Safety Sales Tax and Public Safety Tax revenues have been included in the calculation of allocable Police and Fire cost, as they are significant revenue sources and are estimated for the Project in the revenue estimate.

Key Analytical Considerations

The assumptions related to the sharing of property tax revenue, or any other revenues, between the City and the County have a significant impact on the overall fiscal effect of the Project. Major differences in the eventual agreement between the jurisdictions could significantly impact the fiscal results. In addition, any future public participation in financing structured parking or public amenities would impact the net fiscal results.

Economic Impact Analysis Methodology and Findings

Overview

Economic impact analysis measures the overall effect that an initial activity, such as spending in one industry sector, has on a region as the spending recirculates through other sectors of the local economy through additional business and household spending. A typical method for estimating these impacts is to employ input-output modeling software, such as that developed by Implan (used for this analysis). Impacts can be measured in terms of overall output, employment, or the earnings from employment.

The economic impact within a given geography is limited by its existing economic base, and therefore the ability of local businesses to respond to the needs created by the initial economic activity. Hence, a larger, more diversified regional economy will have a greater multiplier effect because it can capture more of the spin-off economic activity. The County (inclusive of Davis and the other cities), therefore, will typically experience a greater economic impact than the City alone. Similarly, the larger Sacramento metropolitan area economy, of which the County is a part, will typically experience a greater impact than the County alone because the larger region will have a deeper base of businesses to respond to the new economic activity.

Approach

The Project's economic impact analysis estimates the effect that the activity associated with the Project will have on the overall County economy (see **Appendix Tables C-1** to **C-7**) and the local Davis economy (see **Appendix Tables C-8** to **C-14**). For both geographies analyzed, the economic activity is categorized as either ongoing effects from the operations of the anticipated mix of occupants of the Project, or as one-time effects from the construction of the Project itself, albeit spread over many years.

In both the ongoing and one-time impacts, the initial economic activity (business operations of the Project occupants or Project construction) is referred to as the direct impact. The additional business-to-business activity within the study area that results from that direct activity is referred to as the indirect impact. An additional layer of economic impact results from worker spending that occurs in the area because of the wages from the increased economic activity, referred to as induced impact.

The direct ongoing impacts have been estimated by EPS in terms of the number of jobs in a variety of sectors likely to be represented by the occupants of the Project. The direct one-time impacts have been estimated based on the construction costs identified in the pro forma analysis. The estimated direct impacts provide the basis for calculating the indirect and induced impacts.

To provide greater transparency and flexibility to accommodate changes, the indirect and induced impacts are calculated in the **Appendix C** tables using Implan multipliers for each additional job within a sector and for every \$1 million in construction spending. Discussion of the methodologies used to estimate the direct impacts is provided below.

Assumptions

Key assumptions used for the development of direct impacts are described below.

Onsite Employment

The estimated onsite employment that drives the ongoing impacts is derived by allocating planned space between likely sector occupants and applying an assumed square feet per employee factor to derive total number of employees by sector.

Office and Flex/R&D

The office and flex/R&D uses are assumed to serve the same mix of applicable private industry sectors that are currently most represented in the eastern half of the City (ZIP Code 95618). **Table 11** below shows the 10 sectors with the highest employment in eastern Davis.

Technical Memorandum: ARC Economic Analysis April 29, 2020

Table 11 Top 10 Employment Sectors in Eastern Davis

Implan Industry Code	Industry Sector Description	ZIP 95618 [1] Employment	Included in Projected Office/Flex Occupancy	Share of Included Industries
541	Employment and payroll of state govt, other services	2,549		
464	Scientific research and development services	1,184	Yes	44%
542	Employment and payroll of local govt, education	648		
539	Employment and payroll of state govt, education	628		
544	Employment and payroll of local govt, other services	455		
468	Marketing research and all other misc. professional, scientific, and technical svcs.	433	Yes	16%
459	Custom computer programming services	375	Yes	14%
457	Architectural, engineering, and related services	374	Yes	14%
447	Other real estate	330		
463	Environmental and other technical consulting services	296	Yes	11%

Source: Implan; EPS.

The relative shares of the 5 most applicable sectors above are applied to the assumed distribution of Project office and flex occupants, adjusted to accommodate normal vacancy and round slight variations.

In line with the previous study and the Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR), the employment density is assumed to be 290 square feet per office employee and 450 square feet per flex/R&D employee.

Advanced Manufacturing

The mix of industries in the advanced manufacturing uses onsite could vary considerably depending on any significant facilities eventually constructed. However, a representative sample of non-food producing industries that currently exist in Davis has been used to provide an estimate of impacts from a cross-section of local manufacturing sectors. The sample of industry sectors include:

- Other Miscellaneous Chemical Product Manufacturing.
- Miscellaneous Nonmetallic Mineral Products Manufacturing.
- Pharmaceutical Preparation Manufacturing.
- Industrial Process Variable Instruments Manufacturing.
- Semiconductor and Related Device Manufacturing.

The included industry sectors are assumed to comprise equal shares of advanced manufacturing employment for purposes of estimating a generalized estimate of the impacts of future occupants of that space in the Project.

^[1] The 95618 ZIP Code generally encompassess the eastern half of Davis, plus adjacent areas outside of the municipal limits.

Technical Memorandum: ARC Economic Analysis April 29, 2020

In line with the previous study and the DEIR, the employment density is assumed to be 800 square feet per advanced manufacturing employee.

Ancillary Retail

The mix of occupants in the ancillary retail space is assumed to be evenly split between personal services, limited service restaurants, and general merchandise stores, in addition to a modest vacancy rate to account for normal retail turnover.

In line with the previous study and the DEIR, the employment density is assumed to be 500 square feet per retail employee.

Hotel

Hotels and motels comprise a single industry sector in Implan.

In line with the previous study and the DEIR, the employment density is assumed to be 2,000 square feet per hotel employee, with 1,000 gross square feet per room. This equates to 0.5 employee per room, generally the minimum standard for a limited service hotel.

Construction

The construction costs used to estimate the one-time impacts from development of the Project are based on those included in the pro forma analysis. Refer to that section for further detail regarding development cost assumptions.

Implan categorizes construction into 10 categories. Both the medium- and high-density residential units are assumed to align more closely with multifamily construction than traditional single-family construction. For the nonresidential buildings, office, flex/R&D, ancillary retail, and hotel uses are all modeled as new commercial structures, while advanced manufacturing spaces are modeled as new manufacturing structures. Structured parking is categorized as other new nonresidential structures. The site preparation and backbone infrastructure development costs are most aligned with the Implan category for construction of new streets and bridges because of similarity of equipment, materials, and techniques.

Results

Ongoing Impacts

The estimated employment, based on the development program and the industry sectors and employee density described above, are multiplied by the Implan-generated multipliers to produce the estimates of ongoing economic impacts.

More than 5,000 jobs, with employee compensation of nearly \$500 million, are estimated to be located on site at buildout. The City will experience some economic spinoff of that direct employment, but a much greater spinoff impact will occur in other parts of the County as businesses elsewhere in the County respond to the ongoing business, employee, and household spending generated by the Project. Total County employment, including the direct onsite employment and indirect and induced employment, is

approximately 9,000 jobs annually at buildout, with compensation between \$600 million and \$700 million. (Note: County economic impacts are inclusive of City impacts.)

One-Time Impacts

The construction costs identified in the pro forma analysis are multiplied by the Implangenerated multipliers for the applicable construction sectors, noted above, to produce the estimates of one-time economic impacts.

Annual average direct construction-related employment during development of the Project is estimated to be approximately 150 jobs. Similar to the ongoing impacts, much of the indirect and induced impact resulting from the onsite activity will be felt in the larger and more diverse County economy. Annual average total direct, indirect, and induced employment in the County overall because of construction of the Project is estimated at just more than 200 jobs, with compensation of approximately \$400 million.

The one-time impacts from construction are expressed in terms of person-year jobs, where the total jobs represent the number of jobs as if each one lasted for 1 year. As an example, if a construction project lasts for 2 years, the number of people employed by it over the 2 years of construction would be half the number of person-year jobs. Conversely, if a construction project lasts half of a year, the number of people employed by it during the 6 months of construction would be double the number of person-year jobs. The Project is anticipated to be built over a multiyear timeframe; therefore, the number of people employed at any given time would only be a fraction of the total person-year jobs calculated. The one-time impact summary includes the average annual construction employment assuming buildout takes 25 years.

Key Analytical Considerations

The economic impact analysis is not subject to any significant potential adjustments based on any known variables. The primary source of variability would likely result from changes to the proportion of employment-supporting land uses or the development density of those uses; neither of which is proposed at this time.

APPENDICES:

Appendix A: Financial Feasibility Analysis Tables

Appendix B: Fiscal Impact Analysis Tables

Appendix C: Economic Impact Analysis Tables



APPENDIX A:

Financial Feasibility Analysis Tables

Table A-1

Table A-2

Table A-18 Table A-19

Table A-3	Project Buildout Estimate—All Phases (2 pages)
Table A-4	Infrastructure and Site Prep Estimate
Table A-5	Developer-Funded Parking Assumptions (2 pages)A-6
Table A-6	Residual Land Value Analysis (2 pages)
Table A-7	Cash Flow Summary/Rollup—Nominal Dollars (4 pages) A-10
Table A-8	Phase 1 Annual Development Cash Flow (2 pages) A-14
Table A-9	Phase 2 Annual Development Cash Flow (2 pages) A-16
Table A-10	Phase 3 Annual Development Cash Flow (2 pages) A-18
Table A-11	Phase 4 Annual Development Cash Flow (2 pages) A-20
Table A-12	Project Buildout Estimate—Phase 1 (2 pages) A-22
Table A-13	Project Buildout Estimate—Phase 2 (2 pages) A-24
Table A-14	Project Buildout Estimate—Phase 3 (2 pages) A-26
Table A-15	Project Buildout Estimate—Phase 4 (2 pages) A-28
Table A-16	CFD Revenue—Phase 1 (2 pages)
Table A-17	CFD Revenue—Phase 2 (2 pages)

Development Program.......A-1

Development Phasing Assumptions A-2



Table A-1 Aggie Research Campus Development Program

-			and Uses at E Average Unit	Building		Development
Item	Acres	Dwelling Units	Size	Square Feet	Hotel Rooms	Strategy
Residential Land Uses						
Owner Occupied Residential						
Medium Density Residential	11.0	280	1,800	-	-	Land Sale
Total Owner Occupied	11.0	280				
Renter Occupied Residential						
High Density Residential	16.4	570	950	_	-	Land Sale
Total Renter Occupied	16.4	570				
Total All Residential Uses	27.4	850				
Nonresidential Land Uses						
Office	17.5	-	-	755,000	-	Own & Operate
Flex/R&D	27.1	-	-	755,000	-	Own & Operate
Advanced Manufacturing	57.2	-	_	884,000	-	Land Sale
Ancillary Retail	2.3	-	-	100,000	-	Own & Operate
Hotel	7.3	-	_	160,000	150	Land Sale
Total Nonresidential Uses	111.5			2,654,000	150	
Total All Land Uses	138.9	850		2,654,000	150	

Source: City of Davis; Ramco Enterprises, Inc; EPS.

Table A-2 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Development Phasing Assumptions

		Phasing P	ercentages	;	Ph	asing Unit	s/Square F	eet	Cu	mulative Ur	nits/Square	Feet
Item	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4
Phase Length (including prep) Site Preparation Duration	7 Years 2 Years	6 Years 1 Years	6 Years 1 Years	6 Years 1 Years	7 Years	6 Years	6 Years	6 Years	7 Years	6 Years	6 Years	6 Years
Residential Land Uses												
Owner Occupied Residential												
Medium Density Residential Total Owner Occupied	31%	45%	25%	-	87 87	125 125	69 69	-	87 87	211 211	280 280	280 280
Renter Occupied Residential												
High Density Residential Total Renter Occupied	32%	40%	28%	-	182 182	228 228	160 160	-	182 182	410 410	570 570	570 570
Total All Residential Uses					269	353	228	-	269	622	850	850
Nonresidential Land Uses												
Office	21%	30%	20%	29%	155,000	230,000	150,000	220,000	155,000	385,000	535,000	755,000
Flex/R&D	21%	30%	20%	29%	155,000	230,000	150,000	220,000	155,000	385,000	535,000	755,000
Advanced Manufacturing	25%	25%	25%	25%	220,000	220,000	220,000	224,000	220,000	440,000	660,000	884,000
Ancillary Retail	10%	20%	20%	50%	10,000	20,000	20,000	50,000	10,000	30,000	50,000	100,000
Hotel	-	-	100%	-	-	-	160,000	-	-	-	160,000	160,000
Total Nonresidential Uses					540,000	700,000	700,000	714,000	540,000	1,240,000	1,940,000	2,654,000
Acres					32.3	39.7	38.2	28.6	32.3	72.0	110.2	138.9
Parcels [1]					92	130	75	4	92	222	297	301

Source: City of Davis; EPS.

^[1] For uses other than MDR, 1 parcel per use per phase is assumed.

Table A-3 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Project Buildout Estimate - All Phases

ltem	Total All Phases	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12	Year 13	Year 14	Year 15	Year 16
Phase		P1 Prep	P1 Prep	Phase 1	P2 Prep	Phase 2	Phase 2	Phase 2	Phase 2	Phase 2	P3 Prep	Phase 3	Phase 3				
Annual Buildout																	
Residential Land Uses																	
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)	280	-	-	30	10	10	28	8	-	37	15	15	33	15	-	58	10
High Density Residential (Rental)	570	-	-	61	21	21	58	21	-	74	31	31	68	25	-	117	20
Total Annual Residential Units	850	-	-	91	31	31	86	29	-	111	46	46	101	41	-	175	30
Nonresidential Land Uses																	
Office	755,000	-	-	31,000	31,000	31,000	31,000	31,000	-	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000	-	30,000	30,000
Flex/R&D	755,000	-	-	31,000	31,000	31,000	31,000	31,000	-	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000	-	30,000	30,000
Advanced Manufacturing	884,000	-	-	110,000	-	-	110,000	-	-	110,000	-	-	110,000	-	-	110,000	-
Ancillary Retail	100,000	-	-	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	20,000	-
Hotel	160,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	160,000	-
Total Annual Nonresidential Square Feet	2,654,000	-	-	182,000	62,000	62,000	172,000	62,000	-	222,000	92,000	92,000	202,000	92,000	-	350,000	60,000
Cumulative Buildout																	
Residential Land Uses																	
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)		-	-	30	40	50	79	87	87	123	139	154	187	202	202	260	270
High Density Residential (Rental)		-	-	61	82	103	160	181	181	255	286	317	385	410	410	528	548
Cumulative Residential Units		-	-	91	122	153	239	268	268	379	425	471	572	612	612	787	817
Nonresidential Land Uses																	
Office		-	-	31,000	62,000	93,000	124,000	155,000	155,000	201,000	247,000	293,000	339,000	385,000	385,000	415,000	445,000
Flex/R&D		-	-	31,000	62,000	93,000	124,000	155,000	155,000	201,000	247,000	293,000	339,000	385,000	385,000	415,000	445,000
Advanced Manufacturing		-	-	110,000	110,000	110,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	330,000	330,000	330,000	440,000	440,000	440,000	550,000	550,000
Ancillary Retail		-	-	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	50,000	50,000
Hotel		-	-	-	· -	· -			· -	· -	· -		· -	-		160,000	160,000
Cumulative Nonresidential Square Feet		_	_	182.000	244.000	306.000	478.000	540.000	540.000	762.000	854.000	946.000	1.148.000	1.240.000	1,240,000	1.590.000	1.650.000

Source: City of Davis, EPS.

Table A-3 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Project Buildout Estimate - All Phases

Item	Year 17	Year 18	Year 19	Year 20	Year 21	Year 22	Year 23	Year 24	Year 25	Year 26	Year 27	Year 28
Phase	Phase 3	Phase 3	Phase 3	P4 Prep	Phase 4							
Annual Buildout												
Residential Land Uses Medium Density Residential (For Sale) High Density Residential (Rental) Total Annual Residential Units	10 22 33	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Nonresidential Land Uses Office Flex/R&D Advanced Manufacturing Ancillary Retail Hotel Total Annual Nonresidential Square Feet	30,000 30,000 - - - 60,000	30,000 30,000 110,000 - - 170,000	30,000 30,000 - - - 60,000	- - - - -	44,000 44,000 112,000 50,000	44,000 44,000 - - 88,000	44,000 44,000 - - - 88,000	44,000 44,000 112,000 - - 200,000	44,000 44,000 - - 88,000	- - - - -	- - - -	- - - - -
Cumulative Buildout												
Residential Land Uses Medium Density Residential (For Sale) High Density Residential (Rental) Cumulative Residential Units	280 570 850	280 570 850	280 570 850	280 570 850	280 570 850	280 570 850	280 570 850	280 570 850	280 570 850	280 570 850	280 570 850	280 570 850
Nonresidential Land Uses Office Flex/R&D Advanced Manufacturing Ancillary Retail Hotel Cumulative Nonresidential Square Feet	475,000 475,000 550,000 50,000 160,000 1,710,000	505,000 505,000 660,000 50,000 160,000 1,880,000	535,000 535,000 660,000 50,000 160,000 1,940,000	535,000 535,000 660,000 50,000 160,000 1,940,000	579,000 579,000 772,000 100,000 160,000 2,190,000	623,000 623,000 772,000 100,000 160,000 2,278,000	667,000 667,000 772,000 100,000 160,000 2,366,000	711,000 711,000 884,000 100,000 160,000 2,566,000	755,000 755,000 884,000 100,000 160,000 2,654,000	755,000 755,000 884,000 100,000 160,000 2,654,000	755,000 755,000 884,000 100,000 160,000 2,654,000	755,000 755,000 884,000 100,000 160,000 2,654,000

Source: City of Davis, EPS.

Table A-4
Aggie Research Campus
Pro Forma Analysis
Infrastructure and Site Prep Estimate

		Estir	mated Cost (2020)	\$)	
Item	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Total
Earthwork	\$893,743	\$403,985	\$1,401,084	\$64,368	\$2,763,180
Sewer/Drainage	\$7,233,391	\$3,100,025	\$10,877,352	\$240,000	\$21,450,768
Roadways	\$3,787,308	\$3,186,372	\$5,205,840	\$5,395,800	\$17,575,320
Other On-Site Costs	-	\$600,000	\$1,367,712	\$180,000	\$2,147,712
Off-Site Costs	\$1,659,000	\$711,000	\$3,378,000	-	\$5,748,000
Subtotal Construction	\$13,573,442	\$8,001,382	\$22,229,988	\$5,880,168	\$49,684,980
Infrastructure/Site Prep Soft Costs at 25%	\$3,393,361	\$2,000,345	\$5,557,497	\$1,470,042	\$12,421,245
Construction Plus Soft Costs	\$16,966,803	\$10,001,727	\$27,787,485	\$7,350,210	\$62,106,225
Contingency at 10%	\$1,696,680	\$1,000,173	\$2,778,749	\$735,021	\$6,210,623
Total Infrastructure and Site Prep	\$18,663,483	\$11,001,900	\$30,566,234	\$8,085,231	\$68,316,848
Agriculture Mitigation Fee [1]	\$326,021	\$401,350	\$385,730	\$289,330	\$1,402,430
Total Infrastructure, Site Prep, Ag Mitigation (rounded)	\$18,990,000	\$11,403,000	\$30,952,000	\$8,375,000	\$69,719,000

Source: City of Davis; Project Management Applications; EPS.

^[1] Project size exceeds limit for in-lieu ag mitigation fee; however, mitigation assumed to have the same \$10,100 per acre cost for this analysis.

Table A-5
Aggie Research Campus
Pro Forma Analysis
Developer-Funded Parking Assumptions

			Parking	Spaces			Parkir	ng Type	
ltem	Assumption [2]	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4
Residential Land Uses									
Owner Occupied Residential Medium Density Residential [1] Total Owner Occupied	<u>Spaces</u> 2.0 per Unit	174	249	137	-	NA	NA	NA	NA
Renter Occupied Residential High Density Residential Total Renter Occupied	<u>Spaces</u> 1.0 per Unit	182	228	160	-	NA	NA	NA	NA
Nonresidential Land Uses	<u>Spaces</u>								
Office	2.4 per 1,000 sq ft	371	550	359	526	Surface	Surface	Structured	Structured
Flex/R&D	2.4 per 1,000 sq ft	371	550	359	526	Surface	Surface	Structured	Structured
Advanced Manufacturing [1]	1.4 per 1,000 sq ft	311	311	311	317	NA	NA	NA	NA
Ancillary Retail	2.4 per 1,000 sq ft	24	48	48	120	Surface	Surface	Structured	Structured
Hotel	1.0 per Room	-	-	150	-	NA	NA	Structured	NA
Cost Estimate (2020\$)	Cost per Space								
Surface Parking	\$2,000 per space								
Structured Parking	\$31,000 per space								
Consolidated Structured Parking	Phase 3								

Source: City of Davis; EPS.

^[1] Parking for each medium-density residential unit is included with each unit. Advanced manufacturing assumed to be on sold parcels with surface parking not affecting this cash flow.

^[2] Parking requirements subject to further evaluation.

Table A-5 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Developer-Funded Parking Assumptions

			Surface Park	ing Spaces			Structured Parking Spaces						
Item	Assumption [2]	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4				
Residential Land Uses													
Owner Occupied Residential Medium Density Residential [1] Total Owner Occupied	Spaces 2.0 per Unit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Renter Occupied Residential High Density Residential Total Renter Occupied	<u>Spaces</u> 1.0 per Unit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Nonresidential Land Uses	<u>Spaces</u>												
Office	2.4 per 1,000 sq ft	371	550	-	-	-	-	359	526				
Flex/R&D	2.4 per 1,000 sq ft	371	550	-	-	-	-	359	526				
Advanced Manufacturing [1]	1.4 per 1,000 sq ft	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Ancillary Retail Hotel	2.4 per 1,000 sq ft 1.0 per Room	24	48	-	-	-	-	48 150	120				
Hotel	1.0 per Room	-	-	-	-	-	-	150	-				
Cost Estimate (2020\$)	Cost per Space												
Surface Parking	\$2,000 per space	\$1,531,100	\$2,296,651	\$0	\$0								
Structured Parking	\$31,000 per space					\$0	\$0	\$28,382,057	\$36,339,713				
Consolidated Structured Parking	Phase 3							\$64,721,770					

Source: City of Davis; EPS.

^[1] Parking for each medium-density residential unit is included with each unit. Advanced manufacturing assumed to be on sold parcels with surface parking not affecting this cash flow.

^[2] Parking requirements subject to further evaluation.

Table A-6 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Residual Land Value Analysis (excluding parking costs)

		al (per Unit)	Comme	ercial and Wo	orkspaces (per	Sq Ft)	Hospitality (per Room)
ltem	MDR - For Sale	HDR - Rental	Office	R&D	Advanced Manufacturing	Ancillary Retail	Hotel
Development Assumptions							
Acres	11.0	16.4	17.5	27.1	57.2	2.3	7.3
Residential Units	280	570					
Residential Average Unit Size (gross)	1,800	950					
Commercial Square Feet			755,000	755,000	884,000	100,000	160,000
Gross Square Feet per Hotel Room							1,067
Hotel Rooms							150
Jnits/Sq. Ft./Rooms per Acre	25	35	43,124	27,878	15,455	43,124	20.4
Vertical Construction Cost (per Unit/Sq Ft/Room)							
/ertical Direct Construction Cost / Sq Ft [1]	\$146	\$142	\$128	\$118	na	\$128	\$155
Parking Cost [2]	\$15,552	\$31,000			na		
Hard Construction Cost (including parking)	\$278,892	\$165,630	\$128.00	\$118.00	na	\$128.00	\$165,387
Soft Construction Costs at 18% of Direct	\$50,201	\$29,813	\$23.04	\$21.24	na	\$23.04	\$29,770
Permitting Cost	\$5,092	\$2,634	\$11.03	\$11.03	\$11.03	\$11.03	\$11,765
Construction Tax	\$7,146	\$3,772	\$3.97	\$3.97	\$3.97	\$3.97	\$4,235
Impact Fees							
Affordable Housing Fees [3]	\$11,250	\$11,250	-	_	_	_	-
Roadways	\$5,444	\$4,467	\$46.92	\$46.92	\$0.434	\$18.292	\$2,754
Water	\$10,362	\$1,228	\$1.390	\$1.390	\$1.884	\$11.740	\$3,166
Sewer	\$4,780	\$3,320	\$1.470	\$1.470	\$0.121	\$2.138	\$3,320
Drainage	\$305	\$85	\$0.118	\$0.118	\$0.118	\$0.118	\$85
Parks	\$4,145	\$3,827	\$0.730	\$0.730	\$0.190	\$0.730	\$3,277
Open Space	\$714	\$659	\$0.126	\$0.126	\$0.033	\$0.126	\$564
Public Safety	\$494	\$479	\$0.515	\$0.515	\$0.242	\$0.515	\$399
General Facilities	\$1,786	\$1,662	\$0.811	\$0.811	\$0.233	\$0.811	\$1,662
School Impact Fee	\$5,346	\$2,822	\$0.470	\$0.470	\$0.470	\$0.470	\$501
County FSA Fee	\$4,290	\$3,180	\$0.666	\$0.666	\$0.334	\$0.500	\$710
Other Site Improvements	-	-	-	-	na	-	-
Tenant Improvements	-	-	\$50.00	\$50.00	na	\$50.00	-
Financing Costs at 5% of Total	\$19,512	\$11,741	\$13.46	\$12.87	na	\$12.57	\$11,380
Per Unit/Room Total Vertical Const. Cost	\$409,758	\$246,569	na	na	na	na	\$238,975
Per Sq Ft Total Vertical Const. Cost	\$228	\$260	\$283	\$270	\$0	\$264	\$224
Capitalized Income Value [4]							
Average Lease Rate/Unit/Sq Ft/Mo		\$2,470	\$2.92	\$2.33		\$2.33	
Nonresidential Net Leasable			90%	90%		90%	
Less Vacancy (Percent)		5.0%	5.0%	7.0%		7.0%	
Gross Revenue Less Vacancy		\$28,158	\$30	\$23		\$23	
Less per Unit Annual Operating Expenses		\$7,500					
Less Commercial Operating Expenses (Percent) [5]			30.0%	3.0%		3.0%	
Hotel Nightly Room Rate							\$180
Hotel Occupancy Percent							79%
Hotel Non-Room Revenue Percent							59
Hotel Total Revenue per Room							\$54,635
Hotel Departmental Expenses							23%
Hotel Undistributed Expenses & Management Fees							319
Park/Open Space Maintenance [6]	-	\$75	\$0.08	\$0.08	-	\$0.08	\$85
CFD Special Tax	-	\$1,000	\$0.12	\$0.18	-	\$0.12	\$245
Net Annual Operating Income Per Unit/Sq Ft/Room	-	\$19,583	\$20.75	\$22.47	-	\$22.54	\$24,802
Cap Rate [7]	-	5.00%	6.25%	7.00%	-	6.75%	8.00%
Total Net Capitalized Value per Unit / Room	-	\$391,650	na	na	na	na	\$310,029
Total Net Capitalized Value per Gross Sq Ft	-	\$412	\$332	\$321	-	\$334	\$291

Table A-6 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Residual Land Value Analysis (excluding parking costs)

		al (per Unit)	Comm	nercial and Wo	orkspaces (per	Sq Ft)	Hospitality (per Room)
	MDR - For				Advanced		
Item	Sale	HDR - Rental	Office	R&D	Manufacturing	Ancillary Retail	Hotel
Marketing Costs at 5% of Cap. Value/Sales Price	\$31,680	\$19,603	\$16.60	\$16.05	na	\$16.69	\$15,516
Builder Profit at 5% of Cap. Value/Sales Price	\$31,680	\$19,603	\$16.60	\$16.05	na	\$16.69	\$15,516
Residual Value per Unit / Gross Sq Ft / Room	\$160,482	\$106,287	\$16.11	\$18.62	na	\$36.45	\$40,313
Residual Value per Acre	\$4,084,986	\$3,694,117	\$694,771	\$519,194	na	\$1,571,708	\$823,144
Residual Value per Sq Ft of Land	\$93.78	\$84.81	\$15.95	\$11.92	na	\$36.08	\$18.90
Sales Comparable Alternative [8]							
Market Value per Sq Ft of Land	\$46.00	\$36.00			\$14.00		\$25.00
Market Value per Acre	\$2,003,760	\$1,568,160			\$609,840		\$1,089,000
Assumed Project / Entitlement Premium	15%	15%			15%		15%
Comp Value per Acre with Premium	\$2,304,324	\$1,803,384			\$701,316		\$1,252,350
Cash Flow Land Sale Value Used	Comp	Comp	Annual CF	Annual CF	Comp	Annual CF	Comp
Cash Flow Input Value per Acre	\$2,304,324	\$1,803,384	na	na	\$701,316	na	\$1,252,350
Cash Flow Input Value per Sq Ft of Land	\$52.90	\$41.40	na	na	\$16.10	na	\$28.75
Cash Flow Input Value per Unit / Gross Sq Ft / Room	\$90,527	\$51,887	na	na	\$45.38	na	\$61.333

Source: City of Davis; RS Means; CA Board of Equalization; Costar; STR, Inc; CBRE Hotels (PKF); Integra Realty Resources; EPS.

- [1] Vertical construction cost estimates based on a combination of RS Means Online Square Foot Estimator, Board of Equalization Assessor Handbook data, and actual comparable project data. Residential assumed to align with BOE Class C-7 construction and fall within a range of RS Means costs for wood frame construction with stone veneer or fiber cement siding, adjusted for items incorporated separately into the costs, and verified against comparable projects. Office, flex/R&D, and retail costs based on actual cost data for adjacent project, adjusted for costs included elsewhere and use types. Hotel construction cost based on a combination of RS Means data for EIFS and precast concrete construction.
- [2] MDR residential parking assumed to be 400 sq. ft. wood frame garage per unit; HDR residential parking assumed to be 1 above-grade parking structure space per unit at 400 gross square feet per space including circulation.
- [3] The method for meeting the Project's affordable housing obligation are still under consideration; this representative cost is based on 15% affordable requirement, satisfied with in-lieu fee of \$75,000 per required unit, with cost allocated across all units, equating to 15% x \$75,000 per unit.
- [4] Income calculations not included for for-sale residential and advanced manufacturing, since both based on sales comparables.
- [5] R&D, advanced manufacturing and retail space all assumed to be NNN rents; operating costs included in developer NOI for these uses are administrative and non-recoverable costs only.
- [6] Park and open space maintenance cost assumed at \$6,000/acre averaging minimally programmed park space and ag buffers. Cost allocted on a per square foot basis, though acutal allocations may differ.
- [7] "Going-in" capitalization rates based on data from Integra Realty Resources, 2019 Viewpoint. Sacramento metro rates provided the basis for rates used, but rates for Davis were adjusted moderately toward East Bay rates to reflect the local market in the City of Davis.
- [8] Office, flex/R&D and retail space assumed to be owned and operated by the Project Applicant; value is calculated within the cash flows.

Note: Non-applicable input sections have been grayed out for various uses.

Table A-7 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Cash Flow Summary / Rollup - Nominal Dollars

Item	Total	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10
Phase 1											
Site Prep / Land Development Cost Vertical Development Cost Project EIFD Funds	(\$19,563,498) (\$99,174,971) na	(\$9,684,900) - -	(\$9,878,598) - -	(\$21,321,008) -	(\$18,889,221) -	(\$19,267,005) -	(\$19,652,345) -	(\$20,045,392) -	- -	-	- - -
Phase Potential CFD Funds Land Sale Revenue	\$6,547,986 \$31,167,039	\$6,547,986	-	- \$11,866,578	\$2,249,527	- \$2,313,735	- \$12,528,437	\$2,208,761	-	-	-
Net Operating Income Reversion Income	\$62,828,421 \$135,611,701	-	-	-	\$1,757,986	\$3,355,999	\$5,042,200	\$6,818,801	\$8,688,068	\$8,925,346	\$9,166,619
Phase Net Unleveraged Cash Flow Phase Unleveraged IRR	\$117,416,678 14%	(\$3,136,914) 14%	(\$9,878,598)	(\$9,454,430)	(\$14,881,707)	(\$13,597,271)	(\$2,081,708)	(\$11,017,831)	\$8,688,068	\$8,925,346	\$9,166,619
Project Cost Less EIFD / CFD Equity Contribution at 45% Remaining Total Financing Amount	\$112,190,483 (\$50,485,717) \$61,704,766	(\$1,411,611)	(\$4,445,369)	(\$9,594,454)	(\$8,500,149)	(\$8,670,152)	(\$8,843,555)	(\$9,020,426)	-	-	-
Permanent Loan Principal Debt Service Permanent Loan Interest Debt Service	(\$20,910,215) (\$22,712,229)		-	-	(\$1,915,962) (\$2,930,976)	(\$2,006,970) (\$2,839,968)	(\$2,102,301) (\$2,744,637)	(\$2,202,160) (\$2,644,778)	(\$2,306,763) (\$2,540,175)	(\$2,416,334) (\$2,430,604)	(\$2,531,110) (\$2,315,828)
Reversion Less Remaining Debt Net Proceeds to Developer Equity Phase Leveraged IRR	\$94,817,150 \$94,704,450 22%	(\$1,411,611) 22%	(\$4,445,369)	\$2,272,125	(\$9,339,574)	(\$7,847,356)	\$3,880,144	(\$4,839,803)	\$3,841,130	\$4,078,407	\$4,319,681
Phase 2											
Site Prep / Land Development Cost Vertical Development Cost	(\$13,360,432) (\$167,388,744)	- -	-	-	-	- -	-	-	(\$13,360,432)	(\$37,263,751)	(\$31,571,414)
Project EIFD Funds Phase Potential CFD Funds	(\$107,366,744) na \$10,173,095	-	- -	-	-	-	-		\$10,173,095	(ψοι,200,101) -	(ψοι,σει,σει4)
Land Sale Revenue Net Operating Income	\$43,575,541 \$110,566,092	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ψ10,170,030 - -	\$15,676,726	\$3,934,195 \$3,228,505
Reversion Income Phase Net Unleveraged Cash Flow Phase Unleveraged IRR	\$236,915,594 \$220,481,146 17%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- (\$3,187,337) 17%	(\$21,587,024)	(\$24,408,714)
Project Cost Less EIFD / CFD Equity Contribution at 45%	\$170,576,080 (\$76,759,236)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	(\$1,434,301)	(\$16,768,688)	(\$14,207,136)
Remaining Total Financing Amount Permanent Loan Principal Debt Service	\$93,816,844 (\$31,792,202)	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	(\$2,913,057)
Permanent Loan Interest Debt Service Reversion Less Remaining Debt	(\$34,532,011) \$174,890,952	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(\$4,456,300)
Net Proceeds to Developer Equity Phase Leveraged IRR	\$185,949,135 28%	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	(\$1,434,301) 28%	(\$1,091,961)	(\$14,413,793)
Phase 3											
Site Prep / Land Development Cost Vertical Development Cost	(\$40,840,507) (\$161,511,901)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Project EIFD Funds Phase Potential CFD Funds	na \$9,420,346	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Land Sale Revenue Net Operating Income	\$52,835,467 \$86,077,534	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reversion Income Phase Net Unleveraged Cash Flow Phase Unleveraged IRR	\$182,710,795 \$128,691,734 9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Project Cost Less EIFD / CFD	\$192,932,062										
Equity Contribution at 45% Remaining Total Financing Amount	(\$86,819,428) \$106,112,634	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Permanent Loan Principal Debt Service Permanent Loan Interest Debt Service	(\$35,958,940) (\$39,057,833)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reversion Less Remaining Debt Net Proceeds to Developer Equity Phase Leveraged IRR	\$112,557,102 \$89,633,902 15%	-	-	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	-
Phase 4											
Site Prep / Land Development Cost	(\$12,444,809)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vertical Development Cost Project EIFD Funds	(\$267,027,378) na	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Phase Potential CFD Funds Land Sale Revenue	\$2,818,866 \$18,430,236	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Operating Income Reversion Income	\$153,820,991 \$321,945,976	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Phase Net Unleveraged Cash Flow Phase Unleveraged IRR	\$217,543,881 9%	-	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-
Project Cost Less EIFD / CFD Equity Contribution at 45%	\$276,653,322 (\$124,493,995)	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Remaining Total Financing Amount Permanent Loan Principal Debt Service	\$152,159,327 (\$51,563,022)	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	-
Permanent Loan Interest Debt Service Reversion Less Remaining Debt	(\$56,006,654) \$221,349,671	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Proceeds to Developer Equity Phase Leveraged IRR	\$161,537,228 12%		-	-	-		-		-	-	-
Project Net Unleveraged Cash Flow Project Unleveraged IRR	13%	(\$3,136,914)	(\$9,878,598)	(\$9,454,430)	(\$14,881,707)	(\$13,597,271)	(\$2,081,708)	(\$11,017,831)	\$5,500,732	(\$12,661,678)	(\$15,242,095)
Project Net Proceeds to Developer Equity Project Leveraged IRR	23%	(\$1,411,611)	(\$4,445,369)	\$2,272,125	(\$9,339,574)	(\$7,847,356)	\$3,880,144	(\$4,839,803)	\$2,406,829	\$2,986,446	(\$10,094,113)

Source: City of Davis, EPS.

Table A-7 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Cash Flow Summary / Rollup - Nominal Dollars

Item	Total	Year 11	Year 12	Year 13	Year 14	Year 15	Year 16	Year 17	Year 18	Year 19	Year 20
Phase 1											
Site Prep / Land Development Cost	(\$19,563,498)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vertical Development Cost Project EIFD Funds	(\$99,174,971) na	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Phase Potential CFD Funds	\$6,547,986	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Land Sale Revenue Net Operating Income	\$31,167,039 \$62,828,421	\$9,411,960	\$9,661,443		-	-	-	-	-		-
Reversion Income Phase Net Unleveraged Cash Flow	\$135,611,701 \$117,416,678	- *0 414 060	E0 664 442	\$135,611,701 \$135,611,701	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Phase Unleveraged IRR	14%	\$9,411,960	\$9,661,443	\$135,611,701	•	•	-	-	•	-	•
Project Cost Less EIFD / CFD	\$112,190,483										
Equity Contribution at 45% Remaining Total Financing Amount	(\$50,485,717) \$61,704,766	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Permanent Loan Principal Debt Service Permanent Loan Interest Debt Service	(\$20,910,215) (\$22,712,229)	(\$2,651,338)	(\$2,777,276) (\$2,069,662)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reversion Less Remaining Debt	\$94,817,150	(\$2,195,600)	(\$2,009,002)	\$94,817,150	-	-			-		-
Net Proceeds to Developer Equity Phase Leveraged IRR	\$94,704,450 22%	\$4,565,022	\$4,814,505	\$94,817,150	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Phase 2											
Site Prep / Land Development Cost	(\$13,360,432)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vertical Development Cost	(\$167,388,744)	(\$32,202,842)	(\$32,846,899)	(\$33,503,837)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Project EIFD Funds Phase Potential CFD Funds	na \$10,173,095	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Land Sale Revenue	\$43,575,541	\$4,039,492 \$6,017,242	\$16,068,201	\$3,856,927 \$12,032,533	¢15.266.405	¢15 660 240	¢16.060.604	¢16.467.005	\$16 004 OFF	-	-
Net Operating Income Reversion Income	\$110,566,092 \$236,915,594	-	\$8,950,698	-	\$15,266,495 -	\$15,660,218 -	\$16,060,621 -	\$16,467,825 -	\$16,881,955 -	\$236,915,594	-
Phase Net Unleveraged Cash Flow Phase Unleveraged IRR	\$220,481,146 17%	(\$22,146,109)	(\$7,828,000)	(\$17,614,377)	\$15,266,495	\$15,660,218	\$16,060,621	\$16,467,825	\$16,881,955	\$236,915,594	-
Project Cost Less EIFD / CFD	\$170,576,080										
Equity Contribution at 45% Remaining Total Financing Amount	(\$76,759,236) \$93,816,844	(\$14,491,279)	(\$14,781,105)	(\$15,076,727)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Permanent Loan Principal Debt Service	(\$31,792,202)	(\$3,051,427)	(\$3,196,370)	(\$3,348,197)	(\$3,507,237)	(\$3,673,831)	(\$3,848,337)	(\$4,031,133)	(\$4,222,612)	-	-
Permanent Loan Interest Debt Service Reversion Less Remaining Debt	(\$34,532,011) \$174,890,952	(\$4,317,930)	(\$4,172,987)	(\$4,021,160)	(\$3,862,120)	(\$3,695,526)	(\$3,521,019)	(\$3,338,223)	(\$3,146,745)	\$174,890,952	-
Net Proceeds to Developer Equity Phase Leveraged IRR	\$185,949,135 28%	(\$11,803,902)	\$2,868,437	(\$6,556,623)	\$7,897,138	\$8,290,861	\$8,691,264	\$9,098,468	\$9,512,598	\$174,890,952	-
Phase 3											
	(\$40.040.E07)				(\$40.040.E07)						
Site Prep / Land Development Cost Vertical Development Cost	(\$40,840,507) (\$161,511,901)	-	-	-	(\$40,840,507)	(\$67,636,065)	(\$22,776,508)	(\$23,232,038)	(\$23,696,678)	(\$24,170,612)	-
Project EIFD Funds Phase Potential CFD Funds	na \$9,420,346	_	-	-	\$9,420,346	-	-	-	-	-	-
Land Sale Revenue	\$52,835,467	-	-	-	-	\$38,423,460	\$2,995,629	\$3,307,860	\$8,108,518	-	
Net Operating Income Reversion Income	\$86,077,534 \$182,710,795	-	-	-	-	-	\$2,700,579	\$4,823,867	\$7,051,667 -	\$9,386,641	\$11,831,514 -
Phase Net Unleveraged Cash Flow Phase Unleveraged IRR	\$128,691,734 9%	-	-	-	(\$31,420,161) 9%	(\$29,212,605)	(\$17,080,300)	(\$15,100,311)	(\$8,536,494)	(\$14,783,972)	\$11,831,514
Project Cost Less EIFD / CFD	\$192,932,062				(0.1.100.000)	(400, 400, 000)	(440,040,400)	(0.0.454.447)	(\$40,000,505)	(\$40.000.000.000	
Equity Contribution at 45% Remaining Total Financing Amount	(\$86,819,428) \$106,112,634	-	-	-	(\$14,139,072)	(\$30,436,229)	(\$10,249,428)	(\$10,454,417)	(\$10,663,505)	(\$10,876,775)	- -
Permanent Loan Principal Debt Service Permanent Loan Interest Debt Service	(\$35,958,940) (\$39,057,833)	-	-	-	-	-	(\$3,294,847) (\$5,040,350)	(\$3,451,352) (\$4,883,845)	(\$3,615,291) (\$4,719,906)	(\$3,787,018) (\$4,548,179)	(\$3,966,901) (\$4,368,296)
Reversion Less Remaining Debt	\$112,557,102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Proceeds to Developer Equity Phase Leveraged IRR	\$89,633,902 15%	-	-	-	(\$14,139,072) 15%	\$7,987,231	(\$12,888,418)	(\$10,657,887)	(\$3,838,517)	(\$9,825,332)	\$3,496,317
Phase 4											
Site Prep / Land Development Cost	(\$12,444,809)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(\$12,444,809)
Vertical Development Cost Project EIFD Funds	(\$267,027,378) na	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Phase Potential CFD Funds	\$2,818,866	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$2,818,866
Land Sale Revenue Net Operating Income	\$18,430,236 \$153,820,991	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reversion Income	\$321,945,976	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Phase Net Unleveraged Cash Flow Phase Unleveraged IRR	\$217,543,881 9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(\$9,625,944) 9%
Project Cost Less EIFD / CFD Equity Contribution at 45%	\$276,653,322 (\$124,493,995)										(\$4,331,675)
Remaining Total Financing Amount	\$152,159,327	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(ψ-τ,υυ 1,υ 1 υ)
Permanent Loan Principal Debt Service Permanent Loan Interest Debt Service	(\$51,563,022) (\$56,006,654)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reversion Less Remaining Debt	\$221,349,671	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Proceeds to Developer Equity Phase Leveraged IRR	\$161,537,228 12%	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	•	(\$4,331,675) 12%
Project Net Unleveraged Cash Flow Project Unleveraged IRR	13%	(\$12,734,149)	\$1,833,443	\$117,997,324	(\$16,153,666)	(\$13,552,387)	(\$1,019,679)	\$1,367,514	\$8,345,461	\$222,131,623	\$2,205,570
Project Net Proceeds to Developer Equity		(\$7,238,881)	\$7,682,942	\$88,260,527	(\$6,241,935)	\$16,278,092	(\$4,197,154)	(\$1,559,419)	\$5,674,081	\$165,065,620	(\$835,358)
Project Leveraged IRR	23%										

Source: City of Davis, EPS.

Table A-7 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Cash Flow Summary / Rollup - Nominal Dollars

State Stat	Item	Total	Year 21	Year 22	Year 23	Year 24	Year 25	Year 26	Year 27	Year 28	Year 29	Year 30
The Process of Development Coal PROPE 1997-1997 19	Phase 1											
Page	Site Prep / Land Development Cost	(\$19,563,498)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
The Properties of Service (1998) (199	Vertical Development Cost		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SECONDATION	Phase Potential CFD Funds		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
The Process of Developer Region (Process of D	and Sale Revenue		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
The Proper Continue Fifty Continue F	Reversion Income		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Section Continue	Phase Net Unleveraged Cash Flow Phase Unleveraged IRR		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Service Les Comments (an invested and service service) 1970-1976	Project Cost Less EIFD / CFD											
manament Lanchmoral Ded Service (2001/02/10) 1474-069			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Several content (Part Several Research (Part	ermanent Loan Principal Debt Service	(\$20,910,215)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
The Prop Can Development Coal (\$13,360,422) 1			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
In Part Land Conference Clock Str. 20.88.74 Str. 20.88	let Proceeds to Developer Equity	\$94,704,450	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
In Part Land Conference Clock Str. 20.88.74 Str. 20.88	Phase 2											
intered interoplement Cost Septiment	Site Prep / Land Development Cost	(\$13,360,432)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
The Power of Charles 151,713,056	/ertical Development Cost	(\$167,388,744)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
and Sale Revenue 611,056,641 610 epecing promo 511,056,052 511,056,053,052 511,056,052 511			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Interesting incomes \$32,981,9619	and Sale Revenue	\$43,575,541	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
The Name Not Univervaged (Cash Flow 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17%			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fige Cost Less EIFD / CFD (\$170,570,080) (\$170,570,	Phase Net Unleveraged Cash Flow	\$220,481,146						-	-		-	
gailly Centifishion at 45% maningrig fost Financial Artists maningrig fost Financial Artists maningrig fost Financial Artists maningrig fost Financial Artists state Leveraged IRR ### Artists ### Ar	Phase Unleveraged IRR											
Imminishing Plate Flavoring Amount (\$15,702,201) (\$15,000,000) (\$15,000,	Project Cost Less EIFD / CFD Equity Contribution at 45%		-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	
remanent Loar Interest Debt Service reversion Lase Remaining Debt \$174,800,802	Remaining Total Financing Amount	\$93,816,844										
Interes of the Proceeds to Developer Equity has been selected and Developer Equity has been selected	Permanent Loan Interest Debt Service		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Nase 3 16 Prop Land Development Cost (\$40,840,507)			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
tell Peps / Land Development Cost efficial Development Cost efficial Development Cost (\$1615.11.90.1)			-	-	-	-	-	•	•	•	•	
refricted Development Cost (\$161,511,901)	Phase 3											
Troject EIFD Funds 18	Site Prep / Land Development Cost		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
and Sale Revenue 1	Project EIFD Funds	na	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Selegory			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
hase Not Unleveraged Cash Flow hase Unleveraged IRR 91/92 \$12,932,062 \$12,932,062 \$12,932,062 \$182,932,	let Operating Income	\$86,077,534	\$12,122,221	\$12,417,897	\$12,718,631	\$13,024,517	-	-	-	-	-	
Troject Cost Less EIFD / CFD (\$192,932,062 (\$86,819,428) \$106,112,857,102 (\$35,956,940) (\$4,155,329) (\$4,155,	Reversion Income Phase Net Unleveraged Cash Flow	\$128,691,734	\$12,122,221	\$12,417,897	\$12,718,631	\$13,024,517		-	-	-	-	
Comparison of the Comparison												
remanent Loan Principal Debt Service (\$35,969,940) (\$4,176,329) (\$4,176,035)	Equity Contribution at 45%	(\$86,819,428)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
remanent Loan Interest Debt Service (\$39,057,833) (\$4,179,868) (\$3,982,490) (\$3,775,736) (\$3,559,162)			(\$4 155 329)	(\$4 352 707)	(\$4 559 460)	(\$4 776 035)	_	_	_	_	_	
thase 4 **This Pring Land Development Cost (\$12,444.809)	Permanent Loan Interest Debt Service	(\$39,057,833)					-	-	-	-	-	
hase Leveraged IRR 15%			\$3 787 02 4	\$4 082 700	\$4 383 434	\$4 689 320				-		
itle Prep / Land Development Cost (\$12,444,809)	Phase Leveraged IRR		ψ0,707,024	ψ4,002,700	ψ4,000,404	ψ 4 ,505,520	V112,007,102					
retrical Development Cost (\$267,027,378) (\$111,972,202) (\$37,620,069) (\$38,372,470) (\$39,139,919) (\$39,922,718)	Phase 4											
roject EIFD Funds hase Potential CFD Funds stake Potential CFD Funds s	Site Prep / Land Development Cost		(0444.070.77	(007 000	(000 000 :	(000 100 -	(000 000 = :-	-	-	-	-	
hase Potential CFD Funds \$2,818,866			(\$111,972,202)	(\$37,620,069)	(\$38,372,470)	(\$39,139,919)	(\$39,922,718)	-	-	-	-	
let Operating Income	Phase Potential CFD Funds	\$2,818,866		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
teversion Income \$321,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$22,947,010 \$22,966,73 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$21,945,976 \$22,947,010 \$22,966,73 \$22,966,73 \$21,945,976 \$21	and Sale Revenue let Operating Income		\$8,884,468	\$5,423,708	\$9,043.357		\$16,797,129	\$20,940.074	\$21,433.836	\$21,936.096	\$22,447.010	\$22,966.736
Project Cost Less EIFD / CFD (\$276,653,322 (\$12,493,995) (\$50,387,491) (\$16,929,031) (\$17,267,612) (\$17,612,964) (\$17,965,223)	Reversion Income	\$321,945,976		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
quity Contribution at 45% (\$124,493,995) (\$50,387,491) (\$16,929,031) (\$17,267,612) (\$17,612,964) (\$17,965,223)			(\$103,087,733)	(\$32,196,361)	(\$29,329,113)	(\$16,761,107)	(\$23,125,589)	\$20,940,074	\$21,433,836	\$21,936,096	\$22,447,010	\$22,966,736
termaining Total Financing Amount \$152,159,327			(\$50.387 491)	(\$16.929.031)	(\$17.267.612)	(\$17.612.964)	(\$17.965.223)	-	-	-	-	
remanent Loan Interest Debt Service (\$56,006,654) - \$7,227,568) (\$7,003,149) (\$6,768,069) (\$6,521,824) (\$6,263,882) (\$5,993,687) (\$5,710,658) (\$5,114,186) (\$5,103,638) (\$5,103,638) (\$5,114,186) (\$5,113,638) (\$5,114,186) (\$5,113,638) (\$5,114,186) (\$5,113,638) (\$5,114,186) (\$5,113,638) (\$5,114,186) (\$5,113,638) (\$5,113,120,280) (Remaining Total Financing Amount	\$152,159,327	(400,001,401)						/AE AET 111		(0.0 55	/ A A
Leversion Less Remaining Debt \$221,349,671 \$161,537,228 \$221,349,671 \$161,537,228 \$41,503,022 \$23,457,509 \$20,176,441 \$7,186,337 \$13,120,280 \$8,987,887 \$9,481,650 \$9,983,910 \$10,494,824 \$11,014,55 \$12% \$10,000 \$12% \$10,000 \$12% \$10,000 \$1			-									
roject Net Unleveraged IRR (\$90,965,512) (\$19,778,464) (\$16,610,482) (\$3,736,589) \$159,585,207 \$20,940,074 \$21,433,836 \$21,936,096 \$22,447,010 \$22,966,73 roject Unleveraged IRR 13%	Reversion Less Remaining Debt	\$221,349,671	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
roject Unleveraged IRR 13%			(\$41,503,022)	(\$23,457,509)	(\$20,176,441)	(\$7,186,337)	(\$13,120,280)	\$8,987,887	\$9,481,650	\$9,983,910	\$10,494,824	\$11,014,550
	Project Net Unleveraged Cash Flow	420/	(\$90,965,512)	(\$19,778,464)	(\$16,610,482)	(\$3,736,589)	\$159,585,207	\$20,940,074	\$21,433,836	\$21,936,096	\$22,447,010	\$22,966,736
	roject offieverageu inn	13%										

Table A-7 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Cash Flow Summary / Rollup - Nominal Dollars

tem	Total	Year 31	Year 32	Year 33	Year 34	Year 35
Phase 1						
Site Prep / Land Development Cost	(\$19,563,498)	-	-	_	_	
/ertical Development Cost	(\$99,174,971)	-	-	-	-	
Project EIFD Funds	na	-	-	-	-	
Phase Potential CFD Funds and Sale Revenue	\$6,547,986 \$31,167,039	-	-	-	-	
Net Operating Income	\$62,828,421	-	_	_	-	
Reversion Income	\$135,611,701	-	-	-	-	
Phase Net Unleveraged Cash Flow Phase Unleveraged IRR	\$117,416,678 14%	-	-	-	-	
Project Cost Less EIFD / CFD	\$112,190,483					
Equity Contribution at 45% Remaining Total Financing Amount	(\$50,485,717) \$61,704,766	-	-	-	-	
Permanent Loan Principal Debt Service	(\$20,910,215)	-	-	-	-	
Permanent Loan Interest Debt Service	(\$22,712,229)	-	-	-	-	
Reversion Less Remaining Debt	\$94,817,150 \$94,704,450	-	-	-	-	
Net Proceeds to Developer Equity Phase Leveraged IRR	\$94,704,450 22%	-	-	•	•	
Phase 2						
Site Prep / Land Development Cost	(\$13,360,432)	-	-	-	_	
/ertical Development Cost	(\$167,388,744)	-	-	-	-	
Project EIFD Funds Phase Potential CFD Funds	na \$10 173 005	-	-	-	-	
nase Potential CFD Funds and Sale Revenue	\$10,173,095 \$43,575,541	-	-	-	-	
let Operating Income	\$110,566,092	-	-	-	-	
eversion Income	\$236,915,594	-	-	-	-	
hase Net Unleveraged Cash Flow hase Unleveraged IRR	\$220,481,146 17%	-	-	-	-	
roject Cost Less EIFD / CFD	\$170,576,080					
quity Contribution at 45% emaining Total Financing Amount	(\$76,759,236)	-	-	-	-	
ernaning Total Financing Amount ermanent Loan Principal Debt Service	\$93,816,844 (\$31,792,202)	_	_	_	_	
ermanent Loan Interest Debt Service	(\$34,532,011)	-	-	-	-	
eversion Less Remaining Debt	\$174,890,952	-	-	-	-	
et Proceeds to Developer Equity hase Leveraged IRR	\$185,949,135 28%	-	-	-	-	
hase 3						
ite Prep / Land Development Cost	(\$40,840,507)	-	-	_	-	
ertical Development Cost	(\$161,511,901)	-	-	-	-	
roject EIFD Funds	na \$9,420,346	-	-	-	-	
hase Potential CFD Funds and Sale Revenue	\$52,835,467	-	-	-	-	
et Operating Income	\$86,077,534	_	-	-	-	
eversion Income	\$182,710,795	-	-	-	-	
hase Net Unleveraged Cash Flow hase Unleveraged IRR	\$128,691,734 9%	-	-	•	-	
roject Cost Less EIFD / CFD	\$192,932,062					
quity Contribution at 45% emaining Total Financing Amount	(\$86,819,428) \$106,112,634	-	-	-	-	
ermanent Loan Principal Debt Service	(\$35,958,940)	_	_	_	-	
ermanent Loan Interest Debt Service	(\$39,057,833)	-	-	-	-	
eversion Less Remaining Debt	\$112,557,102	-	-	-	-	
et Proceeds to Developer Equity nase Leveraged IRR	\$89,633,902 15%	-	-	•	-	
hase 4						
ite Prep / Land Development Cost	(\$12,444,809)	_	_	_	_	
ertical Development Cost	(\$267,027,378)	-	-	-	-	
roject EIFD Funds	na	-	-	-	-	
nase Potential CFD Funds	\$2,818,866	-	-	-	-	
and Sale Revenue et Operating Income	\$18,430,236 \$153,820,991	-	-	-	-	
eversion Income	\$321,945,976	\$321,945,976	-	-	-	
nase Net Unleveraged Cash Flow	\$217,543,881	\$321,945,976	-	-	-	
nase Unleveraged IRR	9%					
roject Cost Less EIFD / CFD quity Contribution at 45%	\$276,653,322 (\$124,493,995)	-	-	-	-	
emaining Total Financing Amount	\$152,159,327					
ermanent Loan Principal Debt Service ermanent Loan Interest Debt Service	(\$51,563,022) (\$56,006,654)	-	-	-	-	
eversion Less Remaining Debt	\$221,349,671	\$221,349,671	-	-	-	
et Proceeds to Developer Equity hase Leveraged IRR	\$161,537,228 12%	\$221,349,671	-	-	-	
roject Net Unleveraged Cash Flow	420/	\$321,945,976	-	-	-	
roject Unleveraged IRR	13%					
roject Net Proceeds to Developer Equity		\$221,349,671	-	-	-	
roject Leveraged IRR	23%					

Table A-8 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Phase 1 Annual Development Cash Flow

Item	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10
Phase	P1 Prep	P1 Prep	Phase 1							
Inflation Factor 2.0% Real Growth Factor 1.0%	1.020 1.010	1.040 1.020	1.061 1.030	1.082 1.041	1.104 1.051	1.126 1.062	1.149 1.072	1.172 1.083	1.195 1.094	1.219 1.105
Phase 1										
Master Developer Development Cost Land Development Site Prep and Infrastructure	\$9,684,900	\$9,878,598	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vertical Construction & Parking Surface Parking Structured Parking	-	-	\$324,963	\$331,462	\$338,092	\$344,854	\$351,751	-	-	-
Medium Density Residential (For Sale) Land Sale	- :	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
High Density Residential (Rental) Land Sale Office Own & Opi	-	-	- \$0.200.740	+0 496 7FF	- \$0,676,400	£0.970.020	£10.067.420	-	-	-
Flex/R&D Own & Op		-	\$9,300,740 \$8,893,141	\$9,486,755 \$9,071,003	\$9,676,490 \$9,252,423	\$9,870,020 \$9,437,472	\$10,067,420 \$9,626,221	-	-	-
Advanced Manufacturing Land Sale Ancillary Retail Own & Opn	-	-	\$2,802,164	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hotel Land Sale	-	-	\$2,002,104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Vertical Construction & Parking	-	-	\$21,321,008	\$18,889,221	\$19,267,005	\$19,652,345	\$20,045,392	-	-	-
Total Development Cost	\$9,684,900	\$9,878,598	\$21,321,008	\$18,889,221	\$19,267,005	\$19,652,345	\$20,045,392	-	-	-
Land Sale Income										
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)	-	-	\$2,962,007	\$1,038,180 \$1,211,347	\$1,067,813	\$3,045,903	\$891,939	-	-	-
High Density Residential (Rental) Office	-	-	\$3,456,065 -	\$1,211,347 -	\$1,245,922 -	\$3,553,955 -	\$1,316,821 -	-	-	-
Flex/R&D	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advanced Manufacturing Ancillary Retail	-	-	\$5,448,507	-	-	\$5,928,579	-	-	-	-
Hotel	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_
Total Sales Income	-	-	\$11,866,578	\$2,249,527	\$2,313,735	\$12,528,437	\$2,208,761	-	-	-
Net Operating Income										
High Density Residential (Rental)	-	-	-		<u>.</u>	-	<u>-</u>		<u>.</u>	
Office Flex/R&D	-	-	-	\$722,466 \$782,416	\$1,486,174 \$1,609,497	\$2,292,161 \$2,482,365	\$3,141,488 \$3,402,170	\$4,035,243 \$4,370,088	\$4,145,448 \$4,489,439	\$4,257,510 \$4,610,799
Advanced Manufacturing	-	-	-	\$702,410 -	φ1,009,497 -	φ2,402,303	φ3,402,170	φ4,370,000 -	φ 4 ,405,435 -	\$4,010,799 -
Ancillary Retail	-	-	-	\$253,104	\$260,329	\$267,674	\$275,143	\$282,737	\$290,458	\$298,310
Hotel	-	-	-	- #4 757 000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Net Operating Income	-	-	-	\$1,757,986	\$3,355,999	\$5,042,200	\$6,818,801	\$8,688,068	\$8,925,346	\$9,166,619
Reversion Income in Year @ Cap Rat	e [1]									
High Density Residential (Rental) na	na 750/									
	.75% - .50% -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advanced Manufacturing na	na -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.25% -	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-
Hotel na	na -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Reversion Income	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHASE 1 NET ANNUAL CASH FLOW	(\$9,684,900)	(\$9,878,598)	(\$9,454,430)	(\$14,881,707)	(\$13,597,271)	(\$2,081,708)	(\$11,017,831)	\$8,688,068	\$8,925,346	\$9,166,619

^[1] Reversion capitalization rates based on Integra Realty Resources, 2019 Viewpoint for Sacramento metro area.

Table A-8 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Phase 1 Annual Development Cash Flow

Item			Year 11	Year 12	Year 13	Year 14
Phase						
	2.0% .0%		1.243 1.116	1.268 1.127	1.294 1.138	1.319 1.149
Phase 1						
Master Developer Development Cost Land Development Site Prep and Infrastructure			-	-	-	-
Vertical Construction & Parking						
Surface Parking			_	_	_	-
Structured Parking			-	-	_	-
Medium Density Residential (For Sal	le)	Land Sale	-	-	-	-
High Density Residential (Rental)		Land Sale	-	-	-	-
Office		Own & Operate	-	-	-	-
Flex/R&D		Own & Operate	-	-	-	-
Advanced Manufacturing		Land Sale	-	-	-	-
Ancillary Retail		Own & Operate	-	-	-	-
Hotel		Land Sale	-	-	-	-
Total Vertical Construction & Park	ing		-	-	-	-
Total Development Cost			-	-	-	-
Land Sale Income						
Medium Density Residential (For Sal	lo)					
High Density Residential (Rental)	le)		-	-	-	-
Office			-	-	-	-
Flex/R&D			_	-	-	_
Advanced Manufacturing						
Ancillary Retail			_	-	-	_
Hotel			_	_	_	_
Total Sales Income			-	-	-	-
Net Operating Income						
High Density Residential (Rental)			_	_	_	_
Office			\$4,371,460	\$4,487,335	_	_
Flex/R&D			\$4,734,205	\$4,859,695	_	-
Advanced Manufacturing			-	-	-	-
Ancillary Retail			\$306,294	\$314,413	-	-
Hotel			-	-	-	-
Total Net Operating Income			\$9,411,960	\$9,661,443	-	-
Reversion Income in	Year (@ Cap Rate [1]				
High Density Residential (Rental)	na	na				
	ear 13	6.75%	-	-	\$66,479,034	-
	ear 13	7.50%	-	-	\$64,795,933	-
Advanced Manufacturing	na	na	-	-	-	-
,	ear 13	7.25%	-	-	\$4,336,735	-
Hotel Total Reversion Income	na	na	-	-	- \$135,611,701	-
TOTAL MEASURE HICOHIS			•	-	ψ133,011,701	-
PHASE 1 NET ANNUAL CASH FLOW			\$9,411,960	\$9,661,443	\$135,611,701	_

^[1] Reversion capitalization rates based on Integra Realty Resources, 2019 Viewpoint for Sacramento metro area.

Table A-9 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Phase 2 Annual Development Cash Flow

Item		Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12	Year 13	Year 14	Year 15	Year 16	Year 17
Phase		P2 Prep	Phase 2	Phase 2	Phase 2	Phase 2	Phase 2				
Inflation Factor 2.0% Real Growth Factor 1.0%		1.172 1.083	1.195 1.094	1.219 1.105	1.243 1.116	1.268 1.127	1.294 1.138	1.319 1.149	1.346 1.161	1.373 1.173	1.400 1.184
Phase 2											
Master Developer Development Cost Land Development Site Prep and Infrastructure		\$13,360,432	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vertical Construction & Parking Surface Parking Structured Parking Medium Density Residential (For Sale)	Land Sale	-	\$548,942 - -	\$559,921 - -	\$571,119 - -	\$582,542 - -	\$594,193 - -	-	-		- - -
High Density Residential (Rental) Office Flex/R&D Advanced Manufacturing Ancillary Retail	Land Sale Own & Operate Own & Operate Land Sale Own & Operate	:	\$15,542,278 \$14,861,147 - \$6,311,384	\$15,853,123 \$15,158,370	\$16,170,186 \$15,461,537	\$16,493,590 \$15,770,768	\$16,823,461 \$16,086,183	- - -	:	-	- - -
Hotel Total Vertical Construction & Parking	Land Sale	-	\$37,263,751	- \$31,571,414	- \$32,202,842	- \$32,846,899	\$33,503,837	-	-	-	-
Total Development Cost		\$13,360,432	\$37,263,751	\$31,571,414	\$32,202,842	\$32,846,899	\$33,503,837	-	-	-	-
Land Sale Income Medium Density Residential (For Sale) High Density Residential (Rental) Office Flex/R&D Advanced Manufacturing Ancillary Retail Hotel Total Sales Income		- - - - - - -	\$4,265,975 \$4,977,533 - - \$6,433,218 - - \$15,676,726	\$1,815,672 \$2,118,523 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$1,864,267 \$2,175,224 - - - - \$4,039,492	\$4,201,784 \$4,902,635 - - \$6,963,783 - - \$16,068,201	\$1,963,935 \$1,892,992 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - -	- - - - - - -	- - - - - - -	- - - - - - -
Net Operating Income High Density Residential (Rental) Office Flex/R&D Advanced Manufacturing Ancillary Retail Hotel Total Net Operating Income		- - - - - -	- - - - - -	\$1,263,519 \$1,368,366 - \$596,620 - \$3,228,505	\$2,594,673 \$2,809,980 \$612,589 \$6,017,242	\$3,995,175 \$4,326,696 - \$628,827 - \$8,950,698	\$5,466,780 \$5,920,415 \$645,339 \$12,032,533	\$7,011,283 \$7,593,081 - \$662,131 - \$15,266,495	\$7,192,104 \$7,788,907 \$679,207	\$7,375,993 \$7,988,055 \$696,573 \$16,060,621	\$7,563,005 \$8,190,585 - \$714,234 - \$16,467,825
Reversion Income in Year	@ Cap Rate [1]										
High Density Residential (Rental) na Office Year 19 Flex/R&D Year 19 Advanced Manufacturing na Ancillary Retail Year 19 Hotel na Total Reversion Income PHASE 1 NET ANNUAL CASH FLOW				- - - - - -		- - - - - -		- - - - - - \$15,266,495	- - - - - - \$15,660,218	- - - - - - \$16,060,621	- - - - - \$16,467,825
FRAGE I NET ANNUAL CASH FLOW		(\$13,360,432)	(\$21,587,024)	(\$24,408,714)	(\$22,146,109)	(\$7,828,000)	(\$17,614,377)	₹15,∠05,495	φ15,000,∠18	\$10,000,021	φ10,40 <i>1</i> ,025

^[1] Reversion capitalization rates based on Integra Realty Resources, 2019 Viewpoint for Sacramento metro area.

Table A-9 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Phase 2 Annual Development Cash Flow

em			Year 18	Year 19	Year 20	Year 21
nase						
flation Factor	2.0%		1.428	1.457	1.486	1.51
eal Growth Factor	1.0%		1.196	1.208	1.220	1.23
hase 2						
Master Developer Develop	oment Cost					
Land Development						
Site Prep and Infrastruc	ture		-	-	-	
Vertical Construction & P.	arkina					
Surface Parking			_	_	_	
Structured Parking			-	-	_	
Medium Density Reside	ntial (For Sale)	Land Sale	-	-	-	
High Density Residentia	l (Rental)	Land Sale	-	-	-	
Office		Own & Operate	-	-	-	
Flex/R&D		Own & Operate	-	-	-	
Advanced Manufacturin	g	Land Sale	-	-	-	
Ancillary Retail		Own & Operate	-	-	-	
Hotel Total Vertical Constru	ction & Parking	Land Sale	-	-	-	
rotal vertical constitu	otion a ranking					
Total Development Co	st		-		-	
Land Sale Income						
Medium Density Reside			-	-	-	
High Density Residentia	ıl (Rental)		-	-	-	
Office			-	-	-	
Flex/R&D			-	-	-	
Advanced Manufacturin	g		-	-	-	
Ancillary Retail			-	-	-	
Hotel Total Sales Income			-	-	-	
i otai Sales Income			-	-	-	
Net Operating Income						
High Density Residentia	ıl (Rental)		-	-	-	
Office			\$7,753,198	-	-	
Flex/R&D			\$8,396,561	-	-	
Advanced Manufacturin	g			-	-	
Ancillary Retail			\$732,196	-	-	
Hotel Total Net Operating In-	come		\$16,881,955	-	-	
Reversion Income		@ Cap Rate [1]	4.0,00.1,000			
	rear	@ 20b (rate [1]				
High Density Residentia		na				
Office	Year 19		-	\$114,862,199	-	
Flex/R&D	Year 19		-	\$111,954,144	-	
Advanced Manufacturin		na	-		-	
Ancillary Retail	Year 19	7.25%	-	\$10,099,251	-	
Hotel	na	na	-	-	-	
Total Reversion Incom	e		-	\$236,915,594	-	

^[1] Reversion capitalization rates based on Integra Realty Resources, 2019 Viewpoint for Sacramento metro area.

Table A-10 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Phase 3 Annual Development Cash Flow

Item	Year 14	Year 15	Year 16	Year 17	Year 18	Year 19	Year 20	Year 21	Year 22	Year 23
Phase	P3 Prep	Phase 3	Phase 3	Phase 3	Phase 3	Phase 3				
Inflation Factor 2.0% Real Growth Factor 1.0%	1.319 1.149	1.346 1.161	1.373 1.173	1.400 1.184	1.428 1.196	1.457 1.208	1.486 1.220	1.516 1.232	1.546 1.245	1.577 1.257
Phase 3										
Master Developer Development Cost Land Development Site Prep and Infrastructure	\$40,840,507	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vertical Construction & Parking Surface Parking Structured Parking Medium Density Residential (For Sale) Land \$\frac{1}{2}\$		- \$38,198,512 -				:		-	-	- - -
Flex/R&D Own 8 Advanced Manufacturing Land 9	Operate - Operate - Sale -	\$11,415,084 \$10,914,825	\$11,643,386 \$11,133,122	\$11,876,254 \$11,355,784	\$12,113,779 \$11,582,900	\$12,356,054 \$11,814,558	- - -	-	- - -	- - -
Ancillary Retail Hotel Total Vertical Construction & Parking		\$7,107,643 - \$67,636,065	\$22,776,508	\$23,232,038	\$23,696,678	\$24,170,612	-	-	- -	-
Total Development Cost	\$40,840,507	\$67,636,065	\$22,776,508	\$23,232,038	\$23,696,678	\$24,170,612	-	-	-	-
Land Sale Income Medium Density Residential (For Sale) High Density Residential (Rental) Office Flex/R&D Advanced Manufacturing Ancillary Retail Hotel Total Sales Income	- - - - - -	\$7,863,605 \$9,175,242 - \$7,521,710 - \$13,862,903 \$38,423,460	\$1,382,514 \$1,613,115 - - - - \$2,995,629	\$1,488,445 \$1,819,415 - - - - \$3,307,860	\$8,108,518	- - - - - -	- - - - - -	- - - - - -	- - - - - -	
Net Operating Income High Density Residential (Rental) Office Flex/R&D Advanced Manufacturing Ancillary Retail Hotel Total Net Operating Income	- - - - - -	- - - - -	\$962,086 \$1,041,920 - \$696,573 - \$2,700,579	\$1,972,958 \$2,136,674 \$714,234 \$4,823,867	\$3,033,860 \$3,285,611 - \$732,196 - \$7,051,667	\$4,146,068 \$4,490,110 \$750,463 - \$9,386,641	\$5,310,887 \$5,751,585 \$769,042 - \$11,831,514	\$5,441,378 \$5,892,905 \$787,937	\$5,574,100 \$6,036,640 \$807,156 - \$12,417,897	\$5,709,093 \$6,182,835 \$826,704 \$12,718,631
Reversion Income in Year @ Cap	Rate [1]									
High Density Residential (Rental) na Office Year 25 Flex/R&D Year 25 Advanced Manufacturing na Ancillary Retail Year 25 Hotel na Total Reversion Income	na 6.75% - 7.50% - na - 7.25% - na -	- - - - -	- - - - -	- - - - -	- - - - -	- - - - -	- - - - -	- - - - -	- - - - -	- - - - -
PHASE 1 NET ANNUAL CASH FLOW	(\$40,840,507)	(\$29,212,605)	(\$17,080,300)	(\$15,100,311)	(\$8,536,494)	(\$14,783,972)	\$11,831,514	\$12,122,221	\$12,417,897	\$12,718,631

^[1] Reversion capitalization rates based on Integra Realty Resources, 2019 Viewpoint for Sacramento metro area.

Table A-10 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Phase 3 Annual Development Cash Flow

em		Year 24	Year 25	Year 26	Year 27
'hase					
nflation Factor 2.0%		1.608	1.641	1.673	1.70
Real Growth Factor 1.0%		1.270	1.282	1.295	1.308
Phase 3					
Master Developer Development Cost					
Land Development					
Site Prep and Infrastructure		-	-	-	
Vertical Construction & Parking					
Surface Parking		-	_	_	
Structured Parking		-	-	_	
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)	Land Sale	-	-	-	
High Density Residential (Rental)	Land Sale	-	-	-	
Office	Own & Operate	-	-	-	
Flex/R&D	Own & Operate	-	-	-	
Advanced Manufacturing	Land Sale	-	-	-	
Ancillary Retail	Own & Operate	-	-	-	
Hotel Total Vertical Construction & Parking	Land Sale	-	-	-	
Total Vertical Constitution a Farking		_	_	_	
Total Development Cost		-	•	-	
Land Sale Income					
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)		-	-	-	
High Density Residential (Rental)		-	-	-	
Office		-	-	-	
Flex/R&D		-	-	-	
Advanced Manufacturing		-	-	-	
Ancillary Retail		-	-	-	
Hotel Total Sales Income		-	-	-	
Total Sales income		-	-	-	
Net Operating Income					
High Density Residential (Rental)		-	-	-	
Office		\$5,846,398	-	-	
Flex/R&D		\$6,331,533	-	-	
Advanced Manufacturing		-	-	-	
Ancillary Retail Hotel		\$846,586	-	-	
Total Net Operating Income		\$13,024,517			
Reversion Income in Year	@ Cap Rate [1]				
High Density Residential (Rental) na	na na				
Office Year 2		_	\$86,613,300	_	
Flex/R&D Year 2		-	\$84,420,444	-	
Advanced Manufacturing na		_	-	-	
Ancillary Retail Year 2		-	\$11,677,051	_	
Hotel na		-	-	-	
Total Reversion Income		-	\$182,710,795	-	
HASE 4 NET ANNIIAI CASH ELOW		\$13,024,517	\$492.740.70 <i>F</i>		
HASE 1 NET ANNUAL CASH FLOW		a13.024.517	\$182,710,795	-	

^[1] Reversion capitalization rates based on Integra Realty Resources, 2019 Viewpoint for Sacramento metro area.

Table A-11 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Phase 4 Annual Development Cash Flow

Item		Year 20	Year 21	Year 22	Year 23	Year 24	Year 25	Year 26	Year 27	Year 28	Year 29
Phase		P4 Prep	Phase 4	Phase 4	Phase 4	Phase 4	Phase 4				
Inflation Factor 2.0% Real Growth Factor 1.0%		1.486 1.220	1.516 1.232	1.546 1.245	1.577 1.257	1.608 1.270	1.641 1.282	1.673 1.295	1.707 1.308	1.741 1.321	1.776 1.335
Phase 4											
Master Developer Development Cost Land Development Site Prep and Infrastructure		\$12,444,809	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vertical Construction & Parking											
Surface Parking		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Structured Parking		-	\$55,078,880	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Medium Density Residential (For Sale) High Density Residential (Rental)	Land Sale Land Sale	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Office	Own & Operate	-	\$18,854,350	\$19,231,437	\$19,616,066	\$20,008,388	\$20,408,555	-	-	-	-
Flex/R&D	Own & Operate	-	\$18,028,070	\$18,388,631	\$18,756,404	\$19,131,532	\$19,514,163	-	-	-	-
Advanced Manufacturing	Land Sale	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ancillary Retail	Own & Operate	-	\$20,010,902	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hotel Total Vertical Construction & Parking	Land Sale		\$111,972,202	\$37,620,069	\$38,372,470	\$39,139,919	\$39,922,718	-	-	-	-
Total Development Cost		\$12,444,809	\$111,972,202	\$37,620,069	\$38,372,470	\$39,139,919	\$39,922,718	-			
Land Cala Income											
Land Sale Income Medium Density Residential (For Sale)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
High Density Residential (Rental)		-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	-	_
Office		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Flex/R&D		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advanced Manufacturing		-	\$8,884,468	-	-	\$9,545,768	-	-	-	-	-
Ancillary Retail Hotel		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Sales Income			\$8,884,468	-	-	\$9,545,768	-	-	-	-	-
Net Operating Income											
High Density Residential (Rental)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Office		-	-	\$1,635,069	\$3,349,334	\$5,144,830	\$7,023,641	\$8,987,902	\$9,199,835	\$9,415,415	\$9,634,710
Flex/R&D		-	-	\$1,770,748	\$3,627,263	\$5,571,749	\$7,606,464	\$9,733,721	\$9,963,240	\$10,196,709	\$10,434,200
Advanced Manufacturing		-	-	- - 017 001	¢2.066.7E0	- \$2,116,466	eo 467 004	f2 240 454	- - 070 761	en 202 072	ro 270 100
Ancillary Retail Hotel		-	-	\$2,017,891	\$2,066,759	\$2,116,466	\$2,167,024	\$2,218,451	\$2,270,761	\$2,323,972	\$2,378,100
Total Net Operating Income				\$5,423,708	\$9,043,357	\$12,833,045	\$16,797,129	\$20,940,074	\$21,433,836	\$21,936,096	\$22,447,010
Reversion Income in Year	@ Cap Rate [1]										
High Density Residential (Rental) na	na										
Office Year 31	6.75%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Flex/R&D Year 31	7.50%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advanced Manufacturing na	na	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ancillary Retail Year 31 Hotel na	7.25%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hotel na Total Reversion Income	na	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
. Jan Novelsion moone		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
PHASE 1 NET ANNUAL CASH FLOW		(\$12,444,809)	(\$103,087,733)	(\$32,196,361)	(\$29,329,113)	(\$16,761,107)	(\$23,125,589)	\$20,940,074	\$21,433,836	\$21,936,096	\$22,447,010

^[1] Reversion capitalization rates based on Integra Realty Resources, 2019 Viewpoint for Sacramento metro area.

Table A-11 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Phase 4 Annual Development Cash Flow

tem		Year 30	Year 31	Year 32	Year 33
Phase					
nflation Factor 2.09	6	1.811	1.848	1.885	1.92
Real Growth Factor 1.09	6	1.348	1.361	1.375	1.38
Phase 4					
Master Developer Development Cost					
Land Development Site Prep and Infrastructure		-	-	-	
Vertical Construction & Parking					
Surface Parking		-	-	-	
Structured Parking		-	-	-	
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)	Land Sale	-	-	-	
High Density Residential (Rental)	Land Sale	-	-	-	
Office	Own & Operate	-	-	-	
Flex/R&D	Own & Operate Land Sale	-	-	-	
Advanced Manufacturing Ancillary Retail	Own & Operate	-	-	-	
Hotel	Land Sale		_		
Total Vertical Construction & Parking		-	-	-	
Total Development Cost		-	-	-	
Land Sale Income					
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)		-	-	-	
High Density Residential (Rental)		-	-	-	
Office		-	-	-	
Flex/R&D		-	-	-	
Advanced Manufacturing		-	-	-	
Ancillary Retail		-	-	-	
Hotel Total Sales Income		-	-	-	
i otal Sales income		•	-	-	
Net Operating Income					
High Density Residential (Rental) Office		\$9,857,787	-	-	
Flex/R&D		\$10,675,789	_		
Advanced Manufacturing		Ψ10,073,703			
Ancillary Retail		\$2,433,161	_	_	
Hotel		-	-	_	
Total Net Operating Income		\$22,966,736	-	-	
Reversion Income in Yea	ar @ Cap Rate [1]				
	na na				
Office Year		-	\$146,041,288	-	
Flex/R&D Year		-	\$142,343,847	-	
	na na	-	- 	-	
Ancillary Retail Year Hotel		-	\$33,560,841	-	
Total Reversion Income	na na		\$321,945,976	-	
HASE 1 NET ANNUAL CASH FLOW		\$22,966,736	\$321,945,976		

^[1] Reversion capitalization rates based on Integra Realty Resources, 2019 Viewpoint for Sacramento metro area.

Table A-12 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Project Buildout Estimate - Phase 1

ltem	Total Phase 1	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12	Year 13
Annual Buildout - Phase 1														
Residential Land Uses														
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)	87	-	-	30	10	10	28	8	_	-	-	-	-	
High Density Residential (Rental)	181	-	-	61	21	21	58	21	-	-	_	_	_	
Total Annual Residential Units	268	-	-	91	31	31	86	29	-	-	-	-	-	
Nonresidential Land Uses														
Office	155,000	-	-	31,000	31,000	31,000	31,000	31,000	_	_	_	_	_	
Flex/R&D	155,000	-	-	31,000	31,000	31,000	31,000	31,000	_	-	-	-	-	
Advanced Manufacturing	220,000	-	-	110,000	-	-	110,000	_	_	-	_	_	_	
Ancillary Retail	10,000	-	-	10,000	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hotel	0	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	
Total Annual Nonresidential Square Feet	540,000	-	-	182,000	62,000	62,000	172,000	62,000	-	-	-	-	-	
Cumulative Buildout - Phase 1														
Residential Land Uses														
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)		-	-	30	40	50	79	87	87	87	87	87	87	87
High Density Residential (Rental)		-	-	61	82	103	160	181	181	181	181	181	181	181
Cumulative Residential Units		-	-	91	122	153	239	268	268	268	268	268	268	268
Nonresidential Land Uses														
Office		-	-	31,000	62,000	93,000	124,000	155,000	155,000	155,000	155,000	155,000	155,000	155,000
Flex/R&D		-	-	31,000	62,000	93,000	124,000	155,000	155,000	155,000	155,000	155,000	155,000	155,000
Advanced Manufacturing		-	-	110,000	110,000	110,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000
Ancillary Retail		-	-	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
Hotel		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cumulative Nonresidential Square Feet		_	_	182,000	244,000	306,000	478,000	540,000	540,000	540.000	540.000	540,000	540,000	540,000

Table A-12 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Project Buildout Estimate - Phase 1

(Note: 10 years collapsed; no activity.)

lu p1

Item	Total Phase 1	Year 14	Year 15	Year 16	Year 17	Year 18	Year 19	Year 30
Annual Buildout - Phase 1								
Residential Land Uses								
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
High Density Residential (Rental)	181	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Total Annual Residential Units	268	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nonresidential Land Uses								
Office	155,000	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Flex/R&D	155,000	-	-	-	-	-	_	_
Advanced Manufacturing	220,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ancillary Retail	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hotel	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Annual Nonresidential Square Feet	540,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cumulative Buildout - Phase 1								
Residential Land Uses								
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)		87	87	87	87	87	87	87
High Density Residential (Rental)		181	181	181	181	181	181	181
Cumulative Residential Units		268	268	268	268	268	268	268
Nonresidential Land Uses								
Office		155,000	155,000	155,000	155,000	155,000	155,000	155,000
Flex/R&D		155,000	155,000	155,000	155,000	155,000	155,000	155,000
Advanced Manufacturing		220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000
Ancillary Retail		10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
Hotel		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cumulative Nonresidential Square Feet		540,000	540,000	540,000	540.000	540.000	540.000	540,000

Table A-13 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Project Buildout Estimate - Phase 2

Item	Total Phase 2	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12	Year 13	Year 14	Year 15	Year 16	Year 17	Year 18	Year 19	Year 20
Annual Buildout - Phase 2														
Residential Land Uses														
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)	115	-	37	15	15	33	15	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
High Density Residential (Rental)	229	-	74	31	31	68	25	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Total Annual Residential Units	345	-	111	46	46	101	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nonresidential Land Uses														
Office	230,000	-	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000	_	_	-	_	-	_	_
Flex/R&D	230,000	-	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Advanced Manufacturing	220,000	-	110,000	· -	-	110,000	· -	-	_	-	_	-	_	-
Ancillary Retail	20,000	-	20,000	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-
Hotel	0	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	-
Total Annual Nonresidential Square Feet	700,000	-	222,000	92,000	92,000	202,000	92,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cumulative Buildout - Phase 2														
Residential Land Uses														
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)		-	37	52	67	100	115	115	115	115	115	115	115	115
High Density Residential (Rental)		-	74	105	136	204	229	229	229	229	229	229	229	229
Cumulative Residential Units		-	111	157	203	304	345	345	345	345	345	345	345	345
Nonresidential Land Uses														
Office		-	46,000	92,000	138,000	184,000	230,000	230,000	230,000	230,000	230,000	230,000	230,000	230,000
Flex/R&D		-	46,000	92,000	138,000	184,000	230,000	230,000	230,000	230,000	230,000	230,000	230,000	230,000
Advanced Manufacturing		-	110,000	110,000	110,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000
Ancillary Retail		-	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000
Hotel		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cumulative Nonresidential Square Feet		_	222.000	314,000	406.000	608,000	700,000	700,000	700,000	700,000	700,000	700,000	700,000	700,000

Table A-13 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Project Buildout Estimate - Phase 2

(Note: 10 years collapsed; no activity.)

lu p2

ltem	Total Phase 2	Year 21	Year 22	Year 23	Year 24	Year 25	Year 26	Year 37
Annual Buildout - Phase 2								
Residential Land Uses								
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)	115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
High Density Residential (Rental)	229	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Total Annual Residential Units	345	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nonresidential Land Uses								
Office	230,000	_	-	-	-	_	_	_
Flex/R&D	230,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advanced Manufacturing	220,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ancillary Retail	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hotel	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Total Annual Nonresidential Square Feet	700,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cumulative Buildout - Phase 2								
Residential Land Uses								
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)		115	115	115	115	115	115	115
High Density Residential (Rental)		229	229	229	229	229	229	229
Cumulative Residential Units		345	345	345	345	345	345	345
Nonresidential Land Uses								
Office		230,000	230,000	230,000	230,000	230,000	230,000	230,000
Flex/R&D		230,000	230,000	230,000	230,000	230,000	230,000	230,000
Advanced Manufacturing		220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000
Ancillary Retail		20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000
Hotel		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cumulative Nonresidential Square Feet		700,000	700.000	700.000	700.000	700.000	700.000	700,000

Source: City of Davis, EPS.

Prepared by EPS 4/27/2020

Table A-14 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Project Buildout Estimate - Phase 3

Item	Total Phase 3	Year 14	Year 15	Year 16	Year 17	Year 18	Year 19	Year 20	Year 21	Year 22	Year 23	Year 24	Year 25	Year 26
Annual Buildout - Phase 3														
Residential Land Uses														
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)	78	-	58	10	10	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_
High Density Residential (Rental)	160	-	117	20	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Annual Residential Units	238	-	175	30	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nonresidential Land Uses														
Office	150,000	_	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	_	_	-	_	_	_	-
Flex/R&D	150,000	-	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advanced Manufacturing	220,000	-	110,000	· -	· -	110,000	· -	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ancillary Retail	20,000	-	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hotel	160,000	-	160,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_
Total Annual Nonresidential Square Feet	700,000	-	350,000	60,000	60,000	170,000	60,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cumulative Buildout - Phase 3														
Residential Land Uses														
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)		-	58	68	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78
High Density Residential (Rental)		-	117	137	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160
Cumulative Residential Units		-	175	205	238	238	238	238	238	238	238	238	238	238
Nonresidential Land Uses														
Office		-	30,000	60,000	90,000	120,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000
Flex/R&D		-	30,000	60,000	90,000	120,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000
Advanced Manufacturing		-	110,000	110,000	110,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000
Ancillary Retail		-	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000
Hotel		-	160,000	160,000	160,000	160,000	160,000	160,000	160,000	160,000	160,000	160,000	160,000	160,000
Cumulative Nonresidential Square Feet		_	350.000	410,000	470,000	640,000	700,000	700,000	700,000	700,000	700,000	700,000	700,000	700,000

Table A-14 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Project Buildout Estimate - Phase 3

(Note: 10 years collapsed; no activity.)

ltem	Total Phase 3	Year 27	Year 28	Year 29	Year 30	Year 31	Year 32	Year 43
Annual Buildout - Phase 3								
Residential Land Uses								
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)	78	_	_	_	_	_	_	
High Density Residential (Rental)	160	-	_	_	-	_	_	
Total Annual Residential Units	238	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Nonresidential Land Uses								
Office	150,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Flex/R&D	150,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Advanced Manufacturing	220,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ancillary Retail	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hotel	160,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total Annual Nonresidential Square Feet	700,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cumulative Buildout - Phase 3								
Residential Land Uses								
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)		78	78	78	78	78	78	7
High Density Residential (Rental)		160	160	160	160	160	160	16
Cumulative Residential Units		238	238	238	238	238	238	23
Nonresidential Land Uses								
Office		150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,00
Flex/R&D		150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,00
Advanced Manufacturing		220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,00
Ancillary Retail		20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,00
Hotel		160,000	160,000	160,000	160,000	160,000	160,000	160,00
Cumulative Nonresidential Square Feet		700,000	700,000	700,000	700,000	700,000	700,000	700,00

Table A-15 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Project Buildout Estimate - Phase 4

Item	Total Phase 4	Year 20	Year 21	Year 22	Year 23	Year 24	Year 25	Year 26	Year 27	Year 28	Year 29	Year 30	Year 31	Year 32
Annual Buildout - Phase 4														
Residential Land Uses														
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	
High Density Residential (Rental)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	
Total Annual Residential Units	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Nonresidential Land Uses														
Office	220,000	_	44,000	44,000	44,000	44,000	44,000	_	_	_	_	-	_	
Flex/R&D	220,000	_	44,000	44,000	44,000	44,000	44,000	_	_	_	_	-	_	
Advanced Manufacturing	224,000	-	112,000	-	· -	112,000	· -	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Ancillary Retail	50,000	-	50,000	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Hotel	-	-	· -	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	
Total Annual Nonresidential Square Feet	714,000	-	250,000	88,000	88,000	200,000	88,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cumulative Buildout - Phase 4														
Residential Land Uses														
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
High Density Residential (Rental)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cumulative Residential Units		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Nonresidential Land Uses														
Office		-	44,000	88,000	132,000	176,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000
Flex/R&D		-	44,000	88,000	132,000	176,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000
Advanced Manufacturing		-	112,000	112,000	112,000	224,000	224,000	224,000	224,000	224,000	224,000	224,000	224,000	224,000
Ancillary Retail		-	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000
Hotel		-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	
Cumulative Nonresidential Square Feet		_	250.000	338,000	426,000	626,000	714,000	714,000	714,000	714,000	714,000	714,000	714,000	714,000

Table A-15 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis Project Buildout Estimate - Phase 4

(Note: 10 years collapsed; no activity.)

ltem	Total Phase 4	Year 33	Year 34	Year 35	Year 36	Year 37	Year 38	Year 49
Annual Buildout - Phase 4								
Residential Land Uses								
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	
High Density Residential (Rental)	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	
Total Annual Residential Units	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Nonresidential Land Uses								
Office	220,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Flex/R&D	220,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Advanced Manufacturing	224,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ancillary Retail	50,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hotel	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total Annual Nonresidential Square Feet	714,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cumulative Buildout - Phase 4								
Residential Land Uses								
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)		-	-	-	-	-	-	
High Density Residential (Rental)		-	_	-	-	-	-	
Cumulative Residential Units		-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ionresidential Land Uses								
Office		220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,00
Flex/R&D		220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	220,00
Advanced Manufacturing		224,000	224,000	224,000	224,000	224,000	224,000	224,00
Ancillary Retail		50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,00
Hotel		-	=	-	-	-	-	
Cumulative Nonresidential Square Feet		714,000	714,000	714,000	714,000	714,000	714,000	714,00

Table A-16 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis CFD Revenue - Phase 1 (2020\$)

Assumption	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12	Year 13	Year 14	Year 15	Year 16	Year 17	Year 18
2.0%																		
Per Unit [2]																		
			\$79.528	\$108.753	\$139.114	\$221,655	\$249.265	\$254.250	\$259.335	\$264.522	\$269.812	\$275.208	\$280.713	\$286.327	\$292,053	\$297.894	\$303.852	\$309.929
			\$64,759	\$88,556	\$113.279	\$180,491	\$207.980	\$212,139	\$216,382	\$220,710	\$225,124	\$229,626	\$234,219	\$238,903	\$243.681	\$248,555	\$253,526	\$258.597
			\$144,287	\$197,308	\$252,393	\$402,146	\$457,244	\$466,389	\$475,717	\$485,231				\$525,230	\$535,735	\$546,449	\$557,378	\$568,526
Per Acre [3]																		
			\$3.814	\$7.781	\$11.905	\$16,191	\$20,643	\$21.056	\$21,477	\$21,907	\$22,345	\$22,792	\$23,248	\$23,713	\$24.187	\$24.671	\$25,164	\$25,667
				\$12,036	\$18,416	\$25.045	\$31,933	\$32.571	\$33,223	\$33,887	\$34,565	\$35,256	\$35,961	\$36,681	\$37,414	\$38,162	\$38,926	
			\$37,767	\$38,522	\$39,292	\$80,156	\$81,759	\$83,395	\$85,062	\$86,764	\$88,499		\$92,074	\$93,916	\$95,794	\$97,710		\$101.658
\$5,000			\$1,230	\$1.255	\$1.280	\$1.306	\$1.332	\$1.358	\$1.386	\$1,413	\$1,442	\$1,470	\$1.500	\$1.530	\$1.560	\$1.592	\$1.623	\$1,656
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		-	\$48,711	\$59,594	\$70,893	\$122,698	\$135,667	\$138,381	\$141,148	\$143,971	\$146,851	\$149,788	\$152,783	\$155,839	\$158,956	\$162,135	\$165,378	\$168,685
			\$192,998	\$256,903	\$323,286	\$524,844	\$592,912	\$604,770	\$616,865	\$629,203	\$641,787	\$654,622	\$667,715	\$681,069	\$694,691	\$708,584	\$722,756	\$737,211
\$7,275,540 (\$727,554)																		
	2.0% Per Unit [2] \$2,500 \$1,000 Per Acre [3] \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000	2.0% Per Unit [2] \$2,500 \$1,000 \$1,000 Per Acre [3] \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000	2.0% Per Unit [2] \$2,500 \$1,000 Per Acre [3] \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000	2.0% Per Unit [2] \$2,500 - \$79,528 \$1,000 - \$64,759 - \$144,287 Per Acre [3] \$5,000 - \$3,814 \$5,000 - \$5,900 \$5,000 - \$37,767 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$5,000 - \$1,230	2.0% Per Unit [2] \$2,500 - \$79,528 \$108,753 \$1,000 - \$64,759 \$88,556 - \$144,287 \$197,308 Per Acre [3] \$5,000 - \$3,814 \$7,781 \$5,000 - \$5,900 \$12,036 \$5,000 - \$37,767 \$38,522 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$1,255 \$5,000 - \$48,711 \$59,594 - \$192,998 \$256,903	2.0% Per Unit [2] \$2,500	2.0% Per Unit [2] \$2,500	2.0% Per Unit [2] \$2,500 - \$79,528 \$108,753 \$139,114 \$221,655 \$249,265 \$1,000 - \$64,759 \$88,556 \$113,279 \$180,491 \$207,980 - \$144,287 \$197,308 \$252,393 \$402,146 \$457,244 Per Acre [3] \$5,000 - \$3,814 \$7,781 \$11,905 \$16,191 \$20,643 \$5,000 - \$5,900 \$12,036 \$18,416 \$25,045 \$31,933 \$5,000 - \$37,767 \$38,522 \$39,292 \$80,156 \$81,759 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$1,255 \$1,280 \$1,306 \$1,332 \$5,000 - \$48,711 \$59,594 \$70,893 \$122,698 \$135,667 - \$192,998 \$256,903 \$323,286 \$524,844 \$592,912	2.0% Per Unit [2] \$2,500	2.0% Per Unit [2] \$2,500 - \$79,528 \$108,753 \$139,114 \$221,655 \$249,265 \$254,250 \$259,335 \$1,000 - \$64,759 \$88,556 \$113,279 \$180,491 \$207,980 \$212,139 \$216,382 \$144,287 \$197,308 \$252,393 \$402,146 \$457,244 \$466,389 \$475,717 \$10,000 - \$3,814 \$7,781 \$11,905 \$16,191 \$20,643 \$21,056 \$21,477 \$5,000 - \$5,900 \$12,036 \$18,416 \$25,045 \$31,933 \$32,571 \$33,223 \$5,000 - \$37,767 \$38,522 \$39,292 \$80,156 \$81,759 \$83,395 \$85,062 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$1,255 \$1,280 \$1,306 \$13,322 \$1,358 \$1,358 \$1,356 \$5,000 - \$48,711 \$59,594 \$70,893 \$122,698 \$135,667 \$138,381 \$141,148 \$1,275,540 \$1,275,540 \$1,275,540 \$1,275,540	2.0% Per Unit [2] \$2,500 - \$79,528 \$108,753 \$139,114 \$221,655 \$249,265 \$254,250 \$259,335 \$264,522 \$1,000 - \$64,759 \$88,556 \$113,279 \$180,491 \$207,980 \$212,139 \$216,382 \$220,710 \$144,287 \$197,308 \$252,393 \$402,146 \$457,244 \$466,389 \$475,717 \$485,231 Per Acre [3] \$5,000 - \$3,814 \$7,781 \$11,905 \$16,191 \$20,643 \$21,056 \$21,477 \$21,907 \$5,000 \$- \$5,900 \$12,036 \$18,416 \$25,045 \$31,933 \$32,571 \$33,223 \$33,887 \$5,000 \$- \$37,767 \$38,522 \$39,292 \$80,156 \$81,759 \$83,395 \$85,062 \$86,764 \$5,000 \$- \$1,230 \$1,255 \$1,280 \$1,306 \$1,332 \$1,358 \$1,386 \$1,413 \$5,000 \$- \$48,711 \$59,594 \$70,893 \$122,698 \$135,667 \$138,381 \$141,148 \$143,971 \$- \$192,998 \$256,903 \$323,286 \$524,844 \$592,912 \$604,770 \$616,865 \$629,203	2.0% Per Unit [2] \$2,500	2.0% Per Unit [2] \$2,500 - \$79,528 \$108,753 \$139,114 \$221,655 \$249,265 \$254,250 \$259,335 \$264,522 \$269,812 \$275,208 \$1,000 - \$64,759 \$88,556 \$113,279 \$180,491 \$207,980 \$212,139 \$216,382 \$220,710 \$225,124 \$229,626 \$144,287 \$197,308 \$252,393 \$402,146 \$457,244 \$466,389 \$475,717 \$485,231 \$494,936 \$504,835 Per Acre [3] \$5,000 - \$3,814 \$7,781 \$11,905 \$16,191 \$20,643 \$21,056 \$21,477 \$21,907 \$22,345 \$22,792 \$5,000 - \$5,900 \$12,036 \$18,416 \$25,045 \$31,933 \$32,571 \$33,223 \$33,887 \$34,565 \$35,256 \$5,000 - \$37,767 \$38,522 \$39,292 \$80,156 \$81,759 \$83,395 \$85,062 \$86,764 \$88,499 \$90,269 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$1,255 \$1,280 \$1,306 \$1,332 \$1,358 \$1,348 \$1,413 \$1,442 \$1,470 \$5,000 - \$48,711 \$59,594 \$70,893 \$122,698 \$135,667 \$138,381 \$141,148 \$143,971 \$146,851 \$149,788 \$7,275,540 \$727,554)	2.0% Per Unit [2] \$2,500 - \$79,528 \$108,753 \$139,114 \$221,655 \$249,265 \$254,250 \$259,335 \$264,522 \$269,812 \$275,208 \$280,713 \$1,000 - \$64,759 \$88,556 \$113,279 \$180,491 \$207,980 \$212,139 \$216,382 \$220,710 \$225,124 \$229,626 \$234,219 \$144,287 \$197,308 \$252,393 \$402,146 \$457,244 \$466,389 \$475,717 \$485,231 \$494,936 \$504,835 \$514,932 Per Acre [3] \$5,000 - \$3,814 \$7,781 \$11,905 \$16,191 \$20,643 \$21,056 \$21,477 \$21,907 \$22,345 \$22,792 \$23,248 \$55,000 - \$5,900 \$12,036 \$18,416 \$25,045 \$31,933 \$32,571 \$33,223 \$33,887 \$34,565 \$35,256 \$35,961 \$5,000 - \$37,767 \$38,522 \$39,292 \$80,156 \$81,759 \$83,395 \$85,062 \$86,764 \$88,499 \$90,269 \$92,074 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$1,255 \$1,280 \$1,306 \$13,306 \$1,332 \$1,358 \$1,386 \$1,413 \$1,442 \$1,470 \$1,500 \$5,000 - \$48,711 \$59,594 \$70,893 \$122,698 \$135,667 \$138,381 \$141,148 \$143,971 \$146,851 \$149,788 \$152,783 \$7,275,540 \$772,554)	2.0% Per Unit [2] \$2,500 - \$79,528 \$108,753 \$139,114 \$221,655 \$249,265 \$254,250 \$259,335 \$264,522 \$269,812 \$275,208 \$280,713 \$286,327 \$1,000 - \$64,759 \$88,556 \$113,279 \$180,491 \$207,980 \$212,139 \$216,382 \$220,710 \$225,124 \$229,626 \$234,219 \$238,903 - \$144,287 \$197,308 \$252,393 \$402,146 \$457,244 \$466,389 \$475,717 \$485,231 \$494,936 \$504,835 \$514,932 \$525,230 Per Acre [3] \$5,000 - \$3,814 \$7,781 \$11,905 \$16,191 \$20,643 \$21,056 \$21,477 \$21,907 \$22,345 \$22,792 \$23,248 \$23,713 \$5,000 - \$5,900 \$12,036 \$18,416 \$25,045 \$31,933 \$32,571 \$33,223 \$33,887 \$34,565 \$35,256 \$35,961 \$36,681 \$5,000 - \$37,767 \$38,522 \$39,292 \$80,156 \$81,759 \$83,395 \$85,062 \$86,764 \$88,499 \$90,269 \$92,074 \$93,916 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$1,255 \$1,280 \$1,306 \$1,332 \$1,358 \$1,386 \$1,413 \$1,442 \$1,470 \$1,500 \$1,530 \$1,550 \$5,000 - \$48,711 \$59,594 \$70,893 \$122,698 \$135,667 \$138,381 \$141,148 \$143,971 \$146,851 \$149,788 \$152,783 \$155,839 \$1,275,540 \$172,554)	2.0% Per Unit [2] \$2,500 - \$79,528 \$108,753 \$139,114 \$221,655 \$249,265 \$254,250 \$259,335 \$264,522 \$269,812 \$275,208 \$280,713 \$286,327 \$292,053 \$1,000 - \$64,759 \$88,556 \$113,279 \$180,491 \$207,980 \$212,139 \$216,382 \$220,710 \$225,124 \$229,626 \$234,219 \$238,903 \$243,681 \$324,810 \$35,000 - \$144,287 \$197,308 \$252,393 \$402,146 \$457,244 \$466,389 \$475,717 \$485,231 \$494,936 \$504,835 \$514,932 \$525,230 \$535,735 Per Acre [3] \$5,000 - \$3,814 \$7,781 \$11,905 \$16,191 \$20,643 \$21,056 \$21,477 \$21,907 \$22,345 \$22,792 \$23,248 \$23,713 \$24,187 \$5,000 - \$5,900 \$12,036 \$18,416 \$25,045 \$31,933 \$32,571 \$33,223 \$33,887 \$34,565 \$35,256 \$35,961 \$36,681 \$37,414 \$5,000 - \$37,767 \$38,522 \$39,292 \$80,156 \$81,759 \$83,395 \$85,062 \$86,764 \$88,499 \$90,269 \$92,074 \$93,916 \$95,794 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$1,255 \$1,280 \$1,306 \$1,332 \$1,358 \$1,386 \$1,413 \$1,442 \$1,470 \$1,500 \$1,530 \$1,580 \$5,000 - \$48,711 \$59,594 \$70,893 \$122,698 \$135,667 \$138,381 \$141,148 \$143,971 \$146,851 \$149,788 \$152,783 \$155,839 \$158,956 - \$48,711 \$59,594 \$70,893 \$122,698 \$135,667 \$138,381 \$141,148 \$143,971 \$146,851 \$149,788 \$152,783 \$155,839 \$158,956 \$727,5540 \$727,5540 \$37,275,5540	2.0% Per Unit [2] \$2,500	2.0% Per Unit [2] \$2,500 - \$79,528 \$108,753 \$139,114 \$221,655 \$249,265 \$254,250 \$259,335 \$264,522 \$269,812 \$275,208 \$280,713 \$286,327 \$292,053 \$297,894 \$303,852 \$1,000 - \$64,759 \$88,556 \$113,279 \$180,491 \$207,980 \$212,139 \$216,382 \$220,710 \$225,124 \$229,626 \$234,219 \$238,903 \$243,681 \$248,555 \$253,526 \$5144,287 \$197,308 \$252,393 \$402,146 \$457,244 \$466,389 \$475,717 \$485,231 \$494,936 \$504,835 \$514,932 \$525,230 \$535,735 \$546,449 \$557,378 \$25,000 - \$3,814 \$7,781 \$11,905 \$16,191 \$20,643 \$21,056 \$21,477 \$21,907 \$22,345 \$22,792 \$23,248 \$23,713 \$24,187 \$24,671 \$25,164 \$5,000 - \$5,900 \$12,036 \$18,416 \$25,045 \$31,933 \$32,571 \$33,223 \$33,887 \$34,565 \$35,266 \$35,961 \$36,681 \$37,414 \$38,162 \$38,926 \$5,000 - \$37,767 \$38,522 \$39,292 \$80,156 \$81,769 \$83,395 \$85,062 \$86,764 \$884,99 \$90,207 \$93,916 \$95,794 \$99,710 \$99,640 \$5,000 - \$1,230 \$1,255 \$1,280 \$1,306 \$13,306 \$1,332 \$1,358 \$1,381 \$141,148 \$143,971 \$146,851 \$149,788 \$152,783 \$155,839 \$158,956 \$162,135 \$165,378 \$7,275,540 \$37,767 \$99,898 \$256,903 \$323,286 \$524,844 \$592,912 \$604,770 \$616,865 \$629,203 \$641,787 \$654,622 \$667,715 \$681,069 \$694,691 \$708,584 \$722,756

- [1] Per unit and per acre special tax levy assumptions are in 2020\$; the escalation rate is applied to the annual special tax revenue.
- [2] Residential per unit special tax levy is equates to a [2] Residential per unit special tax levy is equates to a conservative assumption of 0.4% of estimated average unit valuation; 0.5% is generally considered the upper limit.

 [3] Per acre special tax levy is at the lower end of the typical
- range of \$5,000-6,000 per acre.

Table A-16 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis CFD Revenue - Phase 1 (2020\$)

Assumption	Year 19	Year 20	Year 21	Year 22	Year 23	Year 24	Year 25	Year 26	Year 27	Year 28	Year 29	Year 30
2.0%												
Per I Init [2]												
	\$316 128	\$322 451	\$328 900	\$335 478	\$342 187	\$349 031	\$356 012	\$363 132	\$370 394	\$377 802	\$385 358	\$393.065
												\$327.963
* 1,000											\$706,891	\$721,028
Per Acre [3]												
\$5.000	\$26.181	\$26,704	\$27,238	\$27,783	\$28,339	\$28,906	\$29,484	\$30.073	\$30.675	\$31,288	\$31,914	\$32,552
\$5,000	\$40,498	\$41,308	\$42,134	\$42,977	\$43,837	\$44,713	\$45,608	\$46,520	\$47,450	\$48,399	\$49,367	\$50,355
\$5,000	\$103,691	\$105,764	\$107,880	\$110,037	\$112,238	\$114,483	\$116,773	\$119,108	\$121,490	\$123,920	\$126,398	\$128,926
\$5,000	\$1,689	\$1,723	\$1,757	\$1,792	\$1,828	\$1,865	\$1,902	\$1,940	\$1,979	\$2,019	\$2,059	\$2,100
\$5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	\$172,059	\$175,500	\$179,010	\$182,590	\$186,242	\$189,967	\$193,766	\$197,642	\$201,594	\$205,626	\$209,739	\$213,934
	\$751,955	\$766,994	\$782,334	\$797,981	\$813,941	\$830,219	\$846,824	\$863,760	\$881,036	\$898,656	\$916,629	\$934,962
\$7,275,540												
(\$727,554) \$6,547,986												
	Per Unit [2] \$2,500 \$1,000 Per Acre [3] \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$7,275,540 (\$727,554)	Per Unit [2] \$2,500 \$316,128 \$1,000 \$263,769 \$579,897 Per Acre [3] \$5,000 \$40,498 \$5,000 \$103,691 \$5,000 \$1,689 \$5,000 \$751,955 \$7,275,540 (\$727,554)	\$2,500 \$316,128 \$322,451 \$1,000 \$263,769 \$269,044 \$579,897 \$591,494	Per Unit [2] \$2,500 \$316,128 \$322,451 \$328,900 \$1,000 \$263,769 \$269,044 \$274,425 \$579,897 \$591,494 \$603,324 \$85,000 \$26,181 \$26,704 \$27,238 \$5,000 \$40,498 \$41,308 \$42,134 \$5,000 \$103,691 \$105,764 \$107,880 \$5,000 \$1,689 \$1,723 \$1,757 \$172,059 \$175,500 \$179,010 \$751,955 \$766,994 \$782,334 \$7,275,540 \$77,275,540 \$7,275,540	Per Unit [2] \$2,500 \$316,128 \$322,451 \$328,900 \$335,478 \$1,000 \$263,769 \$269,044 \$274,425 \$279,913 \$579,897 \$591,494 \$603,324 \$615,391	\$2,500	\$2,500	Per Unit [2] \$2,500 \$316,128 \$322,451 \$328,900 \$335,478 \$342,187 \$349,031 \$356,012 \$1,000 \$263,769 \$269,044 \$274,425 \$279,913 \$285,512 \$291,222 \$297,046 \$579,897 \$591,494 \$603,324 \$615,391 \$627,699 \$640,253 \$653,058 \$653,058 \$5,000 \$26,181 \$26,704 \$27,238 \$27,783 \$28,339 \$28,906 \$29,484 \$5,000 \$40,498 \$41,308 \$42,134 \$42,977 \$43,837 \$44,713 \$45,608 \$5,000 \$103,691 \$105,764 \$107,880 \$110,037 \$112,238 \$114,483 \$116,773 \$5,000 \$1,689 \$1,723 \$1,757 \$1,792 \$1,828 \$1,865 \$1,902 \$172,059 \$175,500 \$179,010 \$182,590 \$186,242 \$189,967 \$193,766 \$751,955 \$766,994 \$782,334 \$797,981 \$813,941 \$830,219 \$846,824 \$7,275,540	\$2,500	\$2,500 \$316,128 \$322,451 \$328,900 \$335,478 \$342,187 \$349,031 \$356,012 \$363,132 \$370,394 \$1,000 \$263,769 \$269,044 \$274,425 \$279,913 \$285,512 \$291,222 \$297,046 \$302,987 \$309,047 \$579,897 \$591,494 \$603,324 \$615,391 \$627,699 \$640,253 \$653,058 \$666,119 \$679,441 \$679,441 \$85,000 \$26,181 \$26,704 \$27,238 \$27,783 \$28,339 \$28,906 \$29,484 \$30,073 \$30,675 \$5,000 \$40,498 \$41,308 \$42,134 \$42,977 \$43,837 \$44,713 \$45,608 \$46,520 \$47,450 \$5,000 \$103,691 \$105,764 \$107,880 \$110,037 \$112,238 \$114,483 \$116,773 \$119,108 \$121,490 \$5,000 \$1,689 \$1,723 \$1,757 \$1,792 \$1,828 \$1,865 \$1,902 \$1,940 \$1,979 \$172,059 \$175,500 \$179,010 \$182,590 \$186,242 \$189,967 \$193,766 \$197,642 \$201,594 \$7,275,540 \$7,275,540 \$7,275,540 \$1,275,541 \$1,000	\$2,500 \$316,128 \$322,451 \$328,900 \$335,478 \$342,187 \$349,031 \$356,012 \$363,132 \$370,394 \$377,802 \$1,000 \$263,769 \$269,044 \$274,425 \$279,913 \$285,512 \$291,222 \$297,046 \$302,987 \$309,047 \$315,228 \$579,897 \$591,494 \$603,324 \$615,391 \$627,699 \$640,253 \$653,058 \$666,119 \$679,441 \$693,030 \$679,441 \$693,030 \$679,441 \$6	\$2,500 \$316,128 \$322,451 \$328,900 \$335,478 \$342,187 \$349,031 \$356,012 \$363,132 \$370,394 \$377,802 \$365,588 \$1,000 \$263,769 \$269,044 \$274,425 \$279,913 \$285,512 \$291,222 \$297,046 \$302,987 \$309,047 \$315,228 \$321,532 \$579,897 \$591,494 \$603,324 \$615,391 \$627,699 \$640,253 \$656,3058 \$666,119 \$679,441 \$693,030 \$706,891 \$85,000 \$26,181 \$26,704 \$27,238 \$27,783 \$28,339 \$28,839 \$28,906 \$29,484 \$30,073 \$30,675 \$31,288 \$31,914 \$5,000 \$40,498 \$41,308 \$42,134 \$42,977 \$43,837 \$44,713 \$45,608 \$46,520 \$47,450 \$48,399 \$49,367 \$5,000 \$103,691 \$105,764 \$107,880 \$110,037 \$112,238 \$114,483 \$116,773 \$119,108 \$121,490 \$123,920 \$126,398 \$5,000 \$1,689 \$1,723 \$1,757 \$1,792 \$1,828 \$1,865 \$1,902 \$1,940 \$1,979 \$2,019 \$2,059 \$172,059 \$175,500 \$179,010 \$182,590 \$186,242 \$189,967 \$193,766 \$197,642 \$201,594 \$205,626 \$209,739 \$77,275,540 \$37,

- [1] Per unit and per acre special tax levy assumptions are in 2020\$; the escalation rate is applied to the annual special tax revenue.
- [2] Residential per unit special tax levy is equates to a (2) residential per unit special tax levy is equates to a conservative assumption of 0.4% of estimated average unit valuation; 0.5% is generally considered the upper limit.
 [3] Per acre special tax levy is at the lower end of the typical
- range of \$5,000-6,000 per acre.

Table A-17 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis CFD Revenue - Phase 2 (2020\$)

tem	Assumption	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12	Year 13	Year 14	Year 15	Year 16	Year 17	Year 18	Year 19	Year 20	Year 21	Year 22	Year 23	Year 24	Year 25
Project Assessed Value - Phase 2																			
Special Tax Levy Escalation [1]	2.0%																		
Residential Land Uses	Per Unit [2]																		
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)	\$2,500	- \$	109,246	\$157,609	\$207,863	\$317,508	\$372,863	\$380,320	\$387,927	\$395,685	\$403,599	\$411,671	\$419,904	\$428,302	\$436,869	\$445,606	\$454,518	\$463,608	\$472,881
High Density Residential (Rental)	\$1,000	_	\$88,957	\$128,339	\$169,260	\$258,542	\$296,677	\$302,611	\$308,663	\$314,836	\$321,133	\$327,556	\$334,107	\$340,789	\$347,605	\$354,557	\$361,648	\$368,881	\$376,259
Total Annual Residential CFD Revenue		- \$	198,203	\$285,947	\$377,123	\$576,050	\$669,540	\$682,931	\$696,590	\$710,522	\$724,732	\$739,227	\$754,011	\$769,091	\$784,473	\$800,163	\$816,166	\$832,489	\$849,139
Nonresidential Land Uses	Per Acre [3]																		
Office	\$5,000	_	\$6,374	\$13,003	\$19,894	\$27,056	\$34,497	\$35,187	\$35,890	\$36,608	\$37,340	\$38,087	\$38,849	\$39,626	\$40,418	\$41,227	\$42,051	\$42,892	\$43,750
Flex/R&D	\$5,000	_	\$9,860	\$20,114	\$30,774	\$41,853	\$53,362	\$54,429	\$55,518	\$56,628	\$57,761	\$58,916	\$60,094	\$61,296	\$62,522	\$63,773	\$65,048	\$66,349	\$67,676
Advanced Manufacturing	\$5,000	-	\$42,531	\$43,382	\$44,249	\$90,269	\$92,074	\$93,916	\$95,794	\$97,710	\$99,664	\$101,658	\$103,691	\$105,764	\$107,880	\$110,037	\$112,238	\$114,483	\$116,773
Ancillary Retail	\$5,000	_	\$2,771	\$2,827	\$2,883	\$2,941	\$3,000	\$3,060	\$3,121	\$3,183	\$3,247	\$3,312	\$3,378	\$3,446	\$3,515	\$3,585	\$3,657	\$3,730	\$3,804
Hotel	\$5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total Annual Nonresidential CFD Revenue		-	\$61,536	\$79,325	\$97,801	\$162,119	\$182,933	\$186,591	\$190,323	\$194,130	\$198,012	\$201,973	\$206,012	\$210,132	\$214,335	\$218,622	\$222,994	\$227,454	\$232,003
otal Annual CFD Revenue		- \$	\$259,739	\$365,272	\$474,924	\$738,169	\$852,473	\$869,523	\$886,913	\$904,651	\$922,744	\$941,199	\$960,023	\$979,224	\$998,808	\$1,018,784	\$1,039,160	\$1,059,943	\$1,081,142
NPV of CFD Revenue @ Discount Rate 6.0	% \$11.303.439																		
Less Issuance and Maintenance Costs 10.0	% (\$1,130,344)																		
Remaining ROM Bonding Capacity	\$10,173,095																		

- [1] Per unit and per acre special tax levy assumptions are in 2020\$; the escalation rate is applied to the annual special tax revenue.
- special tax revenue.
 [2] Residential per unit special tax levy is equates to a conservative assumption of 0.4% of estimated average unit valuation; 0.5% is generally considered the upper limit.
 [3] Per acre special tax levy is at the lower end of the typical range of \$5,000-6,000 per acre.

Table A-17 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis CFD Revenue - Phase 2 (2020\$)

ltem	Assumption	Year 26	Year 27	Year 28	Year 29	Year 30	Year 31	Year 32	Year 33	Year 34	Year 35	Year 36	Year 37
Project Assessed Value - Phase 2	0.00												
Special Tax Levy Escalation [1]	2.0%												
Residential Land Uses	Per Unit [2]												
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)	\$2,500	\$482,338	\$491,985	\$501,825	\$511,861	\$522,098	\$532,540	\$543,191	\$554,055	\$565,136	\$576,439	\$587,968	\$599,72
High Density Residential (Rental)	\$1,000	\$383,784	\$391,459	\$399,289	\$407,274	\$415,420	\$423,728	\$432,203	\$440,847	\$449,664	\$458,657	\$467,830	\$477,187
Total Annual Residential CFD Revenue		\$866,122	\$883,444	\$901,113	\$919,135	\$937,518	\$956,268	\$975,394	\$994,902	\$1,014,800	\$1,035,096	\$1,055,798	\$1,076,914
Nonresidential Land Uses	Per Acre [3]												
Office	\$5,000	\$44,625	\$45,518	\$46,428	\$47,357	\$48,304	\$49,270	\$50,255	\$51,260	\$52,285	\$53,331	\$54,398	\$55,486
Flex/R&D	\$5,000	\$69,029	\$70,410	\$71,818	\$73,255	\$74,720	\$76,214	\$77,738	\$79,293	\$80,879	\$82,497	\$84,147	\$85,829
Advanced Manufacturing	\$5,000	\$119,108	\$121,490	\$123,920	\$126,398	\$128,926	\$131,505	\$134,135	\$136,818	\$139,554	\$142,345	\$145,192	\$148,096
Ancillary Retail	\$5,000	\$3,880	\$3,958	\$4,037	\$4,118	\$4,200	\$4,284	\$4,370	\$4,457	\$4,547	\$4,637	\$4,730	\$4,825
Hotel	\$5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total Annual Nonresidential CFD Revenue		\$236,643	\$241,376	\$246,203	\$251,127	\$256,150	\$261,273	\$266,498	\$271,828	\$277,265	\$282,810	\$288,466	\$294,236
Total Annual CFD Revenue		\$1,102,765	\$1,124,820	\$1,147,317	\$1,170,263	\$1,193,668	\$1,217,541	\$1,241,892	\$1,266,730	\$1,292,065	\$1,317,906	\$1,344,264	\$1,371,149
NPV of CFD Revenue @ Discount Rate 6.09	, ,,												
Less Issuance and Maintenance Costs 10.09	% (\$1,130,344)												

- [1] Per unit and per acre special tax levy assumptions are in 2020\$; the escalation rate is applied to the annual
- special tax revenue.
 [2] Residential per unit special tax levy is equates to a conservative assumption of 0.4% of estimated average unit valuation; 0.5% is generally considered the upper limit.
 [3] Per acre special tax levy is at the lower end of the typical range of \$5,000-6,000 per acre.

Table A-18 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis CFD Revenue - Phase 3 (2020\$)

Item	Assumption	Year 14	Year 15	Year 16	Year 17	Year 18	Year 19	Year 20	Year 21	Year 22	Year 23	Year 24	Year 25	Year 26	Year 27	Year 28	Year 29	Year 30	Year 31	Year 32
Project Assessed Value - Phase 3 Special Tax Levy Escalation [1]	2.0%																			
Residential Land Uses Medium Density Residential (For Sale) High Density Residential (Rental) Total Annual Residential CFD Revenue	<u>Per Unit [2]</u> \$2,500 \$1,000	-	\$193,963 \$157,942 \$351,905	\$188,718	\$223,479	+	\$232,507	\$237,157	\$295,198 \$241,900 \$537,099	\$246,738	\$251,673	\$256,707	\$319,532 \$261,841 \$581,373	\$267,078	\$272,419	\$339,090 \$277,867 \$616,958	\$283,425	\$352,789 \$289,093 \$641,883	\$359,845 \$294,875 \$654,720	\$367,042 \$300,773 \$667,815
Nonresidential Land Uses Office Flex/R&D Advanced Manufacturing Ancillary Retail Hotel Total Annual Nonresidential CFD Revenue	Per Acre [3] \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000	- - - - -	\$7,241 \$47,897	\$9,550 \$14,773 \$48,855 \$3,183 \$50,424 \$126,785	\$14,611 \$22,602 \$49,832 \$3,247 \$51,432 \$141,725	\$101,658 \$3,312 \$52,461	\$25,336 \$39,192 \$103,691 \$3,378 \$53,510 \$225,107	\$25,843 \$39,976 \$105,764 \$3,446 \$54,580 \$229,609	\$26,360 \$40,775 \$107,880 \$3,515 \$55,672 \$234,201	\$110,037 \$3,585	+,	\$43,271 \$114,483 \$3,730 \$59,079	\$28,533 \$44,136 \$116,773 \$3,804 \$60,261 \$253,507	\$29,103 \$45,019 \$119,108 \$3,880 \$61,466 \$258,577	\$121,490 \$3,958	\$30,279 \$46,838 \$123,920 \$4,037 \$63,949 \$269,024	\$30,885 \$47,775 \$126,398 \$4,118 \$65,228 \$274,404	\$31,502 \$48,730 \$128,926 \$4,200 \$66,533 \$279,892	\$32,132 \$49,705 \$131,505 \$4,284 \$67,864 \$285,490	\$32,775 \$50,699 \$134,135 \$4,370 \$69,221 \$291,200
Total Annual CFD Revenue		-	\$464,281	\$547,261	\$637,921	\$714,161	\$741,349	\$756,176	\$771,300	\$786,726	\$802,461	\$818,510	\$834,880	\$851,578	\$868,609	\$885,981	\$903,701	\$921,775	\$940,210	\$959,015
NPV of CFD Revenue @ Discount Rate Less Issuance and Maintenance Costs Remaining ROM Bonding Capacity 6.0	, . ,																			

- [1] Per unit and per acre special tax levy assumptions are in 2020\$; the escalation rate is applied to the annual
- special tax revenue.
 [2] Residential per unit special tax levy is equates to a conservative assumption of 0.4% of estimated average unit valuation; 0.5% is generally considered the upper limit.
 [3] Per acre special tax levy is at the lower end of the typical range of \$5,000-6,000 per acre.

Table A-18 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis CFD Revenue - Phase 3 (2020\$)

Item	Assumption	Year 33	Year 34	Year 35	Year 36	Year 37	Year 38	Year 39	Year 40	Year 41	Year 42	Year 43
Project Assessed Value - Phase 3 Special Tax Levy Escalation [1]	2.0%											
Residential Land Uses	Per Unit [2]											
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)	\$2,500	\$374,383	\$381,870	\$389,508	\$397,298	\$405,244	\$413,349	\$421,616	\$430,048	\$438,649	\$447,422	\$456,371
High Density Residential (Rental)	\$1,000	\$306,788	\$312,924	\$319,182	\$325,566	\$332,077	\$338,719	\$345,493	\$352,403	\$359,451	\$366,640	\$373,973
Total Annual Residential CFD Revenue		\$681,171	\$694,794	\$708,690	\$722,864	\$737,321	\$752,068	\$767,109	\$782,451	\$798,100	\$814,062	\$830,344
Nonresidential Land Uses	Per Acre [3]											
Office	\$5,000	\$33,431	\$34,099	\$34,781	\$35,477	\$36,186	\$36,910	\$37,648	\$38,401	\$39,169	\$39,953	\$40,752
Flex/R&D	\$5,000	\$51,713	\$52,747	\$53,802	\$54,878	\$55,976	\$57,095	\$58,237	\$59,402	\$60,590	\$61,802	\$63,038
Advanced Manufacturing	\$5,000	\$136,818	\$139,554	\$142,345	\$145,192	\$148,096	\$151,058	\$154,079	\$157,160	\$160,304	\$163,510	\$166,780
Ancillary Retail	\$5,000	\$4,457	\$4,547	\$4.637	\$4,730	\$4.825	\$4,921	\$5,020	\$5,120	\$5.223	\$5,327	\$5,434
Hotel	\$5,000	\$70,605	\$72,017	\$73,458	\$74.927	\$76,426	\$77,954	\$79,513	\$81,103	\$82,725	\$84.380	\$86,068
Total Annual Nonresidential CFD Revenue		\$297,024	\$302,964	\$309,024	\$315,204	\$321,508	\$327,938	\$334,497	\$341,187	\$348,011	\$354,971	\$362,071
Total Annual CFD Revenue		\$978,195	\$997,759	\$1,017,714	\$1,038,068	\$1,058,830	\$1,080,006	\$1,101,606	\$1,123,638	\$1,146,111	\$1,169,033	\$1,192,414
NPV of CFD Revenue @ Discount Rate 6.0% Less Issuance and Maintenance Costs 10.0% Remaining ROM Bonding Capacity	\$10,467,051 (\$1,046,705) \$9,420,346											

- [1] Per unit and per acre special tax levy assumptions are in 2020\$; the escalation rate is applied to the annual special tax revenue.
- special tax revenue.
 [2] Residential per unit special tax levy is equates to a conservative assumption of 0.4% of estimated average unit valuation; 0.5% is generally considered the upper limit.
 [3] Per acre special tax levy is at the lower end of the typical range of \$5,000-6,000 per acre.

Table A-19 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis CFD Revenue - Phase 4 (2020\$)

Item	Assumption	Year 20	Year 21	Year 22	Year 23	Year 24	Year 25	Year 26	Year 27	Year 28	Year 29	Year 30	Year 31	Year 32	Year 33	Year 34	Year 35	Year 36	Year 37
Project Assessed Value - Phase 4																			
Special Tax Levy Escalation [1]	2.0%																		
Residential Land Uses	Per Unit [2]																		
Medium Density Residential (For Sale)	\$2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
High Density Residential (Rental)	\$1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Annual Residential CFD Revenue		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nonresidential Land Uses	Per Acre [3]																		
Office	\$5,000	_	\$7,732	\$15,774	\$24,134	\$32,822	\$41,848	\$42,685	\$43,539	\$44,409	\$45,298	\$46,203	\$47,128	\$48,070	\$49,032	\$50,012	\$51,012	\$52,033	\$53,073
Flex/R&D	\$5,000	-	\$11,961	\$24,400	\$37,332	\$50,771	\$64,734	\$66,028	\$67,349	\$68,696	\$70,070	\$71,471	\$72,900	\$74,358	\$75,846	\$77,363	\$78,910	\$80,488	\$82,098
Advanced Manufacturing	\$5,000	-	\$54,921	\$56,019	\$57,139	\$116,564	\$118,896	\$121,274	\$123,699	\$126,173	\$128,697	\$131,270	\$133,896	\$136,574	\$139,305	\$142,091	\$144,933	\$147,832	\$150,788
Ancillary Retail	\$5,000	-	\$8,787	\$8,962	\$9,142	\$9,324	\$9,511	\$9,701	\$9,895	\$10,093	\$10,295	\$10,501	\$10,711	\$10,925	\$11,144	\$11,366	\$11,594	\$11,826	\$12,062
Hotel	\$5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Annual Nonresidential CFD Revenue		-	\$83,400	\$105,155	\$127,747	\$209,482	\$234,988	\$239,688	\$244,482	\$249,371	\$254,359	\$259,446	\$264,635	\$269,927	\$275,326	\$280,832	\$286,449	\$292,178	\$298,022
Total Annual CFD Revenue		-	\$83,400	\$105,155	\$127,747	\$209,482	\$234,988	\$239,688	\$244,482	\$249,371	\$254,359	\$259,446	\$264,635	\$269,927	\$275,326	\$280,832	\$286,449	\$292,178	\$298,022
NPV of CFD Revenue @ Discount Rate Less Issuance and Maintenance Costs Remaining ROM Bonding Capacity																			

- [1] Per unit and per acre special tax levy assumptions are in 2020\$; the escalation rate is applied to the annual special tax revenue.
- [2] Residential per unit special tax levy is equates to a conservative assumption of 0.4% of estimated average unit valuation: 0.5% is generally considered the upper limit.
- valuation; 0.5% is generally considered the upper limit.

 [3] Per acre special tax levy is at the lower end of the typical range of \$5,000-6,000 per acre.

Table A-19 Aggie Research Campus Pro Forma Analysis CFD Revenue - Phase 4 (2020\$)

Item	Assumption	Year 38	Year 39	Year 40	Year 41	Year 42	Year 43	Year 44	Year 45	Year 46	Year 47	Year 48	Year 49
Project Assessed Value - Phase 4 Special Tax Levy Escalation [1]	2.0%												
Residential Land Uses Medium Density Residential (For Sale)	Per Unit [2] \$2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
High Density Residential (Rental) Total Annual Residential CFD Revenue	\$1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nonresidential Land Uses Office Flex/R&D Advanced Manufacturing Ancillary Retail	Per Acre [3] \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000	\$54,135 \$83,740 \$153,804 \$12,303	\$55,217 \$85,414 \$156,880 \$12,549	\$56,322 \$87,123 \$160,018 \$12,800	\$57,448 \$88,865 \$163,218 \$13,056		\$59,769 \$92,455 \$169,812 \$13,584	\$60,965 \$94,304 \$173,209 \$13,856	\$62,184 \$96,191 \$176,673 \$14,133	\$63,427 \$98,114 \$180,206 \$14,415	\$64,696 \$100,077 \$183,810 \$14,704	\$65,990 \$102,078 \$187,487 \$14,998	\$67,310 \$104,120 \$191,236 \$15,298
Hotel Total Annual Nonresidential CFD Revenue	\$5,000	-	-	-	-	\$329,040	-	-	-	-	-	\$370,552	-
Total Annual CFD Revenue		\$303,982	\$310,062	\$316,263	\$322,588	\$329,040	\$335,621	\$342,333	\$349,180	\$356,163	\$363,287	\$370,552	\$377,963
NPV of CFD Revenue @ Discount Rate Less Issuance and Maintenance Costs Remaining ROM Bonding Capacity													

- [1] Per unit and per acre special tax levy assumptions are in 2020\$; the escalation rate is applied to the annual special tax revenue.
- special tax revenue.
 [2] Residential per unit special tax levy is equates to a conservative assumption of 0.4% of estimated average unit valuation; 0.5% is generally considered the upper limit.
 [3] Per acre special tax levy is at the lower end of the typical range of \$5,000-6,000 per acre.

APPENDIX B:

Fiscal Impact Analysis Tables

Table p-1	Estillated Alliual General Fullu Revenue and
	Expenditure Summary at BuildoutB-1
Table B-2	General Assumptions B-2
Table B-3	Project Land Use AssumptionsB-3
Table B-4	Estimated Occupied Land Uses: Base Development Program B-4
Table B-5	Estimated Residential and Employee Population: Base Development ProgramB-5
Table B-6	Fiscal Impact Analysis AssumptionsB-6
Table B-7	Revenue-Estimating ProceduresB-7
Table B-8	Estimated Annual Project Revenues at Buildout B-8
Table B-9	Estimated Annual Property Tax RevenueB-9
Table B-10	Real Property Transfer Tax Revenue B-10
Table B-11	Estimated Annual Taxable Sales and Use Tax Revenue B-11
Table B-12	Estimated Annual Taxable Sales from Proposed Development, Hybrid Market Support Method B-12
Table B-13	Estimated Annual Taxable Sales from Nonresidential Development
Table B-14	Estimated Annual Transient Occupancy Tax Revenue B-14
Table B-15	Estimated Annual Public Safety Tax Revenue B-15
Table B-16	Expenditure-Estimating Procedures
Table B-17	Estimated Annual Expenditures at Buildout B-17
Table B-18	Estimated Annual Fire Department Operating and Maintenance Expenditures
Table B-19	Estimated Annual Police Department Operating and Maintenance Expenditures
Table B-20	Preliminary Property Tax Allocations B-20
Table B-21	Estimated Assessed Valuation at Buildout: Base Development ProgramB-21
Table B-22	Average Income and Retail Expenditures for Residential Units
Table B-23	One-Time Fees by Phase
Table B-24	Development Program and One-Time Fees B-24



Table B-1 Aggie Research Campus Fiscal Impact Analysis Estimated Annual General Fund Revenue and Expenditure Summary (2020\$)

	Annual Fiscal Impacts [1]								
ltem	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Buildout					
Annual General Fund Revenues [2]									
Property Taxes [3]	\$651,000	\$1,517,000	\$2,228,000	\$2,723,000					
Property Tax In-Lieu of Vehicle License Fees	\$217,000	\$505,000	\$742,000	\$907,000					
Property Transfer Tax	\$2,000	\$5,000	\$7,000	\$7,000					
Sales and Use Taxes	\$464,000	\$1,063,000	\$1,564,000	\$2,229,000					
Transient Occupancy Tax	\$0	\$0	\$934,000	\$934,000					
Business License Tax	\$26,000	\$62,000	\$87,000	\$104,000					
Municipal Service Tax	\$44,000	\$103,000	\$145,000	\$174,000					
Franchise Fees	\$25,000	\$58,000	\$82,000	\$99,000					
Charges for Services	\$35,000	\$81,000	\$111,000	\$111,000					
Community Services Revenue	\$19,000	\$44,000	\$61,000	\$61,000					
Fines and Forfeitures	\$6,000	\$14,000	\$20,000	\$24,000					
Total General Fund Revenues	\$1,489,000	\$3,452,000	\$5,981,000	\$7,373,000					
Other Annual Non-General Fund Revenues [2] [4]									
Gas Tax Revenues	\$15,000	\$36,000	\$49,000	\$49,000					
Parks Maintenance Tax	\$19,000	\$45,000	\$64,000	\$76,000					
Prop. 172 Public Safety Sales Tax	\$16,000	\$38,000	\$56,000	\$79,000					
Public Safety Tax	\$103,000	\$236,000	\$360,000	\$469,000					
Total Other Non-General Fund Revenues	\$153,000	\$355,000	\$529,000	\$673,000					
Total General Fund and Non-General Fund Revenues	\$1,642,000	\$3,807,000	\$6,510,000	\$8,046,000					
Annual General Fund Expenditures [5]									
City Attorney	\$2,000	\$4,000	\$6,000	\$7,000					
City Council	\$2,000	\$6,000	\$8,000	\$10,000					
City Manager's Office	\$42,000	\$99,000	\$140,000	\$168,000					
Administrative Services	\$34,000	\$80,000	\$112,000	\$135,000					
Community Dev. & Sustainability	\$32,000	\$76,000	\$107,000	\$128,000					
Parks and Community Services [6]	\$127,000	\$298,000	\$419,000	\$504,000					
Fire	\$136,000	\$319,000	\$448,000	\$538,000					
Police	\$225,000	\$528,000	\$742,000	\$892,000					
Public Works - Engineering and Transportation	\$26,000	\$61,000	\$86,000	\$103,000					
Public Works - Utilities and Operations	\$30,000	\$69,000	\$98,000	\$117,000					
Total General Fund Expenditures	\$656,000	\$1,540,000	\$2,166,000	\$2,602,000					
Annual General Fund Surplus/(Deficit)	\$986,000	\$2,267,000	\$4,344,000	\$5,444,000					
Other Annual Revenues [7]									
DJUSD Bond Debt Funds	\$195.000	\$455.000	\$668,000	\$817,000					
DJUSD Parcel Tax	\$79.000	\$191,000	\$256,000	\$260,000					
DJUSD CFD #1	\$68.000	\$155,000	\$223,000	\$252,000					
DJUSD Subtotal	\$342,000	\$801,000	\$1,147,000	\$1,329,000					
County (General Fund) Property Tax Revenue	\$165,000	\$385,000	\$565,000	\$691,000					

Source: EPS.

Note: All values are rounded to the nearest \$1,000.

- [1] Revenues and expenditures at completion of each phase (cumulative) and builout.
- [2] See Table B-1 for details on revenue estimating procedures.
 [3] Property tax allocation shown at 50% City/50% County split, as shown in Table B-20.
- [4] Reflects additional revenues used to fund General Fund expenditures.
- [5] See Table B-16 for details on expenditure estimating procedures.
- [6] The Project will include 49.2 acres of privatley maintained parks and open space, reducing the Project's actual burden on City parks expenditures; however, the full potential expenditure burden is included in this analysis, without offset for the privately maintained facilities.
- [7] DJUSD allocation of 1% property tax not included since any gains offset by adjustments to State funding; participation in CFD #2 to be determined.

Table B-2 Aggie Research Campus Fiscal Impact Analysis General Assumptions

Item	Assumption
General Assumptions	
Base Fiscal Year [1]	FY 2019-20
City of Davis Demographic Characteristics [2]	
City of Davis Population [3]	69,761
City of Davis Employees [4]	27,897
Employee Adjustment Factor	0.50
City of Davis Persons Served [5]	83,710

Source: California Department of Finance; ESRI Business Analyst Online; EPS.

- [1] Reflects the FY 2019-20 City of Davis budget adopted by City Council. Revenues and expenditures are in 2019 dollars. This Analysis does not reflect changes in values resulting from inflation or appreciation.
- [2] Used to estimate average citywide revenues and expenditures in Table B-1 and Table C-1, respectively.
- [3] Based on population estimates from the California Department of Finance (DOF) data for January 1, 2019.
- [4] Based on the ESRI BAO Business Summary for 2019. This number is significantly larger than previous estimates, which may be due to a combination of those earlier estimates relying on early post-recession data and the relocation of UC administrative employment into off-campus office space.
- [5] Defined as total City population plus half of total City employees.

Table B-3 Fiscal Impact Analysis Aggie Research Campus Project Land Use Assumptions

	Р	roject Land	d Uses - Phase	1	P	roject Land	d Uses - Phase	2	P	roject Land	d Uses - Phase	3	Pr	oject Land	Uses at Builde	out
		Dwelling	Building	Hotel		Dwelling	Building	Hotel		Dwelling	Building	Hotel		Dwelling	Building	Hote
Item	Acres	Units	Square Feet	Rooms	Acres	Units	Square Feet	Rooms	Acres	Units	Square Feet	Rooms	Acres	Units	Square Feet	Room
Residential Land Uses																
Owner Occupied Residential																
Medium Density Residential	3.4	87	_	_	8.3	211	_	_	11.0	280	_	_	11.0	280	_	
Total Owner Occupied	3.4	87	-	-	8.3	211	-	-	11.0	280	-	-	11.0	280	-	
Renter Occupied Residential																
High Density Residential	5.2	182	-	_	11.8	410	_	-	16.4	570	-	_	16.4	570	-	
Total Renter Occupied	5.2	182	-	-	11.8	410	-	-	16.4	570	-	-	16.4	570	-	
Total All Residential Uses	8.7	269	-	-	20.1	622	-	-	27.4	850	-	-	27.4	850	-	
Nonresidential Land Uses																
Office	3.6	-	155,000	-	8.9	-	385,000	-	12.4	-	535,000	-	17.5	-	755,000	
Flex/R&D	5.6	_	155,000	-	13.8	_	385,000	-	19.2	_	535,000	_	27.1	_	755,000	
Advanced Manufacturing	14.2	-	220,000	-	28.5	_	440,000	-	42.7	_	660,000	_	57.2	_	884,000	
Ancillary Retail	0.2	-	10,000	-	0.7	_	30,000	-	1.2	_	50,000	_	2.3	_	100,000	
Hotel	_	_	· -	_	_	_	· -	_	7.3	_	400.000	150	7.3	_	160,000	15
Total Nonresidential Uses	23.6	-	540,000	-	51.9	-	1,240,000	-	82.8	-	1,940,000	150	111.5	-	2,654,000	15
Total All Land Uses	32.4	269	540,000	_	72.1	622	1,240,000	-	110.3	850	1,940,000	150	139.0	850	2,654,000	15

Table B-4
Aggie Research Campus
Fiscal Impact Analysis
Estimated Occupied Land Uses: Base Development Program

	Vacancy Rate	Occu	et		
Land Use	Assumption [1]	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Buildout
Residential (Units)					
MDR - Owner-Occupied	5.0%	82	201	266	266
HDR - Renter-Occupied	5.0%	173	390	542	542
Total Residential		256	591	808	808
Commercial (Sq. Ft.)					
Office/Flex/R&D					
Office	7.0%	144,150	358,050	497,550	702,150
Flex/R&D	7.0%	144,150	358,050	497,550	702,150
Total Office/Flex/R&D		288,300	716,100	995,100	1,404,300
Advanced Manufacturing [2]	5.0%	209,000	418,000	627,000	839,800
Retail					
Ancillary Retail	5.0%	9,500	28,500	47,500	95,000
Total Retail		9,500	28,500	47,500	95,000
Hotel/Conference	-	-	-	160,000	160,000
Total Commercial Sq. Ft.		506,800	1,162,600	1,829,600	2,499,100

^[1] Vacancy rate assumption based on a review of vacancy rates over the last 9 years (2010-2018) for land uses in the City of Davis. Data collected from CoStar as of third quarter, 2019. Flex vacancy for the Project assumed the same as office, lower than actual citywide average flex vacancy which is impacted by obsolete properties.

^[2] Manufacturing vacancy assumed to result from idyling and reconfiguration periods.

Table B-5
Aggie Research Campus
Fiscal Impact Analysis
Estimated Residential and Employee Population

			Resident and Em	ployee Population	1
Land Use	Assumption [1]	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Buildout
Residential	Persons/DU	Residents	Residents	Residents	Residents
MDR - Owner-Occupied	2.64	218	530	702	702
HDR - Renter-Occupied	2.16	374	842	1,170	1,170
Total Residential		592	1,372	1,872	1,872
Commercial					
Office/Flex/R&D	Sq. Ft./Employee	Employees	Employees	Employees	Employees
Office	290	497	1,235	1,716	2,421
Flex/R&D	450	320	796	1,106	1,560
Total Office/Flex/R&D		817	2,030	2,821	3,982
Advanced Manufacturing	800	261	523	784	1,050
Retail					
Ancillary Retail	500	19	57	95	190
Total Retail		19	57	95	190
Hotel/Conference	2,000	0	0	80	80
Total Commercial Employment		1,098	2,610	3,780	5,301
		Persons Served	Persons Served	Persons Served	Persons Serve
Persons Served [2]		1,141	2,677	3,762	4,523

Source: City of Davis; CoStar; EPS.

^[1] Refer to Table B-6 for assumption sources.

^[2] Persons Served is defined as the total project area population plus half of the total project area employees to account for the lower impact of non-resident persons.

Table B-6
Aggie Research Campus
Fiscal Impact Analysis
Fiscal Impact Assumptions

Estimated Average Assessed Value [1]	Turnover Rate [2]	Average Persons Per Dwelling Unit [3]	Sq. Ft./ Employee [4]
Per l Init			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6.7%	2 64	<u>-</u>
\$391,650	0.0%	2.16	-
<u>Per Sq. Ft</u>			
\$332	0.0%	-	290
\$321	0.0%	-	450
\$250	0.0%	-	800
\$334	0.0%	-	500
\$291	0.0%	-	2,000
	Average Assessed Value [1] Per Unit \$633,600 \$391,650 Per Sq. Ft \$332 \$321 \$250	Average Assessed Value [1] Per Unit \$633,600 \$391,650 Per Sq. Ft \$332 \$332 \$321 \$321 \$0.0% \$250 \$0.0%	Average Assessed Value [1] Turnover Rate [2] Persons Per Dwelling Unit [3] Per Unit \$633,600 6.7% 2.64 \$391,650 0.0% 2.16 Per Sq. Ft \$332 0.0% - \$321 0.0% - \$250 0.0% - \$334 0.0% -

Source: City of Davis; Urban Land Institute (ULI); ESRI; CoStar; EPS.

- [1] Commercial and residential values based on market research conducted using current brokerage listings for similar land uses in the City and broader Sacramento Region, interviews with local real estate professionals, and calculations of residual land value incorporating all of the above.
- [2] Based on EPS research on real property turnover rates in the Sacramento Region.
- [3] Average persons per dwelling unit from the City of Davis.
- [4] Sq. ft. per employee based on data from existing development in the 2nd Street Corridor and Interland Urban Research Park, Urban Land Institute (ULI), and subscription-based data (ESRI, CoStar).

Table B-7
Aggie Research Campus
Fiscal Impact Analysis
Revenue-Estimating Procedures (2020\$)

Item	Estimating Procedure	Case Study Reference	FY 2019-20 Adopted Revenues	Service Population [1]	Adjustment Factor [2]	Revenue Multiplier
General Fund Revenues						
Property Taxes	Case Study	Table B-9	\$15,454,824	NA	-	-
Property Tax In-Lieu of Vehicle License Fees	Case Study	Table B-9	\$6,762,000	NA	-	-
Property Transfer Tax	Case Study	Table B-10	\$200,000	NA	-	-
Sales and Use Taxes	Case Study	Table B-11	\$16,226,000	NA	-	-
Transient Occupancy Tax	Case Study	Table B-14	\$2,518,400	NA	-	-
Business License Tax	Per Person Served	-	\$1,928,400	83,710	100%	\$23.04
Municipal Service Tax	Per Person Served	-	\$3,224,500	83,710	100%	\$38.52
Franchise Fees	Per Person Served	-	\$1,824,403	83,710	100%	\$21.79
Intergovernmental	[3]	-	\$172,650	NA	-	-
Charges for Services	Per Capita	-	\$4,136,749	69,761	100%	\$59.30
Community Services Revenue	Per Capita	-	\$2,257,819	69,761	100%	\$32.37
Fines and Forfeitures	Per Person Served	-	\$450,000	83,710	100%	\$5.38
Use of Money & Property	[3]	-	\$1,367,319	NA	_	-
All Other Revenue	[3]	-	\$4,822,990	NA	_	-
Total General Fund Revenues			\$61,346,054			
Other Non-General Fund Revenues [4]						
Gas Tax Revenues	Per Capita	-	\$1,809,375	69,761	100%	\$25.94
Parks Maintenance Tax	Per Person Served	-	\$1,414,700	83,710	100%	\$16.90
Prop. 172 Public Safety Sales Tax	Case Study	Table B-11	\$577,211	NA	-	-
Public Safety Tax	Case Study	Table B-15	\$3,558,975	NA	-	-
Total Non-General Fund Other Revenues	·		\$7,360,261			
Total General Fund and Other Non-General Fund R	evenues		\$68,706,315			

Source: City of Davis FY 2019-20 Adopted Budget; EPS.

- [1] Represents Citywide residents or persons served as shown in Table B-2.
- [2] Adjustment factors have not been applied to revenue estimates; 100% of the per capita or per person multipliers applied.
- [3] Non-General Fund revenue categories that are affected by the introduction of new employees and residents resulting from the project used partially to fund expenditures included in the analysis.
- [4] Reflects additional revenues used to fund General Fund expenditures.

Table B-8
Aggie Research Campus
Fiscal Impact Analysis
Estimated Annual Project Revenues (2020\$)

	Total Revenues								
Revenues	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Buildout					
General Fund Revenues [1]									
Property Taxes	\$651,000	\$1,517,000	\$2,228,000	\$2,723,000					
Property Tax In-Lieu of Vehicle License Fees	\$217,000	\$505,000	\$742,000	\$907,000					
Property Transfer Tax	\$2,000	\$5,000	\$7,000	\$7,000					
Sales and Use Taxes	\$464,000	\$1,063,000	\$1,564,000	\$2,229,000					
Transient Occupancy Tax	\$0	\$0	\$934,000	\$934,000					
Business License Tax	\$26,000	\$62,000	\$87,000	\$104,000					
Municipal Service Tax	\$44,000	\$103,000	\$145,000	\$174,000					
Franchise Fees	\$25,000	\$58,000	\$82,000	\$99,000					
Charges for Services	\$35,000	\$81,000	\$111,000	\$111,000					
Community Services Revenue	\$19,000	\$44,000	\$61,000	\$61,000					
Fines and Forfeitures	\$6,000	\$14,000	\$20.000	\$24,000					
Total General Fund Revenues	\$1,489,000	\$3,452,000	\$5,981,000	\$7,373,000					
Other Non-General Fund Revenues									
Gas Tax Revenues	\$15,000	\$36,000	\$49,000	\$49,000					
Parks Maintenance Tax	\$19,000	\$45,000	\$64,000	\$76,000					
Prop. 172 Public Safety Sales Tax	\$16,000	\$38,000	\$56,000	\$79,000					
Public Safety Tax	\$103,000	\$236,000	\$360,000	\$469,000					
Total Non-General Fund Other Revenues	\$153,000	\$355,000	\$529,000	\$673,000					
Total General Fund and Other Non-General Fund Revenues	\$1,642,000	\$3,807,000	\$6,510,000	\$8,046,000					
Other Select Revenues [2]									
DJUSD Bond Debt Funds	\$195,000	\$455,000	\$668,000	\$817,000					
DJUSD Parcel Tax	\$79,000	\$191,000	\$256,000	\$260,000					
DJUSD CFD #1	\$68,000	\$155,000	\$223,000	\$252,000					
DJUSD Subtotal	\$342,000	\$801,000	\$1,147,000	\$1,329,000					
County (General Fund) Property Tax Revenue	\$165,000	\$385,000	\$565,000	\$691,000					

Source: City of Davis FY 2019-20 Adopted Budget; EPS.

Note: Values are rounded to the nearest \$1,000.

^[1] Refer to Table B-1 for details regarding revenue categories. Revenue categories not included in analysis have been omitted.

^[2] DJUSD allocation of 1% property tax not included since any gains offset by adjustments to State funding.

Table B-9 Aggie Research Campus Fiscal Impact Analysis Estimated Annual Property Tax Revenue (2020\$)

	Assumptions/			Annual Propert	y Tax Revenues	
Item	Source	Formula	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Buildout
Property Tax Revenue (1% of Assessed Value)						
Assessed Value (2019\$)	Table B-21	а	\$288,044,065	\$670,887,945	\$985,644,878	\$1,204,789,150
Property Tax Revenue (1% of Assessed Value)	1.00%	b = a * 1.00%	\$2,880,441	\$6,708,879	\$9,856,449	\$12,047,892
Estimated Property Tax Allocation City General Fund [1]	22.61%	c = b * 22.61%	\$651,136	\$1,516,571	\$2,228,092	\$2,723,477
Allocation to Other Entities County (General Fund) Other Agencies/ERAF	5.73%	d = b * 5.73% e = b - c - d	\$165,111 \$2,064,194	\$384,562 \$4,807,746	\$564,985 \$7,063,371	\$690,602 \$8,633,812
Property Tax In-Lieu of Motor Vehicle In-Lieu Fee Revenue (VL	F)					
Total Citywide Assessed Value [2] Total New Assessed Value		f $g = a + f$	\$8,980,290,461 \$9,268,334,526	\$8,980,290,462 \$9,651,178,407	\$8,980,290,463 \$9,965,935,341	\$8,980,290,464 \$10,185,079,614
Percent Change in AV		h = a / f	3.21%	7.47%	10.98%	13.42%
Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF [3]	\$6,762,000	i = h * \$6,762,000	\$216,892	\$505,167	\$742,173	\$907,185
Select DJUSD Revenues [4]						
Bond Debt Funds 2000 General Obligation Bonds 2018 General Obligation Bonds Total DJUSD Bond Levy	0.0120% 0.0558%	j = a * 0.0120% k = a * 0.0558%	\$34,565 \$160,729 \$195,294	\$80,507 \$374,355 \$454,862	\$118,277 \$549,990 \$668,267	\$144,575 \$672,272 \$816,847
Parcel Tax Assumed Number of Parcels [5]		I	92	222	297	301
Measure G DJUSD Parcel Tax Measure H DJUSD Parcel Tax Total DJUSD Parcel Tax	\$198.00 \$664.14	m = I * \$198 m = I * \$664	\$18,216 \$61,101 \$79,317	\$43,956 \$147,439 \$191,395	\$58,806 \$197,250 \$256,056	\$59,598 \$199,906 \$259,504
DJUSD CFD #1 [6] Single Family Units [7] Apartment Units [7] Commercial/Industrial Acreage		n o p	87 182 23.6	211 410 51.9	280 570 82.8	280 570 111.5
Single Family Fees Apartment Fees Commercial/Industrial Fees (per 1/4 acre) Total DJUSD CFD #1 Fees	\$248.94 \$124.47 \$248.94	q = n * \$248.94 r = o * \$124.47 s = p * 4 * \$248.94	\$21,608 \$22,703 \$23,521 \$67,832	\$52,626 \$51,082 \$51,684 \$155,392	\$69,703 \$70,948 \$82,457 \$223,108	\$69,703 \$70,948 \$110,982 \$251,633

Source: City of Davis; Yolo County; DJUSD; EPS.

^[1] For assumptions and calculation of the estimated property tax allocation, refer to Table B-20. The eventual tax allocation between the City of Davis and Yolo County may be different.

^[2] Reflects final assessed valuation for FY 2019. Includes Citywide secured, unsecured, homeowner exemption, and public utility rolls, inflated 2 years at 5.1%, the rate of growth between FY 2019 and FY 2020 citywide assessed values as provided by the County Auditor Controller.

^[3] Property tax in-lieu of VLF amount taken from FY 2019-20 Approved City Budget. See Table B-7.

^[4] DJUSD allocation of 1% property tax not included since any gains offset by adjustments to State funding.

[5] For uses other than MDR, 1 parcel per use per phase is assumed.

^[6] Participation in CFD #2 to be determined.

^[7] CFD #1 fees are applied at single family and apartment rates; MDR units assumed to be townhouse which are applied the single family fee.

Table B-10 Aggie Research Campus Fiscal Impact Analysis Real Property Transfer Tax Revenue (2020\$)

	Source/		Assesse	d Value	Annual Transfer Tax					
Description	Assumption	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Buildout	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Buildout	
Rate per \$1,000 of AV	\$1.10									
Property Turnover Rate (% per year) [1]										
Residential Owner-Occupied	6.7%									
Residential Renter-Occupied	0.0%									
Nonresidential	0.0%									
Annual Transfer Tax Revenue										
Residential										
Owner-Occupied		\$54,996,480	\$133,943,040	\$177,408,000	\$177,408,000	\$4,033	\$9,822	\$13,010	\$13,010	
Renter-Occupied		\$71,436,972	\$160,733,187	\$223,240,538	\$223,240,538	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Residential Land Uses		\$126,433,452	\$294,676,227	\$400,648,538	\$400,648,538	\$4,033	\$9,822	\$13,010	\$13,010	
Nonresidential		\$159,568,141	\$371,457,067	\$577,603,022	\$793,977,698	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Annual Transfer Tax Revenue						\$4,033	\$9,822	\$13,010	\$13,010	
Yolo County Share of Annual Transfer Tax	(at 50%)					\$2,017	\$4,911	\$6,505	\$6,505	
City of Davis Share of Annual Transfer Ta	v (at 50%)					\$2,017	\$4,911	\$6,505	\$6,505	

Prepared by EPS 4/27/2020

^[1] For-sale residential turnover equals 15-year average resale; multifamily and nonresidential property excluded from transfer tax estimate due to typically long holding periods for these properties.

Table B-11 Aggie Research Campus Fiscal Impact Analysis Estimated Annual Taxable Sales and Use Tax Revenue (2020\$)

		Source/	Annual Taxable Sales Revenue at Buildout					
Item	Formula	Assumptions	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Buildout		
Estimated Annual Taxable Sales								
Annual Taxable Sales from New HH/Employee Expenditures	а	Table B-12	\$5,636,329	\$13,235,709	\$18,571,716	\$22,222,558		
Net Annual Taxable Sales from Onsite Nonresidential Uses	b	Table B-13	\$17,553,101	\$39,892,227	\$59,621,485	\$89,225,233		
Annual Taxable Sales from Total Net New Development	c = a + b		\$23,189,430	\$53,127,936	\$78,193,201	\$111,447,790		
Annual Sales Tax Revenue								
Bradley Burns Sales Tax Rate		1.0000%						
Measure Q Sales Tax Rate [1]		1.0000%						
Total City Sales Tax Revenue	d = c * 2%	2.0000%	\$463,789	\$1,062,559	\$1,563,864	\$2,228,956		
Gross Proposition 172 Public Safety Sales Tax Revenue [2]	f = d * 3.56%	3.56%	\$16,498	\$37,799	\$55,632	\$79,291		

Source: City of Davis; Yolo County; California State Board of Equalization; EPS.

^[1] Measure Q is a 1% general sales and use tax rate that supports the General Fund and continues indefinitely.

^[2] Calculated as the ratio of Proposition 172 Public Safety Tax revenue to total sales tax revenue based on the FY 2019-20 Budget. Any variation in the relationship between Proposition 172 Public Safety Tax revenue and total sales tax revenue affecting the estimate of this revenue source is estimated to be nominal.

Table B-12 Aggie Research Campus Fiscal Impact Analysis Estimated Annual Taxable Sales from Proposed Development, Hybrid Market Support Method (2020\$)

		Annual Taxable Sales Revenue from Market Support					
nnual Taxable Sales from Market Support	Assumption	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Buildout		
nnual Taxable Sales from New Households							
Residential Development [1]							
MDR - Owner-Occupied		87	211	280	280		
HDR - Renter-Occupied		182	410	570	570		
Total Residential Development		269	622	850	850		
Retail Expenditures [2]							
Owner-Occupied Residential per Household	\$29,000						
Renter-Occupied Residential per Household	\$22,000						
Owner-Occupied Residential Household Spending		\$2,517,200	\$6,130,600	\$8,120,000	\$8,120,000		
Renter-Occupied Residential Household Spending		\$4,012,800	\$9,028,800	\$12,540,000	\$12,540,000		
Total Retail Expenditures		\$6,530,000	\$15,159,400	\$20,660,000	\$20,660,000		
Taxable Sales from New Households							
Est. Retail Capture Rate within the City of Davis [3]	50%						
Total Taxable Sales from New Households		\$3,265,000	\$7,579,700	\$10,330,000	\$10,330,000		
Total Annual Taxable Sales from Market Support Within the City of Davis							
Estimated Share Onsite (Within the Project)	10%						
Estimated Share Offsite (Outside the Project)	90%						
Estimated Total Annual Taxable Sales Onsite (Within the Project)		\$326.500	\$757,970	\$1.033.000	\$1.033.000		
Estimated Total Annual Taxable Sales Offsite (Outside the Project)		\$2,938,500	\$6,821,730	\$9,297,000	\$9,297,000		
nnual Taxable Sales from New Employees							
Taxable Sales from New Employment							
New Employees [4]		1,098	2,610	3,780	5,301		
Project Residents Assumed to Work Onsite [5]		110	253	346	346		
Net New Employees (Excluding Project Residents Assumed to Work Onsite)		988	2,357	3,434	4,955		
Average Daily Taxable Sales per New Employee [6]	\$20.00						
Work Days per Year	240						
Est. Retail Capture Rate within the City of Davis [3]	50%						
Total Taxable Sales from Net New Employees		\$2,371,329	\$5,656,009	\$8,241,716	\$11,892,558		
Total Annual Taxable Sales from Market Support Within the City of Davis							
Estimated Share Onsite (Within the Project)	30%						
Estimated Share Offsite (Outside the Project)	70%						
Estimated Total Annual Taxable Sales Onsite (Within the Project)		\$711,399	\$1,696,803	\$2,472,515	\$3,567,767		
Estimated Total Annual Taxable Sales Offsite (Outside the Project)		\$1,659,930	\$3,959,206	\$5,769,201	\$8,324,790		
Total Annual Taxable Sales from Market Support Within the City of Davis							
			\$2,454,773	\$3,505,515	\$4.600.767		
		\$1.037.899					
Estimated Total Annual Taxable Sales Onsite (Within the Project) Estimated Total Annual Taxable Sales Offsite (Outside the Project)		\$1,037,899 \$4,598,430	\$2,454,773 \$10,780,936	\$15,066,201	\$17,621,790		

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics; City of Davis; EPS.

^[1] Refer to Table B-3.
[2] Refer to Table D-3 for assumptions related to average household retail expenditures by residential unit.

^[3] Estimated retail capture rate within the City of Davis is based on EPS's qualitative appraisal of retail establishments within and outside of the City of Davis.
[4] Refer to Table B-5 for employee estimates.

^[5] One-third of project employed residents assumed to work onsite. Ratio of 1.22 workers per housing units for Davis, calculated based on the American Community Survey, were applied to the total Project housing units; then 1/3 of these were assumed to work onsite.

[6] Based on the International Council of Shopping Centers' 2012 study "Office Worker Retail Spending in the Digital Age" for suburban areas with retail

opportunities and adjusted to reflect Davis' retail mix.

Table B-13 Aggie Research Campus Fiscal Impact Analysis Estimated Annual Taxable Sales from Nonresidential Development (2020\$)

	Annual Taxable Sales per		Occupied Bui	lding Sq. Ft. [2	21	Annual Taxable Sales Revenue from Nonresidential Developmer				
Item	Sq. Ft. [1]	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Buildout	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Buildout	
Annual Taxable Sales from Onsite Nonresidential Development										
Office/Flex/R&D										
Office	\$20	144,150	358,050	497,550	702,150	\$2,883,000	\$7,161,000	\$9,951,000	\$14,043,000	
Flex/R&D	\$20	144,150	358,050	497,550	702,150	\$2,883,000	\$7,161,000	\$9,951,000	\$14,043,000	
Total Office/Flex/R&D		288,300	716,100	995,100	1,404,300	\$5,766,000	\$14,322,000	\$19,902,000	\$28,086,000	
Manufacturing	\$50	209,000	418,000	627,000	839,800	\$10,450,000	\$20,900,000	\$31,350,000	\$41,990,000	
Retail										
Ancillary Retail	\$250	9,500	28,500	47,500	95,000	\$2,375,000	\$7,125,000	\$11,875,000	\$23,750,000	
Total Retail		9,500	28,500	47,500	95,000	\$2,375,000	\$7,125,000	\$11,875,000	\$23,750,000	
Hotel/Conference	\$0	0	0	160,000	160,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Public/Nonprofit	\$0	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Annual Taxable Sales from Onsite Nonresidential Development		506,800	1,162,600	1,829,600	2,499,100	\$18,591,000	\$42,347,000	\$63,127,000	\$93,826,000	
Less Total Annual Taxable Sales from Market Support										
(within the Project) [3]						\$1,037,899	\$2,454,773	\$3,505,515	\$4,600,767	
o Annual Taxable Sales less Market Support						\$17,553,101	\$39,892,227	\$59,621,485	\$89,225,233	
)	Shift from									
Less Shift of Sales from Existing Regional and Community Retail to the Project [4]	Existing Retail 0%					\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Net Total Nonresidential Taxable Sales						\$17,553,101	\$39,892,227	\$59,621,485	\$89,225,233	

Source: City of Davis; California Board of Equalization (BOE); CoStar; March 2015 Mace Ranch Innovation Center Urban Decay Analysis, ALH Urban & Regional Economics; EPS.

Prepared by EPS 4/27/2020

^[1] Annual taxable sales per sq. ft. based on taxable sales data collected from existing development in the 2nd Street Corridor and Interland University Research Park. Data is based on annual retail and nonretail business-to-business taxable sales by land use category over the last 5 years (2010-2018), as provided by the City of Davis. In addition, EPS consulted published taxable sales data from CA BOE (calendar year 2018), estimated occupied nonretail building square footage from CoStar, published reports citing taxable sales per square foot for nonretail uses, and private subscription services, such as Bizminer.

^[2] For vacancy rate assumptions, refer to Table B-4.

^[3] Estimated in Table B-12.

^[4] Reflects a 0% shift predicated on March 2015 Urban Decay Analysis completed by ALH Economics which concluded that development of the project's retail component is not likely to result in long-term retail sales diversions relevant to the existing retail base.

Table B-14
Aggie Research Campus
Fiscal Impact Analysis
Estimated Annual Transient Occupancy Tax (TOT) Revenue (2020\$)

			Annual TOT Revenue						
tem	Formula	Assumption	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Buildout			
Hotel Rooms	а		-	-	150	150			
Annual Rooms Available	b = a * 365	365	-	-	54,750	54,750			
Occupancy Rate	С	79%							
Average Daily Room Rate	d	\$180							
City of Davis TOT Rate	е	12%							
Annual Transient Occupancy Tax (Rounded)	f = b * c * d * e		\$0	\$0	\$934,254	\$934,254			

 $[\]overset{\text{\tiny BP}}{\underset{1}{\overset{\cdot}{\cdot}}}$ Source: Smith Travel Research; EPS.

Table B-15 Aggie Research Campus
Fiscal Impact Analysis
Estimated Annual Public Safety (Parcel) Tax Revenue (2020\$)

			Units / Sq. F	Ft. / Land Area			
Item	Assumption	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Buildout		
Residential Units							
Units							
MDR - Owner-Occupied		87	211	280	280		
HDR - Renter-Occupied		182	410	570	570		
Total Residential Units		269	622	850	850		
Land Area							
MDR - Owner-Occupied		130,200	317,100	420,000	420,000		
HDR - Renter-Occupied		273,600	615,600	855,000	855,000		
Total Residential Land Area		403,800	932,700	1,275,000	1,275,000		
Base Residential Tax Rate per Unit [1]	\$73.68						
Lot Size Tax Rate per Sq. Ft. [2]	\$0.00055						
Total Residential Public Safety Tax Revenue		\$20,058	\$46,329	\$63,332	\$63,332		
Commercial							
Square Feet							
Office		155,000	385,000	535,000	755,000		
Flex/R&D		155,000	385,000	535,000	755,000		
Advanced Manufacturing		220,000	440,000	660,000	884,000		
Ancillary Retail		10,000	30,000	50,000	100,000		
Hotel		-	-	160,000	160,000		
Total Nonresidential Use Sq. Ft.		540,000	1,240,000	1,940,000	2,654,000		
Land Area							
Office		442,857	1,100,000	1,528,571	2,157,143		
Flex/R&D		310,000	770,000	1,070,000	1,510,000		
Advanced Manufacturing		440,000	880,000	1,320,000	1,768,000		
Ancillary Retail		40,000	120,000	200,000	400,000		
Hotel		-	-	640,000	640,000		
Total Nonresidential Use Land Area		1,232,857	2,870,000	4,758,571	6,475,143		
Commercial Tax Rate per Sq. Ft. [3]	\$0.15						
Lot Size Tax Rate per Sq. Ft. [2]	\$0.00055						
Total Commercial Public Safety Tax Revenue		\$82,471	\$189,400	\$296,467	\$405,560		
Total Public Safety Tax Revenue		\$102,529	\$235,729	\$359,799	\$468,892		

^{[1] \$6.14/}month x 12. [2] \$0.000046/month x 12. [3] \$0.012622/month x 12.

Table B-16
Aggie Research Campus
Fiscal Impact Analysis
Expenditure-Estimating Procedures (2020\$)

Expenditure Category	Estimating Procedure	Case Study Reference	FY 2019-20 City Adopted Expenditures	Service Population [1]	Variable Adjustment Factor [2]	Expenditure Multiplier
General Fund Expenditures						
City Attorney	Per Person Served	-	\$170,217	83,710	75%	\$1.53
City Council	Per Person Served	-	\$239,105	83,710	75%	\$2.14
City Manager's Office	Per Person Served	-	\$4,141,687	83,710	75%	\$37.11
Administrative Services	Per Person Served	-	\$3,329,348	83,710	75%	\$29.83
Community Dev. & Sustainability	Per Person Served	-	\$3,171,085	83,710	75%	\$28.41
Parks and Community Services	Per Person Served	-	\$12,442,258	83,710	75%	\$111.48
Fire	Case Study	Table B-18	\$11,215,787	NA	NA	NA
Police	Case Study	Table B-19	\$19,945,541	NA	NA	NA
Public Works - Engineering and Transportation	Per Person Served	-	\$2,537,107	83,710	75%	\$22.73
Public Works - Utilities and Operations	Per Person Served	-	\$2,893,433	83,710	75%	\$25.92
Capital Improvements	[3]	-	\$9,007,648	NA	NA	NA
Debt Service	[3]	-	\$214,021	NA	NA	NA
RDA Successor Agency	[3]	-	\$0	NA	NA	NA
Nondepartmental	[3]	-	(\$827,239)	NA	NA	NA
Total General Fund Expenditures			\$68,479,998			
General Fund Reserve			(\$7,133,944)			
Total General Fund (Incl. General Fund Reserv	e)		\$61,346,054			

Source: City of Davis FY 2019-20 Adopted Budget; EPS.

^[1] Represents Citywide residents or persons served as shown in Table B-2.

^[2] Adjustment factors made in consultation with the City of Davis. Represents the percentage of expenditures estimated to be impacted by new growth (i.e.: percent of departmental expenses that are variable and therefore impacted by development versus fixed expenses that are not affected by development).

^[3] This expenditure category is not expected to be impacted by the project and is omitted from this analysis.

Table B-17
Aggie Research Campus
Fiscal Impact Analysis
Estimated Annual Expenditures (2020\$)

		Annual Net I	Expenditures		
Expenditures	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Buildout	
General Fund Expenditures					
City Attorney	\$2,000	\$4,000	\$6,000	\$7,000	
City Council	\$2,000	\$6,000	\$8,000	\$10,000	
City Manager's Office	\$42,000	\$99,000	\$140,000	\$168,000	
Administrative Services	\$34,000	\$80,000	\$112,000	\$135,000	
Community Dev. & Sustainability	\$32,000	\$76,000	\$107,000	\$128,000	
Parks and Community Services [1]	\$127,000	\$298,000	\$419,000	\$504,000	
Fire	\$136,000	\$319,000	\$448,000	\$538,000	
Police	\$225,000	\$528,000	\$742,000	\$892,000	
Public Works - Engineering and Transportation	\$26,000	\$61,000	\$86,000	\$103,000	
Public Works - Utilities and Operations	\$30,000	\$69,000	\$98,000	\$117,000	
Total General Fund Expenditures	\$656,000	\$1,540,000	\$2,166,000	\$2,602,000	

^[1] Parks expenditures due to onsite population is somewhat overstated since onsite residents and workers will be served by onsite park and open space area that will be privately maintained.

Table B-18
Aggie Research Campus
Fiscal Impact Analysis
Estimated Annual Fire Department Operating and Maintenance Expenditures (2020\$)

	City of Davis FY 2019-20		Adjusted City of Davis	Annual Fire Expenditures					
ltem	Budget	Assumption	FY 2019-20 Budget	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Buildout		
Annual City Fire Dept. Expenditures [1]		Adj. Factor							
General Fund Fire Dept. Expenditures	\$11,215,787	100%	\$11,215,787						
Prop. 172 Public Safety Sales Tax Revenues	\$577,211	50%	\$288,605						
Public Safety Tax Revenues	\$3,558,975	50%	\$1,779,488						
Total Annual Fire Department Expenditures	\$15,351,973		\$13,283,880						
Citywide Persons Served		83,710							
Citywide Fire Expenditures per Persons Served		\$159							
Adjustment Factor (Percent Variable) [2]		75%							
Citywide Variable Fire Expenditures per Person Served		\$119							
Project Persons Served				1,141	2,677	3,762	4,523		
Total Annual Fire Department Expenditures				\$135,776	\$318,639	\$447,737	\$538,260		

^[1] Assumes annual City Fire Department expenditures are funded with half of Proposition 172 Sales Tax and half of Public Safety tax revenues, in addition to other General Fund Revenues, per the City of Davis.

^[2] The adjustment factor is the share of departmental expenses that are variable and therefore impacted by development versus fixed expenses that are not affected by development.

Table B-19
Aggie Research Campus
Fiscal Impact Analysis
Estimated Annual Police Department Operating and Maintenance Expenditures (2020\$)

	City of Davis FY 2019-20		Adjusted City of Davis	Annual Police Expenditures					
Item	Budget	Assumption	FY 2019-20 Budget	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Buildout		
Annual City Police Dept. Expenditures [1]		Adi. Factor							
General Fund Police Dept. Expenditures	\$19,945,541	100%	\$19,945,541						
Prop. 172 Public Safety Sales Tax Revenues	\$577,211	50%	\$288,605						
Public Safety Tax Revenues	\$3,558,975	50%	\$1,779,488						
Total Annual Police Department Expenditures	\$24,081,727		\$22,013,634						
Citywide Persons Served		83,710							
Citywide Police Expenditures per Person Served		\$263							
Adjustment Factor (Percent Variable) [2]		75%							
Citywide Variable Police Expenditures per Person Served		\$197							
Project Persons Served				1,141	2,677	3,762	4,523		
Total Annual Police Department Expenditures				\$225,004	\$528,039	\$741,975	\$891,988		

^[1] Based on the assumption annual City Police Department expenditures are funded with half of Proposition 172 Sales Tax and half of Public Safety tax revenues, in addition to other General Fund Revenues, according to the City of Davis.

^[2] The adjustment factor is the share of departmental expenses that are variable and therefore impacted by development versus fixed expenses that are not affected by development.

Table B-20 Aggie Research Campus Fiscal Impact Analysis Preliminary Property Tax Allocations

	Pre-Annexation				Post-Annexation	
Fund/Agency	Property Tax Allocation [1] TRA 061-003	Subject to Split	Transfer to City	City of Davis General Fund	Yolo County General Fund	Other Taxing Entities
City/County Tax Sharing Assumption [2]				50%	50%	
Total Property Tax Allocation	100.0000%					
Funds for Analysis						
County General Fund	32.1584%	Х		16.0792%	16.0792%	
County ACO Fund	1.3180%	Х		0.6590%	0.6590%	
County Road District #2	2.3429%		x	2.3429%	-	
East Davis Fire District	10.3070%		x	10.3070%	-	
Total Taxing Entities for Analysis	46.1264%			29.3882%	16.7382%	
Other Taxing Entities						
County Library	2.9878%			-	-	2.9878%
County Schools	3.3291%			-	-	3.3291%
Davis Cemetery District	0.3893%			-	-	0.3893%
Sacto-Yolo Mosquito & Vector Control	0.9268%			-	-	0.9268%
Yolo County Flood Control District	1.0923%			-	-	1.0923%
Yolo County Resources Conservation District	0.0439%			-	-	0.0439%
Davis Joint Unified School District	40.1260%			-	-	40.1260%
Los Rios Community College District	4.9785%			-	-	4.9785%
Total Other Taxing Entities	53.8736%			-	-	53.8736%
Shift to Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF) [3]				23.0798%	65.7542%	
City and County Post ERAF General Fund Allocations				22.6054%	5.7321%	

Source: Yolo County; EPS.

^[1] Pre-ERAF allocations.

^[2] Preliminary tax sharing assumption, subject to negotiations between the City and County. Additional tax sharing assumptions evaluated in sensitivity scenarios.

^[3] Assumes increment subject to sharing will be pooled prior to shift to ERAF using County and City ERAF shift. Splits shown based on estimated citywide split and TRA split; acutal splits between agencies and ERAF shift applicable to each will depend on final tax sharing agreement terms. City and County ERAF shifts based on West Davis Active Adult Community annexation.

Table B-21 Aggie Research Campus Fiscal Impact Analysis Estimated Assessed Valuation (2020\$)

	Rounded Value per		Units /	Square Feet			Assesso	ed Value	
Item	Unit/Sq. Ft. [1]	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Buildout	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Buildout
Residential (Units)	<u>Per Unit</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Units</u>				
Owner-Occupied Residential	\$633,600	87	211	280	280	\$54,996,480	\$133,943,040	\$177,408,000	\$177,408,000
Renter-Occupied Residential Total Residential	\$391,650	182 269	410 622	570 850	570 850	\$71,436,972 \$126,433,452	\$160,733,187 \$294,676,227	\$223,240,538 \$400,648,538	\$223,240,538 \$400,648,53 8
Commercial Land Use	Per Sq. Ft.	Sq. Ft.	Sq. Ft.	Sq. Ft.	Sq. Ft.				
Office/Flex/R&D									
Office	\$332	155,000	385,000	535,000	755,000	\$51,465,174	\$127,832,851	\$177,637,858	\$250,685,201
Flex: R&D/Office Total Office/Flex/R&D	\$321	155,000 310,000	385,000 770,000	535,000 1,070,000	755,000 1,510,000	\$49,764,077 \$101,229,251	\$123,607,547 \$251,440,398	\$171,766,332 \$349,404,189	\$242,399,216 \$493,084,417
Manufacturing	\$250	220,000	440,000	660,000	884,000	\$55,000,000	\$110,000,000	\$165,000,000	\$221,000,000
Retail									
Ancillary Retail Total Retail	\$334	10,000 10,000	30,000 30,000	50,000 50,000	100,000 100,000	\$3,338,890 \$3,338,890	\$10,016,669 \$10,016,669	\$16,694,449 \$16,694,449	\$33,388,898 \$33,388,89 8
Hotel/Conference	\$291	0	0	160,000	160,000	\$0	\$0	\$46,504,383	\$46,504,383
Total Commercial Sq. Ft. (Secured)		540,000	1,240,000	1,940,000	2,654,000	\$159,568,141	\$371,457,067	\$577,603,022	\$793,977,698
Unsecured Assessed Value [1]						\$2,042,472	\$4,754,650	\$7,393,319	\$10,162,915
Total Proposed Land Uses						\$288,044,065	\$670,887,945	\$985,644,878	\$1,204,789,150

Source: EPS.

^[1] Unsecured assessed value equals 1.28% of non-residential secured assessed value for FY 2019-20.

Table B-22
Aggie Research Campus
Fiscal Impact Analysis
Average Income and Retail Expenditures for Residential Units (2020\$)

Residential Land Use	Dwelling Units	Estimated Home Value/ Monthly Rent [1]	Estimated Annual Housing Costs [2]	Estimated Household Income [3]	Taxable Expenditures as a Percentage of Income [4]	Annual Taxable Expenditures per Household (Rounded) [5]
Average Household Income					2.04	
Owner-Occupied Residential	280	\$633,600	\$49,000	\$140,000	21%	\$29,000
Renter-Occupied Residential	570	\$2,470	\$30,000	\$86,000	25%	\$22,000

- [1] Residential owner-occupied value and renter-occupied rent rate based on market comparables.
- [2] Annual mortgage based on a 6%, 30-year fixed rate mortgage with a 20% down payment and 2% for annual taxes and insurance. Values have been rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
- [3] Assumes mortgage lending guidelines allow no more than 35% of income dedicated to mortgage payments, taxes and insurance. Assumes renters pay 35% of income in rent.
- [4] Taxable expenditures as a percentage of income derived from the 2018 BLS Consumer Expenditure Survey.
- [5] Average retail expenditures per household used to estimate annual sales tax revenues, as shown in Table B-12.

Table B-23 Aggie Research Campus Fiscal Impact Analysis One-Time Fees by Phase

		One-Tir	ne Revenues by Pha	ise	\$9,563,000 \$77,546,000 \$9,015,000 \$6,269,000 \$441,000 \$5,176,000 \$892,000 \$1,514,000 \$3,209,000 \$113,625,000	
Item	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Total Project	
City Permitting Fees	\$6,879,000	\$8,956,000	\$8,491,000	\$7,875,420	\$32,201,420	
City Construction Tax	\$3,452,000	\$4,529,000	\$3,871,000	\$2,834,580	\$14,686,580	
City Impact Fees						
Affordable Housing	\$3,029,000	\$3,967,000	\$2,567,000	-	\$9,563,000	
Roadways	\$16,111,000	\$23,741,000	\$16,037,000	\$21,657,000	\$77,546,000	
Water	\$2,086,000	\$2,860,000	\$2,448,000	\$1,621,000	\$9,015,000	
Sewer	\$1,524,000	\$2,098,000	\$1,866,000	\$781,000	\$6,269,000	
Drainage	\$106,000	\$140,000	\$111,000	\$84,000	\$441,000	
Parks	\$1,333,000	\$1,781,000	\$1,662,000	\$400,000	\$5,176,000	
Open Space	\$230,000	\$307,000	\$286,000	\$69,000	\$892,000	
Public Safety	\$348,000	\$471,000	\$388,000	\$307,000	\$1,514,000	
General Facilities	\$769,000	\$1,042,000	\$948,000	\$450,000	\$3,209,000	
Total City Impact Fees	\$25,536,000	\$36,407,000	\$26,313,000	\$25,369,000	\$113,625,000	
School Impact Fee [1]	\$1,232,000	\$1,638,000	\$1,146,000	\$336,000	\$4,352,000	
County FSA Fee	\$1,237,000	\$1,649,000	\$1,192,000	\$393,000	\$4,471,000	

[1] The School Impact Fee could be exempted if the Project joins CFD #2, to be determined.

Note: All values are rounded to the nearest \$1,000.

Table B-24
Aggie Research Campus
Fiscal Impact Analysis
Development Program and One-Time Fees

				Project Uses	Advanced		
Item	MDR	HDR	Office	R&D	Advanced Manufacturing	Ancillary Retail	Hotel
Development Program	Units	Units	Sq. Ft.	Sq. Ft.	Sq. Ft.	Sq. Ft.	Rooms
Phase 1	87	182	155,000	155,000	220,000	10,000	-
Phase 2	125	228	230,000	230,000	220,000	20,000	-
Phase 3	69	160	150,000	150,000	220,000	20,000	150
Phase 4	-	-	220,000	220,000	224,000	50,000	-
Total Program	280	570	755,000	755,000	884,000	100,000	150
Fees Per Unit/Sq Ft/Room	per Unit	per Unit	per Sq. Ft.	per Sq. Ft.	per Sq. Ft.	per Sq. Ft.	per Room
City Permitting Fees	\$5,092	\$2,634	, , \$11.03	, \$11.03	, \$11.03	\$11.03	, \$11,765
City Construction Tax	\$7,146	\$3,772	\$3.97	\$3.97	\$3.97	\$3.97	\$4,235
City Impact Fees							
Affordable Housing	\$11,250	\$11,250	-	-	-	-	-
Roadways	\$5,444	\$4,467	\$46.920	\$46.920	\$0.434	\$18.292	\$2,754
Water	\$10,362	\$1,228	\$1.390	\$1.390	\$1.884	\$11.740	\$3,166
Sewer	\$4,780	\$3,320	\$1.470	\$1.470	\$0.121	\$2.138	\$3,320
Drainage	\$305	\$85	\$0.118	\$0.118	\$0.118	\$0.118	\$85
Parks	\$4,145	\$3,827	\$0.730	\$0.730	\$0.190	\$0.730	\$3,277
Open Space	\$714	\$659	\$0.126	\$0.126	\$0.033	\$0.126	\$564
Public Safety	\$494	\$479	\$0.515	\$0.515	\$0.242	\$0.515	\$399
General Facilities	\$1,786	\$1,662	\$0.811	\$0.811	\$0.233	\$0.811	\$1,662
School Impact Fee [1]	\$5,346	\$2,822	\$0.470	\$0.470	\$0.470	\$0.470	\$501
County FSA Fee	\$4,290	\$3,180	\$0.666	\$0.666	\$0.334	\$0.500	\$710

^[1] The School Impact Fee could be exempted if the Project joins CFD #2, to be determined.

APPENDIX C:

Economic Impact Analysis Tables

Summary of Yolo Economic Impacts—

Table C-1

	Origonity and Orie-TimeC-1
Table C-2	Yolo Ongoing Employment Impact Estimate—At Buildout
Table C-3	Yolo One-Time Construction Impact Estimate—All Phases C-3
Table C-4	Yolo Ongoing Impact Employment Inputs
Table C-5	Yolo One-Time Impact Construction Spending Inputs C-5
Table C-6	Yolo Ongoing Impacts—Economic Impact per Job for Relevant Sectors
Table C-7	Yolo One-Time Impacts—Economic Impact per \$1,000,000 in Construction Spending
Table C-8	Summary of Davis Economic Impacts— Ongoing and One-Time
Table C-9	Davis Ongoing Employment Impact Estimate—At Buildout C-9
Table C-10	Davis One-Time Construction Impact Estimate—All Phases C-10
Table C-11	Davis Ongoing Impact Employment Inputs C-11
Table C-12	Davis One-Time Impact Construction Spending Inputs C-12
Table C-13	Davis Ongoing Impacts—Economic Impact per Job for Relevant Sectors
Table C-14	Davis One-Time Impacts—Economic Impact per



Table C-1
Aggie Research Campus
Economic Impact Analysis
Summary of Yolo Economic Impacts - Ongoing and One-Time (2020\$)

		Economic	Impacts	
Impact Category	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Total
Ongoing Annual Impacts (at Buildout)				
Industry Output	\$1,588,940,136	\$445,463,311	\$170,946,648	\$2,205,350,095
Employee Compensation	\$454,388,403	\$162,724,944	\$52,758,277	\$669,871,625
Employment [1]	5,438	2,572	1,064	9,074
One-Time Construction Impacts				
Industry Output	\$609,375,692	\$87,249,389	\$115,486,682	\$812,111,762
Employee Compensation	\$347,427,772	\$29,429,345	\$35,664,414	\$412,521,531
Employment [2]	3,932	491	718	5,141

^[1] Includes all full and part time jobs.

^[2] Represents total job years lasting over the duration of the project. For instance, 1 laborer employed for 2 years during construction activity would represent 2 job years.

Table C-2 Aggie Research Campus Economic Impact Analysis Yolo Ongoing Employment Impact Estimate - At Buildout

	Implan											
	Industry		Direct Effect			Indirect Effect			Induced Effect			
Uses and Assumed Occupant Sectors [1]	Code	Output	Employment	Earnings	Output	Employment	Earnings	Output	Employment	Earnings		
Office												
Scientific Research and Development Services	464	\$284,889,721	1,041	\$100,341,343	\$102,955,653	625	\$37,994,433	\$37,114,082	229	\$11,452,288		
Marketing Research and Misc. Professional, Scientific, and Technical	468	\$24,040,304	391	\$14,022,072	\$5,584,006	39	\$2,139,367	\$6,595,496	43	\$2,039,445		
Custom Computer Programming Services	459	\$53,085,348	391	\$29,618,882	\$13,372,915	102	\$5,805,449	\$9,486,207	59	\$2,926,716		
Architectural, Engineering, and Related Services	457	\$69,919,851	391	\$30,111,457	\$19,007,283	144	\$7,878,432	\$10,486,700	66	\$3,236,634		
Environmental and Other Technical Consulting Services	463	\$22,684,264	260	\$15,174,378	\$5,266,646	39	\$2,252,264	\$5,404,983	34	\$1,669,287		
Office Total		\$454,619,488	2,473	\$189,268,132	\$146,186,503	949	\$56,069,944	\$69,087,467	431	\$21,324,370		
Flex/R&D												
Scientific Research and Development Services	464	\$183,595,598	671	\$64,664,421	\$66,349,199	403	\$24,485,301	\$23,917,964	148	\$7,380,363		
Marketing Research and Misc. Professional, Scientific, and Technical	468	\$15,492,640	252	\$9,036,447	\$3,598,582	25	\$1,378,703	\$4,250,431	28	\$1,314,309		
Custom Computer Programming Services	459	\$34,210,557	252	\$19,087,724	\$8,618,101	65	\$3,741,289	\$6,113,333	38	\$1,886,106		
Architectural, Engineering, and Related Services	457	\$45,059,460	252	\$19,405,161	\$12,249,138	93	\$5,077,211	\$6,758,096	43	\$2,085,831		
Environmental and Other Technical Consulting Services	463	\$14,618,748	168	\$9,779,044	\$3,394,061	25	\$1,451,459	\$3,483,211	22	\$1,075,763		
Flex/R&D Total		\$292,977,003	1,594	\$121,972,796	\$94,209,080	612	\$36,133,964	\$44,523,035	278	\$13,742,372		
Advanced Manufacturing												
Other Miscellaneous Chemical Product Manufacturing	185	\$171,189,369	221	\$41,510,861	\$31,352,298	159	\$10,355,777	\$14,462,001	91	\$4,463,612		
Miscellaneous Nonmetallic Mineral Products Manufacturing	214	\$100,416,802	221	\$20,953,651	\$22,413,917	117	\$7,518,566	\$7,568,589	46	\$2,335,362		
Pharmaceutical Preparation Manufacturing	172	\$355,429,880	221	\$30,547,071	\$91,680,067	382	\$26,680,256	\$15,079,168	93	\$4,652,373		
Industrial Process Variable Instruments Manufacturing	314	\$57,221,943	221	\$13,793,059	\$14,229,381	82	\$6,047,234	\$5,071,742	31	\$1,564,419		
Semiconductor and Related Device Manufacturing	307	\$136,389,407	221	\$26,942,934	\$40,558,766	239	\$18,022,159	\$11,810,231	73	\$3,643,198		
Advanced Manufacturing Total		\$820,647,402	1,105	\$133,747,576	\$200,234,429	979	\$68,623,992	\$53,991,732	334	\$16,658,965		
Ancillary Retail												
Other Personal Services	520	\$1,861,337	62	\$2,488,490	\$355,370	2	\$116,941	\$985,990	6	\$304,787		
Limited Service Restaurants	510	\$5,153,606	62	\$1,597,013	\$1,410,419	9	\$497,879	\$584,206	4	\$180,320		
Retail - General Merchandise Stores	411	\$5,116,018	62	\$2,072,645	\$1,291,064	8	\$532,087	\$672,645	4	\$207,498		
Ancillary Retail Total		\$12,130,962	186	\$6,158,148	\$3,056,854	19	\$1,146,907	\$2,242,841	14	\$692,604		
Hotel												
Hotels and Motels	507	\$8,565,281	80	\$3,241,751	\$1,776,446	14	\$750,138	\$1,101,573	7	\$339,967		
Hotel Total		\$8,565,281	80	\$3,241,751	\$1,776,446	14	\$750,138	\$1,101,573	7	\$339,967		
Total Ongoing Economic Impact		\$1,588,940,136	5,438	\$454,388,403	\$445,463,311	2,572	\$162,724,944	\$170,946,648	1,064	\$52,758,277		

Table C-3 Aggie Research Campus Economic Impact Analysis Yolo One-Time Construction Impact Estimate - All Phases

		Implan Industry Direct Effect Indirect Effect				Induced Effect					
Project Uses / Development Activity	Implan Sector Equivalent	Code	Output	Employment	Earnings	Output	Employment	Earnings	Output	Employment	Earnings
Residential											
Medium Density Residential	Construction of New Multifamily Residential	58	\$78,089,760	529	\$46,275,316	\$7,667,310	50	\$2,721,439	\$15,349,546	95	\$4,740,768
High Density Residential	Construction of New Multifamily Residential	58	\$94,409,314	639	\$55,946,143	\$9,269,660	60	\$3,290,178	\$18,557,364	115	\$5,731,516
Total Residential			\$172,499,074	1,168	\$102,221,459	\$16,936,970	110	\$6,011,617	\$33,906,910	210	\$10,472,284
Non-Residential											
Office	Construction of New Commercial Structures	55	\$134,390,000	849	\$71,618,767	\$20,697,311	112	\$6,863,714	\$24,375,774	152	\$7,528,211
Flex/R&D	Construction of New Commercial Structures	55	\$126,840,000	802	\$67,595,240	\$19,534,541	105	\$6,478,112	\$23,006,348	143	\$7,105,277
Advanced Manufacturing	Construction of New Manufacturing Structures	51	\$0	0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0
Ancillary Retail	Construction of New Commercial Structures	55	\$17,800,000	112	\$9,485,929	\$2,741,366	15	\$909,101	\$3,228,579	20	\$997,114
Hotel	Construction of New Commercial Structures	55	\$24,808,000	157	\$13,220,614	\$3,820,663	21	\$1,267,021	\$4,499,696	28	\$1,389,686
Total Non-Residential			\$303,838,000	1,920	\$161,920,551	\$46,793,881	252	\$15,517,949	\$55,110,398	343	\$17,020,288
Parking											
Commercial Structured Parking [1]	Construction of Other New Nonres. Structures	56	\$64,721,770	611	\$58,075,508	\$14,430,348	82	\$4,904,678	\$18,615,455	116	\$5,747,688
Land Development											
Site Prep / Roadways / Infrastructure	Construction of New Streets and Bridges	54	\$68,316,848	233	\$25,210,254	\$9,088,190	47	\$2,995,101	\$7,853,920	49	\$2,424,154
Total One-Time Economic Impact			\$609,375,692	3,932	\$347,427,772	\$87,249,389	491	\$29,429,345	\$115,486,682	718	\$35,664,414

^[1] Structured parking excludes high density residential parking structures, included as part of the cost of residential construction.

Table C-4 Aggie Research Campus Economic Impact Analysis Yolo On-Going Impact Employment Inputs

	Implan	Estimated						Estimated					
	Industry	Space				t by Phase		Sq. Ft. per			Employmer		
Uses and Assumed Occupant Sectors [1]	Code	Share	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	All Phases	Employee [2]	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	All Phases
Office													
Scientific Research and Development Services	464	40.0%	62,000	92,000	60,000	88,000	302,000	290	214	317	207	303	1,041
Marketing Research and Misc. Professional, Scientific, and Technical	468	15.0%	23,250	34,500	22,500	33,000	113,250	290	80	119	78	114	391
Custom Computer Programming Services	459	15.0%	23,250	34,500	22,500	33,000	113,250	290	80	119	78	114	391
Architectural, Engineering, and Related Services	457	15.0%	23,250	34,500	22,500	33.000	113.250	290	80	119	78	114	391
Environmental and Other Technical Consulting Services	463	10.0%	15,500	23,000	15,000	22,000	75,500	290	53	79	52	76	260
Frictional Vacancy	na	5.0%	7.750	11,500	7.500	11.000	37.750	na	-	-	-	-	
Office Total		0.070	155,000	230,000	150,000	220,000	755,000		508	753	491	721	2,473
Flex/R&D													
Scientific Research and Development Services	464	40.0%	62,000	92,000	60,000	88,000	302,000	450	138	204	133	196	671
Marketing Research and Misc. Professional, Scientific, and Technical	468	15.0%	23,250	34,500	22,500	33,000	113,250	450	52	77	50	73	252
Custom Computer Programming Services	459	15.0%	23,250	34,500	22,500	33,000	113,250	450	52	77	50	73	252
Architectural, Engineering, and Related Services	457	15.0%	23,250	34,500	22,500	33,000	113,250	450	52	77	50	73	252
Environmental and Other Technical Consulting Services	463	10.0%	15,500	23,000	15,000	22,000	75,500	450	34	51	33	49	168
Frictional Vacancy	na	5.0%	7,750	11,500	7,500	11,000	37,750	na	-	-	-	-	-
Flex/R&D Total			155,000	230,000	150,000	220,000	755,000		327	486	317	464	1,594
Advanced Manufacturing													
Other Miscellaneous Chemical Product Manufacturing	185	20.0%	44,000	44,000	44,000	44,800	176,800	800	55	55	55	56	221
Miscellaneous Nonmetallic Mineral Products Manufacturing	214	20.0%	44,000	44,000	44,000	44,800	176,800	800	55	55	55	56	221
Pharmaceutical Preparation Manufacturing	172	20.0%	44,000	44,000	44,000	44,800	176,800	800	55	55	55	56	221
Industrial Process Variable Instruments Manufacturing	314	20.0%	44,000	44,000	44,000	44,800	176,800	800	55	55	55	56	221
Semiconductor and Related Device Manufacturing	307	20.0%	44,000	44,000	44,000	44,800	176,800	800	55	55	55	56	221
Frictional Vacancy	na	0.0%	· -	_	· -		· -	na	-	-	-	-	-
Advanced Manufacturing Total			220,000	220,000	220,000	224,000	884,000		275	275	275	280	1,105
Ancillary Retail													
Other Personal Services	520	31.0%	3,100	6,200	6,200	15,500	31,000	500	6	12	12	31	62
Limited Service Restaurants	510	31.0%	3,100	6,200	6,200	15,500	31,000	500	6	12	12	31	62
Retail - General Merchandise Stores	411	31.0%	3,100	6,200	6,200	15,500	31,000	500	6	12	12	31	62
Frictional Vacancy	na	7.0%	700	1,400	1,400	3,500	7,000	na	-	-	_	-	-
Ancillary Retail Total			10,000	20,000	20,000	50,000	100,000		19	37	37	93	186
Hotel													
Hotels and Motels	507	100.0%	-	-	160,000	-	160,000	2,000	-	-	80	-	80
Hotel Total			-	-	160,000	-	160,000		-	-	80	-	80
Total			540,000	700,000	700,000	714,000	2,654,000		1,129	1,551	1,200	1,558	5,438

^[1] The included sectors may or may not represent the eventual space occupants, but are the largest applicable sectors currently found in the project ZIP Code, 95618, encompassing the eastern half of the City of Davis. Office and flex space occupant shares represent the existing relative size of the included sectors in the ZIP Code.

[2] Square feet per employee are typical industry norms, which were used in the previous analysis. Hotel square feet per employee equals approximately 0.5 employee per room, the minimum standard for a limited service property.

Table C-5
Aggie Research Campus
Economic Impact Analysis
Yolo One-Time Impact Construction Spending Inputs

		Implan Industry	Projec	ct Sauara I	oot / Unite	/ Spaces b	v Phace	Estimated Cost per Sg. Ft. / Unit /		Estimated Con	struction Cost b	w Phase (2020\$	١
Project Uses / Development Activity	Implan Sector Equivalent	Code	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3		All Phases	Space (2020\$)	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	All Phases
Residential													
Medium Density Residential	Construction of New Multifamily Residential	58	87	125	69	-	280	\$278,892	\$24,207,826	\$34,749,943	\$19,131,991	-	\$78,089,760
High Density Residential	Construction of New Multifamily Residential	58	182	228	160	-	570	\$165,630	\$30,210,980	\$37,763,726	\$26,434,608	-	\$94,409,314
Total Residential			269	353	228	-	850		\$54,418,806	\$72,513,669	\$45,566,599	-	\$172,499,074
Non-Residential													
Office	Construction of New Commercial Structures	55	155,000	230,000	150,000	220,000	755,000	\$178	\$27,590,000	\$40,940,000	\$26,700,000	\$39,160,000	\$134,390,000
Flex/R&D	Construction of New Commercial Structures	55	155,000	230,000	150,000	220,000	755,000	\$168	\$26,040,000	\$38,640,000	\$25,200,000	\$36,960,000	\$126,840,000
Advanced Manufacturing	Construction of New Manufacturing Structures	51	220,000	220,000	220,000	224,000	884,000	na	-	-	-	-	-
Ancillary Retail	Construction of New Commercial Structures	55	10,000	20,000	20,000	50,000	100,000	\$178	\$1,780,000	\$3,560,000	\$3,560,000	\$8,900,000	\$17,800,000
Hotel	Construction of New Commercial Structures	55	-	-	160,000	-	160,000	\$155	-	-	\$24,808,000	-	\$24,808,000
Total Non-Residential			540,000	700,000	700,000	714,000	2,654,000		\$55,410,000	\$83,140,000	\$80,268,000	\$85,020,000	\$303,838,000
Parking													
Commercial Structured Parking [1]	Construction of Other New Nonres. Structures	56	-	-	916	1,172	2,088	\$31,000	-	-	\$28,382,057	\$36,339,713	\$64,721,770
Land Development													
	Construction of New Streets and Bridges	54	na	na	na	na	na	na	\$18,663,483	\$11,001,900	\$30,566,234	\$8,085,231	\$68,316,848
Total									\$128,492,289	\$166,655,568	\$184,782,890	\$129,444,944	\$609,375,692

^[1] Structured parking excludes high density residential parking structures, included as part of the cost of residential construction.

C-6

Table C-6
Aggie Research Campus
Economic Impact Analysis
Yolo On-Going Impacts - Economic Impact per Job for Relevant Sectors

	Implan Industry		Direct Effect		Indirect Effect			Induced Effect		
Sector Description	Code	Output	Employment	Earnings	Output	Employment	Earnings	Output	Employment	Earnings
Scientific Research and Development Services	464	\$273,570	1.00	\$96,354	\$98,865	0.60	\$36,485	\$35,639	0.22	\$10,997
Marketing Research and Misc. Professional, Scientific, and Technical	468	\$61,560	1.00	\$35,906	\$14,299	0.10	\$5,478	\$16,889	0.11	\$5,222
Custom Computer Programming Services	459	\$135,936	1.00	\$75,845	\$34,244	0.26	\$14,866	\$24,291	0.15	\$7,494
Architectural, Engineering, and Related Services	457	\$179,044	1.00	\$77,107	\$48,672	0.37	\$20,174	\$26,853	0.17	\$8,288
Environmental and Other Technical Consulting Services	463	\$87,132	1.00	\$58,286	\$20,230	0.15	\$8,651	\$20,761	0.13	\$6,412
Other Miscellaneous Chemical Product Manufacturing	185	\$774,613	1.00	\$187,832	\$141,866	0.72	\$46,859	\$65,439	0.41	\$20,197
Miscellaneous Nonmetallic Mineral Products Manufacturing	214	\$454,375	1.00	\$94,813	\$101,420	0.53	\$34,021	\$34,247	0.21	\$10,567
Pharmaceutical Preparation Manufacturing	172	\$1,608,280	1.00	\$138,222	\$414,842	1.73	\$120,725	\$68,232	0.42	\$21,051
Industrial Process Variable Instruments Manufacturing	314	\$258,923	1.00	\$62,412	\$64,386	0.37	\$27,363	\$22,949	0.14	\$7,079
Semiconductor and Related Device Manufacturing	307	\$617,147	1.00	\$121,914	\$183,524	1.08	\$81,548	\$53,440	0.33	\$16,485
Other Personal Services	520	\$30,022	1.00	\$40,137	\$5,732	0.04	\$1,886	\$15,903	0.10	\$4,916
Limited Service Restaurants	510	\$83,123	1.00	\$25,758	\$22,749	0.14	\$8,030	\$9,423	0.06	\$2,908
Retail - General Merchandise Stores	411	\$82,516	1.00	\$33,430	\$20,824	0.13	\$8,582	\$10,849	0.07	\$3,347
Hotels and Motels	507	\$107,066	1.00	\$40,522	\$22,206	0.17	\$9,377	\$13,770	0.09	\$4,250

C-/

Table C-7
Aggie Research Campus
Economic Impact Analysis
Yolo One-Time Impacts - Economic Impact per \$1,000,000 in Construction Spending

	Implan Industry		Direct Effect			Indirect Effect			Induced Effect	t
Sector Description	Code	Output	Employment	Earnings	Output	Employment	Earnings	Output	Employment	Earnings
Construction of New Multifamily Residential	58	\$1,000,000	6.77	\$592,591	\$98,186	0.64	\$34,850	\$196,563	1.22	\$60,709
Construction of New Commercial Structures	55	\$1,000,000	6.32	\$532,917	\$154,009	0.83	\$51,073	\$181,381	1.13	\$56,018
Construction of New Manufacturing Structures	51	\$1,000,000	5.51	\$476,597	\$171,243	0.93	\$55,858	\$160,267	1.00	\$49,489
Construction of Other New Nonresidential Structures	56	\$1,000,000	9.44	\$897,310	\$222,960	1.26	\$75,781	\$287,623	1.79	\$88,806
Construction of New Streets and Bridges	54	\$1,000,000	3.41	\$369,020	\$133,030	0.69	\$43,841	\$114,963	0.71	\$35,484

Table C-8
Aggie Research Campus
Economic Impact Analysis
Summary of Davis Economic Impacts - Ongoing and One-Time (2020\$)

		Economic	Impacts	
Impact Category	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Total
Ongoing Annual Impacts (at Buildout)				
Industry Output	\$1,588,940,136	\$102,021,483	\$19,113,120	\$1,710,074,738
Employee Compensation	\$454,388,403	\$36,986,485	\$6,145,369	\$497,520,257
Employment [1]	5,438	612	120	6,170
One-Time Construction Impacts				
Industry Output	\$542,462,284	\$9,102,242	\$18,436,086	\$570,000,613
Employee Compensation	\$322,735,415	\$3,222,364	\$5,932,633	\$331,890,412
Employment [2]	3,704	56	123	3,883

^[1] Includes all full and part time jobs.

^[2] Represents total job years lasting over the duration of the project. For instance, 1 laborer employed for 2 years during construction activity would represent 2 job years.

Table C-9 Aggie Research Campus Economic Impact Analysis Davis Ongoing Employment Impact Estimate - At Buildout

	Implan											
	Industry		Direct Effect			Indirect Effect		Induced Effect				
Uses and Assumed Occupant Sectors [1]	Code	Output	Employment	Earnings	Output	Employment	Earnings	Output	Employment	Earnings		
Office												
Scientific Research and Development Services	464	\$284,889,721	1,041	\$100,341,343	\$41,635,542	229	\$14,459,448	\$3,788,892	21	\$1,217,674		
Marketing Research and Misc. Professional, Scientific, and Technical	468	\$24,040,304	391	\$14,022,072	\$1,414,110	12	\$482,343	\$1,555,180	12	\$500,862		
Custom Computer Programming Services	459	\$53,085,348	391	\$29,618,882	\$2,138,371	16	\$855,018	\$1,013,990	8	\$325,855		
Architectural, Engineering, and Related Services	457	\$69,919,851	391	\$30,111,457	\$4,910,649	43	\$2,063,966	\$1,275,726	8	\$410,215		
Environmental and Other Technical Consulting Services	463	\$22,684,264	260	\$15,174,378	\$1,334,095	10	\$556,011	\$911,840	5	\$293,440		
Office Total		\$454,619,488	2,473	\$189,268,132	\$51,432,767	310	\$18,416,785	\$8,545,628	53	\$2,748,046		
Flex/R&D												
Scientific Research and Development Services	464	\$183,595,598	671	\$64,664,421	\$26,831,794	148	\$9,318,311	\$2,441,730	13	\$784,724		
Marketing Research and Misc. Professional, Scientific, and Technical	468	\$15,492,640	252	\$9,036,447	\$911,315	8	\$310,844	\$1,002,227	8	\$322,778		
Custom Computer Programming Services	459	\$34,210,557	252	\$19,087,724	\$1,378,061	10	\$551,012	\$653,460	5	\$209,996		
Architectural, Engineering, and Related Services	457	\$45,059,460	252	\$19,405,161	\$3,164,640	28	\$1,330,111	\$822,135	5	\$264,361		
Environmental and Other Technical Consulting Services	463	\$14,618,748	168	\$9,779,044	\$859,750	7	\$358,318	\$587,630	3	\$189,106		
Flex/R&D Total		\$292,977,003	1,594	\$121,972,796	\$33,145,561	200	\$11,868,595	\$5,507,182	34	\$1,770,963		
Advanced Manufacturing												
Other Miscellaneous Chemical Product Manufacturing	185	\$171,189,369	221	\$41,510,861	\$2,073,707	13	\$771,964	\$1,753,182	11	\$563,793		
Miscellaneous Nonmetallic Mineral Products Manufacturing	214	\$100,416,802	221	\$20,953,651	\$2,332,993	15	\$805,980	\$702,232	4	\$225,672		
Pharmaceutical Preparation Manufacturing	172	\$355,429,880	221	\$30,547,071	\$5,517,334	24	\$1,694,765	\$907,517	7	\$291,501		
Industrial Process Variable Instruments Manufacturing	314	\$57,221,943	221	\$13,793,059	\$2,182,395	15	\$1,054,714	\$397,614	2	\$127,689		
Semiconductor and Related Device Manufacturing	307	\$136,389,407	221	\$26,942,934	\$4,311,487	27	\$2,026,338	\$818,381	4	\$262,840		
Advanced Manufacturing Total		\$820,647,402	1,105	\$133,747,576	\$16,417,915	95	\$6,353,761	\$4,578,926	29	\$1,471,495		
Ancillary Retail												
Other Personal Services	520	\$1,861,337	62	\$2,488,490	\$110,170	1	\$28,022	\$222,363	1	\$71,600		
Limited Service Restaurants	510	\$5,153,606	62	\$1,597,013	\$324,140	2	\$93,381	\$67,509	1	\$21,708		
Retail - General Merchandise Stores	411	\$5,116,018	62	\$2,072,645	\$191,473	1	\$62,074	\$61,298	1	\$19,691		
Ancillary Retail Total		\$12,130,962	186	\$6,158,148	\$625,783	4	\$183,477	\$351,170	2	\$112,999		
Hotel												
Hotels and Motels	507	\$8,565,281	80	\$3,241,751	\$399,456	4	\$163,867	\$130,214	1	\$41,866		
Hotel Total		\$8,565,281	80	\$3,241,751	\$399,456	4	\$163,867	\$130,214	1	\$41,866		
Total Ongoing Economic Impact		\$1,588,940,136	5,438	\$454,388,403	\$102,021,483	612	\$36,986,485	\$19,113,120	120	\$6,145,369		

Table C-10 Aggie Research Campus Economic Impact Analysis Davis One-Time Construction Impact Estimate - All Phases

		Implan Industry		Direct Effect		Indirect Effect			Induced Effect			
Project Uses / Development Activity	Implan Sector Equivalent	Code	Output	Employment	Earnings	Output	Employment	Earnings	Output	Employment	Earnings	
Residential												
Medium Density Residential	Construction of New Multifamily Residential	58	\$78,089,760	529	\$46,275,316	\$954,096	7	\$353,616	\$2,735,031	18	\$880,174	
High Density Residential	Construction of New Multifamily Residential	58	\$94,409,314	639	\$55,946,143	\$1,153,487	8	\$427,517	\$3,306,611	22	\$1,064,117	
Total Residential	•		\$172,499,074	1,168	\$102,221,459	\$2,107,583	16	\$781,133	\$6,041,642	40	\$1,944,291	
Non-Residential												
Office	Construction of New Commercial Structures	55	\$134,390,000	849	\$71,618,767	\$2,359,997	13	\$813,964	\$4,171,116	28	\$1,342,285	
Flex/R&D	Construction of New Commercial Structures	55	\$126,840,000	802	\$67,595,240	\$2,227,413	13	\$768,236	\$3,936,784	27	\$1,266,875	
Advanced Manufacturing	Construction of New Manufacturing Structures	51	\$0	0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	
Ancillary Retail	Construction of New Commercial Structures	55	\$17,800,000	112	\$9,485,929	\$312,582	2	\$107,810	\$552,466	4	\$177,786	
Hotel	Construction of New Commercial Structures	55	\$24,808,000	157	\$13,220,614	\$435,649	2	\$150,255	\$769,976	5	\$247,782	
Total Non-Residential			\$303,838,000	1,920	\$161,920,551	\$5,335,641	30	\$1,840,265	\$9,430,342	64	\$3,034,728	
Parking												
Commercial Structured Parking [1]	Construction of Other New Nonres. Structures	56	\$64,721,770	611	\$58,075,508	\$1,640,575	10	\$594,309	\$2,942,358	19	\$946,622	
Land Development												
Site Prep / Roadways / Infrastructure	Construction of New Streets and Bridges	54	\$1,403,440	5	\$517,897	\$18,443	0	\$6,658	\$21,745	0	\$6,993	
Total One-Time Economic Impact			\$542,462,284	3,704	\$322,735,415	\$9,102,242	56	\$3,222,364	\$18,436,086	123	\$5,932,633	

^[1] Structured parking excludes high density residential parking structures, included as part of the cost of residential construction.

Table C-11 Aggie Research Campus Economic Impact Analysis
Davis On-Going Impact Employment Inputs

	Implan	Estimated						Estimated						
Uses and Assumed Occupant Sectors [1]	Industry	Space	Project Square Feet by Phase					Sq. Ft. per				ent by Phase		
	Code	Share	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	All Phases	Employee [2]	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	All Phases	
Office														
Scientific Research and Development Services	464	40.0%	62,000	92,000	60,000	88,000	302,000	290	214	317	207	303	1,041	
Marketing Research and Misc. Professional, Scientific, and Technical	468	15.0%	23,250	34,500	22,500	33,000	113.250	290	80	119	78	114	391	
Custom Computer Programming Services	459	15.0%	23,250	34,500	22,500	33,000	113,250	290	80	119	78	114	391	
Architectural, Engineering, and Related Services	457	15.0%	23,250	34,500	22,500	33.000	113,250	290	80	119	78	114	391	
Environmental and Other Technical Consulting Services	463	10.0%	15,500	23,000	15,000	22,000	75.500	290	53	79	52	76	260	
Frictional Vacancy	na	5.0%	7,750	11,500	7,500	11,000	37,750	na	-		-	-	200	
Office Total	na	0.070	155,000	230,000	150,000	220,000	755,000	na	508	753	491	721	2,473	
Flex/R&D														
Scientific Research and Development Services	464	40.0%	62,000	92,000	60,000	88,000	302,000	450	138	204	133	196	671	
Marketing Research and Misc. Professional, Scientific, and Technical	468	15.0%	23,250	34,500	22,500	33,000	113,250	450	52	77	50	73	252	
Custom Computer Programming Services	459	15.0%	23,250	34,500	22,500	33.000	113.250	450	52	77	50	73	252	
Architectural, Engineering, and Related Services	457	15.0%	23,250	34,500	22,500	33,000	113,250	450	52	77	50	73	252	
Environmental and Other Technical Consulting Services	463	10.0%	15,500	23,000	15,000	22,000	75.500	450	34	51	33	49	168	
Frictional Vacancy	na	5.0%	7,750	11,500	7,500	11,000	37,750	na	-	-	-	-		
Flex/R&D Total	na na	0.070	155,000	230,000	150,000	220,000	755,000	na	327	486	317	464	1,594	
Advanced Manufacturing														
Other Miscellaneous Chemical Product Manufacturing	185	20.0%	44,000	44,000	44,000	44,800	176,800	800	55	55	55	56	221	
Miscellaneous Nonmetallic Mineral Products Manufacturing	214	20.0%	44,000	44,000	44,000	44,800	176,800	800	55	55	55	56	221	
Pharmaceutical Preparation Manufacturing	172	20.0%	44,000	44,000	44,000	44,800	176,800	800	55	55	55	56	221	
Industrial Process Variable Instruments Manufacturing	314	20.0%	44,000	44,000	44,000	44,800	176.800	800	55	55	55	56	221	
Semiconductor and Related Device Manufacturing	307	20.0%	44,000	44,000	44,000	44.800	176.800	800	55	55	55	56	221	
Frictional Vacancy	na	0.0%	-		-		-	na	-	-	-	-		
Advanced Manufacturing Total	110	0.070	220,000	220,000	220,000	224,000	884,000		275	275	275	280	1,105	
Ancillary Retail														
Other Personal Services	520	31.0%	3,100	6,200	6,200	15,500	31,000	500	6	12	12	31	62	
Limited Service Restaurants	510	31.0%	3,100	6,200	6,200	15,500	31,000	500	6	12	12	31	62	
Retail - General Merchandise Stores	411	31.0%	3,100	6,200	6,200	15,500	31,000	500	6	12	12	31	62	
Frictional Vacancy	na	7.0%	700	1.400	1,400	3,500	7.000	na	_	_	_	_		
Ancillary Retail Total			10,000	20,000	20,000	50,000	100,000		19	37	37	93	186	
Hotel														
Hotels and Motels	507	100.0%	-	-	160,000	-	160,000	2,000	-	-	80	-	80	
Hotel Total			-	-	160,000	-	160,000		-	-	80	-	80	
Total			540,000	700,000	700,000	714,000	2,654,000		1,129	1,551	1,200	1,558	5,438	

^[1] The included sectors may or may not represent the eventual space occupants, but are the largest applicable sectors currently found in the project ZIP Code, 95618, encompassing the eastern half of the City of Davis. Office and flex space occupant shares represent the existing relative size of the included sectors in the ZIP Code.

[2] Square feet per employee are typical industry norms, which were used in the previous analysis. Hotel square feet per employee equals approximately 0.5 employee per room, the minimum standard for a limited service property.

Table C-12 Aggie Research Campus Economic Impact Analysis Davis One-Time Impact Construction Spending Inputs

		Implan Industry	Project Square Feet / Units / Spaces by Phase					Estimated Cost per Sq. Ft. / Unit /	Estimated Construction Cost by Phase (2020\$)					
Project Uses / Development Activity	Implan Sector Equivalent	Code	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	All Phases	Space (2020\$)	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	All Phases	
Residential														
Medium Density Residential	Construction of New Multifamily Residential	58	87	125	69		280	\$278,892	\$24,207,826	\$34,749,943	\$19,131,991	-	\$78,089,760	
High Density Residential	Construction of New Multifamily Residential	58	182	228	160	-	570	\$165,630	\$30,210,980	\$37,763,726	\$26,434,608	-	\$94,409,314	
Total Residential			269	353	228	-	850		\$54,418,806	\$72,513,669	\$45,566,599	-	\$172,499,074	
Non-Residential														
Office	Construction of New Commercial Structures	55	155,000	230,000	150,000	220,000	755,000	\$178	\$27,590,000	\$40,940,000	\$26,700,000	\$39,160,000	\$134,390,000	
Flex/R&D	Construction of New Commercial Structures	55	155,000	230,000	150,000	220,000	755,000	\$168	\$26,040,000	\$38,640,000	\$25,200,000	\$36,960,000	\$126,840,000	
Advanced Manufacturing	Construction of New Manufacturing Structures	51	220,000	220,000	220,000	224,000	884,000	na	-	-	-	-	-	
Ancillary Retail	Construction of New Commercial Structures	55	10,000	20,000	20,000	50,000	100,000	\$178	\$1,780,000	\$3,560,000	\$3,560,000	\$8,900,000	\$17,800,000	
Hotel	Construction of New Commercial Structures	55	-	-	160,000	-	160,000	\$155	-	-	\$24,808,000	-	\$24,808,000	
Total Non-Residential			540,000	700,000	700,000	714,000	2,654,000		\$55,410,000	\$83,140,000	\$80,268,000	\$85,020,000	\$303,838,000	
Parking														
Commercial Structured Parking [1]	Construction of Other New Nonres. Structures	56	-	-	916	1,172	2,088	\$31,000	-	-	\$28,382,057	\$36,339,713	\$64,721,770	
Land Development														
	Construction of New Streets and Bridges	54	na	na	na	na	na	na	\$326,108	\$403,488	\$384,515	\$289,330	\$1,403,440	
Total									\$110,154,914	\$156,057,156	\$154,601,171	\$121,649,042	\$542,462,284	

^[1] Structured parking excludes high density residential parking structures, included as part of the cost of residential construction.

Table C-13
Aggie Research Campus
Economic Impact Analysis
Davis On-Going Impacts - Economic Impact per Job for Relevant Sectors

	Implan Industry		Direct Effect			Indirect Effect		Induced Effect			
Sector Description	Code	Output	Employment	Earnings	Output	Employment	Earnings	Output	Employment	Earnings	
Scientific Research and Development Services	464	\$273,570	1.00	\$96,354	\$39,981	0.22	\$13,885	\$3,638	0.02	\$1,169	
Marketing Research and Misc. Professional, Scientific, and Technical	468	\$61,560	1.00	\$35,906	\$3,621	0.03	\$1,235	\$3,982	0.03	\$1,283	
Custom Computer Programming Services	459	\$135,936	1.00	\$75,845	\$5,476	0.04	\$2,189	\$2,597	0.02	\$834	
Architectural, Engineering, and Related Services	457	\$179,044	1.00	\$77,107	\$12,575	0.11	\$5,285	\$3,267	0.02	\$1,050	
Environmental and Other Technical Consulting Services	463	\$87,132	1.00	\$58,286	\$5,124	0.04	\$2,136	\$3,502	0.02	\$1,127	
Other Miscellaneous Chemical Product Manufacturing	185	\$774,613	1.00	\$187,832	\$9,383	0.06	\$3,493	\$7,933	0.05	\$2,551	
Miscellaneous Nonmetallic Mineral Products Manufacturing	214	\$454,375	1.00	\$94,813	\$10,557	0.07	\$3,647	\$3,178	0.02	\$1,021	
Pharmaceutical Preparation Manufacturing	172	\$1,608,280	1.00	\$138,222	\$24,965	0.11	\$7,669	\$4,106	0.03	\$1,319	
Industrial Process Variable Instruments Manufacturing	314	\$258,923	1.00	\$62,412	\$9,875	0.07	\$4,772	\$1,799	0.01	\$578	
Semiconductor and Related Device Manufacturing	307	\$617,147	1.00	\$121,914	\$19,509	0.12	\$9,169	\$3,703	0.02	\$1,189	
Other Personal Services	520	\$30,022	1.00	\$40,137	\$1,777	0.01	\$452	\$3,587	0.02	\$1,155	
Limited Service Restaurants	510	\$83,123	1.00	\$25,758	\$5,228	0.03	\$1,506	\$1,089	0.01	\$350	
Retail - General Merchandise Stores	411	\$82,516	1.00	\$33,430	\$3,088	0.02	\$1,001	\$989	0.01	\$318	
Hotels and Motels	507	\$107,066	1.00	\$40,522	\$4,993	0.05	\$2,048	\$1,628	0.01	\$523	

C-14

Table C-14
Aggie Research Campus
Economic Impact Analysis
Davis One-Time Impacts - Economic Impact per \$1,000,000 in Construction Spending

	Implan Industry		Direct Effect			Indirect Effect			Induced Effect	ŧ
Sector Description	Code	Output	Employment	Earnings	Output	Employment	Earnings	Output	Employment	Earnings
Construction of New Multifamily Residential	58	\$1,000,000	6.77	\$592,591	\$12,218	0.09	\$4,528	\$35,024	0.23	\$11,271
Construction of New Commercial Structures	55	\$1,000,000	6.32	\$532,917	\$17,561	0.10	\$6,057	\$31,037	0.21	\$9,988
Construction of New Manufacturing Structures	51	\$1,000,000	5.51	\$476,597	\$17,720	0.12	\$6,135	\$25,480	0.17	\$8,198
Construction of Other New Nonresidential Structures	56	\$1,000,000	9.44	\$897,310	\$25,348	0.16	\$9,183	\$45,462	0.30	\$14,626
Construction of New Streets and Bridges	54	\$1,000,000	3.41	\$369,020	\$13,141	0.08	\$4,744	\$15,494	0.10	\$4,983